

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-IEPR-04
Project Title:	AB1257 Natural Gas Act Report
TN #:	203406
Document Title:	George Wayne, Kinder Morgan Comments
Description:	Comments and Questions from Kinder Morgan
Filer:	Silas Bauer
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	12/5/2014 1:24:14 PM
Docketed Date:	12/5/2014

Bauer, Silas@Energy

From: Wayne Jr, George H [George_Wayne@kindermorgan.com]
Sent: Friday, November 21, 2014 2:05 PM
To: Bauer, Silas@Energy
Subject: FW: AB1257 Natural Gas Act Report, 15-IEPR-04, CA natural gas system overview CEC Silas Bauer

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I appreciate you and the CEC putting together this workshop of items and issue for stakeholder discussion.

Not sure who to provide comments to, but I'll pass them along to you on each document that I see some that needs clarification or I have a question on?

- 1) Regards to pipeline capacity to border, I'm not sure I understand the CEC rationale for not including Ruby capacity ... it doesn't send the right message in my view. Let's look at it this way. If CA demand were such that they wanted to expand all take-away capacity to match all the current inter-state capacity at the CA border, how much more would they need to expand to? The answer would be closer to 10 Bcf/d not 8.5 Bcf/d. In other words, if the in-state take way were built to handle as much as they possibly could, then the interstate pipes to the CA border could deliver up to 10 Bcf/d.
- 2) Based on the most recent info we have on Wild Goose Storage capacity, based on their most recent certificated expansion it now stands at 75 Bcf of storage
- 3) We show CA demand in 2013 at 5.8 Bcf/d which includes storage withdrawals. You stated 6.4 Bcf/d, I believe you are including the 600 MMcf/d of CA production on top of that, but that is a supply component, not a demand component. In other words, either you add interstate pipeline delivers to CA ... add production, and then net out net inj/wtd over the period OR you add interstate pipeline deliveries to the coincident time period for withdraws ... then that is the true demand. In my view you are over stating demand if you add all three together without netting out portions of these activities.

Thanks again!

George Wayne

From: eFiling@ENERGY.CA.GOV [<mailto:eFiling@ENERGY.CA.GOV>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 19, 2014 12:38 PM
To: ENERGYPOLICY@LISTSERVER.ENERGY.CA.GOV
Subject: AB1257 Natural Gas Act Report, 15-IEPR-04, CA natural gas system overview CEC Silas Bauer

Dear Subscribers,

The following Document submitted to Docket Number **15-IEPR-04** has been published:

- Title: [CA natural gas system overview CEC Silas Bauer](#)
14 page(s)
Document Type: Document

Thank you.

This is an automated e-mail message. Please do not reply to this e-mail.

11/19/2014 11:37:57 AM

[Unsubscribe from this list.](#)