

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	07-AFC-06C
Project Title:	Carlsbad Energy Center - Compliance
TN #:	203560
Document Title:	Memo to Parties re: Committee Expectations at February 4, 2015, Status Conference
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Susan Cochran
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Committee
Submission Date:	1/23/2015 1:16:51 PM
Docketed Date:	1/23/2015

Memorandum

To: Parties in the Carlsbad Amendments Proceeding
(07-AFC-06C)

Date : January 23, 2015
Telephone: (916) 654-5103

From : Paul A. Kramer Jr.
Chief Hearing Adviser
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento CA 95814-5512

Subject: Issues to be Discussed During the February 4, 2015 Committee Status Conference

Parties:

The Committee has filed a revised agenda for the February 4, 2015, Committee Status Conference as a separate document accompanying this memorandum. We call your attention to three features of that revised agenda:

1. As part of a discussion of the schedule, the Committee will consider choosing specific dates for the Prehearing Conference and Evidentiary Hearings. Be ready to speak to whether the matter is likely to be ready to go to hearing in early April. For your information, the Committee has placed tentative holds on April 1 and 2 in its schedules. This is a week following the original tentative dates, which succumbed to schedule conflicts.
2. The parties shall identify issues of likely concern to them based upon the information available to date, including the Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA) and comments on the PSA filed by themselves and others. The parties will also provide preliminary estimates of hearing time requirements. This information shall be summarized in each party's status report due on January 30.
3. The Committee will review whether the scope of any party's intervention should be expanded or reduced. A party wishing to expand its scope shall describe in its status report the additional topics, the basis for the expansion, including the intervenor's interest in the new topic(s), the potential effects upon intervenor's interests, and any special knowledge or expertise the intervenor would bring to the proceeding. Failure to do so will, absent good cause, preclude consideration of such a request.

We further direct your attention to the November 25, 2014, memorandum¹ which describes the Committee's expectations of the parties during the time following the publication of the PSA through the evidentiary hearings.

¹ TN 203377