

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-IEPR-01
Project Title:	General/Scope
TN #:	210147
Document Title:	Request for posting one of two large files to the CEC 15-IEPR-01 Docket
Description:	Gene Nelson Email
Filer:	Raquel Kravitz
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	2/4/2016 8:02:43 AM
Docketed Date:	2/4/2016

Kravitz, Raquel@Energy

From: Gene Nelson, Ph.D. <c0030180@airmail.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 10:41 PM
To: Kravitz, Raquel@Energy
Subject: Request for posting one of two large files to the CEC 15-IEPR-01 Docket
Attachments: Brown must do far better Regarding San Onofre 02 02 16 Collection.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Raquel: Please post the attached large file to the CEC 15-IEPR-01 Docket. It is too large to be posted via the public access website. I will attach the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Inspector General Report to the subsequent email, as it is another large file.

I would like to include a comment as an introduction to this excellent, in-depth investigative article from the *San Diego Union Tribune* by Jeff McDonald. Could you please "cut and paste" the indented text in conjunction with this file?

Sempre, the minority owner of San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS) demonstrates the traits of apparently putting shareholder profits before the production of reliable, abundant, cost-effective emissions-free nuclear power as is demonstrated in Jeff McDonald's extensive investigative journalism regarding the premature closing of SONGS.

Sadly, the same traits are also exhibited in Sempra's (mis)management of the Aliso Canyon Storage Facility (ACSF) as the series of investigative articles by the *Los Angeles Times* and the *Los Angeles Daily News* document. Several of these articles have already been posted to the CEC 15-IEPR-01 docket.

CEC's plan appears to be "business as usual" with **considerably more natural gas usage in California being advocated by the CEC**. The new 2015 IEPR delivers that message.

http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/15-IEPR-01/TN210069_20160128T104129_Energy_Policy_Report_Proposed_for_Adoption.pdf

See in particular page 237 of 240 of the proposed 2015 IEPR regarding the Aliso Canyon Storage Facility. The continued safe operation of DCPD beyond 2025 is given short shrift.

The Los Angeles Times on 24 January 2016 reported that fugitive methane emissions are between 2% and 4% of natural gas consumption.

<http://www.latimes.com/science/la-me-porter-ranch-greenhouse-20160124-story.html>

The 24 January *Los Angeles Times* article revealed that though the global warming contribution of this fugitive methane is substantial, a legislative "carve out" exempts the fugitive methane emissions from the California carbon "cap and trade" regulations. The CEC appears to wish to preserve the status quo by "studying" (er, delaying the solution) to dirty natural gas methane emissions.

Please also note my comments as an introduction to the *Los Angeles Times* article that were made in a posting to the CEC 15-IEPR-01 Docket that was published on 25 January 2016. http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/15-IEPR-01/TN208938_20160125T002249_Gene_Nelson_PhD_Comments_Fastacting_methane_from_Aliso_Canyon_1.pdf

Sincerely,

Gene Nelson, Ph.D.
Professor of Physical Science and Engineering
San Luis Obispo, California
c0030180@airmail.net email