

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	16-OIR-03
Project Title:	Energy Data Collection
TN #:	215486
Document Title:	CalETC Comments Re: Title 20 EVSE Data Collection Regulations
Description:	*** THIS DOCUMENT WAS ERRONEOUSLY FILED IN 16-OIR-01; TN 214027 ***
Filer:	System
Organization:	CalETC
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	1/23/2017 8:24:20 AM
Docketed Date:	1/23/2017

Comment Received From: CalETC

Submitted On: 1/23/2017

Docket Number: 16-OIR-03

CalETC Comments Re: Title 20 EVSE Data Collection Regulations

*** THIS DOCUMENT WAS ERRONEOUSLY FILED IN 16-OIR-01; TN 214027 ***

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	16-OIR-01
Project Title:	General Rulemaking Proceeding for Developing Regulations, Guidelines and Policies for Implementing SB 350 and AB 802
TN #:	214027
Document Title:	CalETC Comments Re: Title 20 EVSE Data Collection Regulations
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	CalETC
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/17/2016 2:16:39 PM
Docketed Date:	10/17/2016

Comment Received From: Eileen Wenger Tutt

Submitted On: 10/17/2016

Docket Number: 16-OIR-01

CalETC Comments Re: Title 20 EVSE Data Collection Regulations

Please see attached letter.

Additional submitted attachment is included below.



October 17, 2016

California Energy Commission
Docket #: 16-OIR-01
1516 9th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: General Rulemaking Proceeding for Developing Regulations, Guidelines and Policies for Implementing SB 350 and AB 802: Title 20 Data Collection Regulations to Support New Analytical Needs

The California Electric Transportation Coalition (CalETC) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the California Energy Commission's (CEC's) Title 20 Data Collection Regulations to Support New Analytical Needs, specifically subdivision (g) Hourly Load for Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment.

CalETC is a non-profit association promoting economic growth, clean air, fuel diversity and energy independence, and combating climate change through the use of electric transportation. CalETC is committed to the successful introduction and large-scale deployment of all forms of electric transportation including plug-in electric vehicles, transit buses, port electrification, off-road electric vehicles and equipment, and rail. Our board of directors includes: Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Pacific Gas and Electric, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, San Diego Gas and Electric, Southern California Edison, and the Southern California Public Power Authority. Our membership also includes major automakers, manufacturers of zero-emission trucks and buses, and other industry leaders supporting transportation electrification.

We support the efforts of the CEC to promote the adoption of plug-in electric vehicles and equipment. Although California is leading the nation in electric vehicle adoption, our state still has a long way to go to reach the goals in the Governor's Executive Order B-16-2012: 1.5 million zero-emission vehicles on California roads by 2025 and zero-emission vehicle infrastructure able to support 1 million vehicles by 2020. In addition, the state must implement SB 1275 (De León) [Chapter 530, Statutes of 2014] and SB 1204 (Lara) [Chapter 524, Statutes of 2014], which set targets for the deployment of 1 million zero- and near-zero-emission vehicles by 2023, access to these vehicles by disadvantaged and low- and moderate-income communities, and deployment of zero- and near-zero-emission medium- and heavy-duty vehicle technologies.

The CEC projected that in 2017, California will need to add 32,429 public and private (workplace and fleet) level 2 charging stations and 289 direct current fast chargers (DCFCs).¹ This figure represents

¹ Orenberg, Jacob. 2016. *2016-2017 Investment Plan Update for the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program*. California Energy Commission, Fuels and Transportation Division. Publication Number: CEC-600-2015-014-CMF. P. 42.

what is needed if consumers utilize public charging with a high rate of public access. In 2018, California will need to add 40,239 level 2 charging stations and 364 DCFCs, to accommodate high public access.

We understand and appreciate the necessity for the CEC to use data to support the implementation of SB 350 (De León) [Chapter 547, Statutes of 2015], AB 802 (Williams) [Chapter 590, Statutes of 2015], and to achieve improved energy analytics for California. CalETC and its member utilities would like to work with you to ensure that any data the CEC is seeking to collect is legally permissible, technically feasible, and will effectively achieve improved and useful energy analytics.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide the following comments:

Given that charging-station data is already being collected in multiple forums, we recommend that the Demand Forecasting, Fuels and Transportation, and Electric Program Investment Charge divisions at the CEC first convene and lead an electric-vehicle-data working group to bring together data experts in order to compare and understand the data, and determine what gaps need to be addressed. The working group could use data from, for example: The National Renewable Energy Laboratory Alternative Fuels Data Center database, CEC Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program grant reporting, California Air Resources Board grant reporting and Low Carbon Fuels Standard reporting, and data of the sort that companies like ChargePoint already provide to research institutions. In addition, the Low Carbon Fuel Standard program is undergoing changes that will likely result in reporting of additional charging station data in 2018, and utilities are required to post in 2017 the available capacity of all distribution circuits, which will make placing charging stations easier. Appropriate data experts to include in such a working group would include those from national labs, universities, utilities, research organizations like the Electric Power Research Institute, and other appropriate state agencies like the California Air Resources Board and the California Public Utilities Commission.

We believe that a voluntary program would yield enough data to inform demand forecasting and result in improved energy analytics, on top of the data currently available. A voluntary approach would allow for those charging-station owners and operators that have adequate resources and capabilities to supply data, but would not overly burden owners and operators that do not have the resources or capabilities to supply the kind of data required in the proposed regulations. Such an approach, which would yield useful representative data samplings, could be developed through a working group like the one described above.

Should the CEC move forward with these regulations, we encourage the CEC to ensure that the regulations are straightforward, simple, do not cause confusion in the marketplace, or slow the progress of the plug-in electric vehicle market. Imposing financial penalties on charging station owners and operators for not complying with the reporting requirements will likely deter many businesses from deploying charging infrastructure in the first place.

We encourage the CEC to also recognize what sources of data utilities have ready access to and those sources that are not currently under utility purview. For example, today, utilities do not know when a customer purchases an electric vehicle or installs a home charger unless that customer self identifies through participation in a particular electric-vehicle rate or another program. We are also concerned about the level of data required for all charging stations, even level 1, and the feasibility of obtaining this data. Many utilities that currently own charging stations do not collect hourly consumption data and could not supply individual, hourly charging-station data on a daily basis for hundreds or thousands of charging stations.

There are complexities around how a charging station is metered and networked (if it is networked²), and it may not be possible for utilities to provide all of the data in subdivision (g)(4) on the time scale in (g)(3). Requiring “networked electric vehicle charging station status, operational, and billing information [to] be provided to the Energy Commission as frequently as is possible for the electric vehicle station equipment or at least daily” in (g)(3), combined with the requirements of (g)(4) for “each charging session” is analogous to a report on every single gasoline fill-up that occurs in the state as soon as the transaction is completed. This level of reporting seems impractical. CalETC and its utility members would be happy to work with you to determine what level of data is practical and feasible to collect and report.

Due to the expansive nature of the regulations—i.e., that *all* owners or operators of charging stations of *all* power levels would be required to provide data—they appear to be infeasible and impractical as presently worded. For example, as currently worded, a homeowner that charges their electric vehicle using a level 1 charger provided by the manufacturer of the vehicle, could be deemed an owner of an electric vehicle charging station under these regulations and would have to supply all of the data in subdivisions (g)(1) and (2) to the CEC. The regulations would likewise apply to small businesses, multi-unit dwellings, and workplaces that chose to install and own charging infrastructure for their residents/employees. These locations may also choose to save money by using metering or demand response for the entire circuit or by using simple monthly billing systems; which could make it infeasible for them to report this level of data. Putting additional requirements on owners or providers of charging stations at these locations could result in increased cost and market stagnation at a time when California must cultivate the market to get more plug-in electric vehicles on the road and achieve its policy goals. We assume this is not the intention of the regulations.

We would be pleased to work with you to determine what data is already available, and how best to gather the kind of data that is not available, to support the CEC’s implementation of SB 350, AB 802, and to achieve improved energy analytics.

² Although the regulations recognize that there are both networked and non-networked charging stations, we caution the CEC against moving toward any approach that would require charging stations to be networked. Requiring charging stations to be networked results in increased costs, which makes it harder for many locations to install charging stations and hurts the adoption of electric vehicles.

California Energy Commission; Docket #: 16-OIR-01
October 17, 2016
Re: Title 20 Data Collection Regulations to Support New Analytical Needs
Page 4

Thank you for your consideration. Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "E. W. Tutt". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Eileen" and last name "Tutt" clearly distinguishable.

Eileen Wenger Tutt, Executive Director
California Electric Transportation Coalition