

## DOCKETED

<b>Docket Number:</b>	15-AFC-02
<b>Project Title:</b>	Mission Rock Energy Center
<b>TN #:</b>	212559
<b>Document Title:</b>	Mission Rock Comment at IH Comments: Public - IH Comments on Mission Rock
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Mission Rock Comment at IH
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	8/2/2016 11:23:58 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	8/2/2016

*Comment Received From: Mission Rock Comment at IH*  
*Submitted On: 8/2/2016*  
*Docket Number: 15-AFC-02*

**Public - IH Comments on Mission Rock**

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*

Relays 8 miles

Thanks Alana - Public Code

Report for Applicant - King David will

DLJ, retired T, small business owner, served two 4-yr terms on our VC APCD technical Advisory Committee.

I just wish were a renewable facility so I could support it.

Strongly oppose for **Four** reasons: Water rights, It's premature, Health & Quality of Life, Your own policy on Environmental Justice

1st Water rights: Limoneira Corporation acquired water rights for farming and food processing. Water used for these purposes is eventually returned to the billion year-old aquifer which we all share. Now Limoneira plans to sell the water acquired for food production to a power company which will never return it to the aquifer. This was never the intent of the rights granted to the company. Locals still think of Limoneira Corporation as agriculture, but it's now more accurate to think of them as just another developer. They are only months away from starting construction of a 1,500 home development plus commercial and industrial facilities, **and our city council is too chicken to even require the solar on their roof tops.**

*Handwritten scribble*

2nd The proposal is premature: It's being pushed by three large corporations: Limoneira, Cal Pine, and SCE. Technology already exists that will make peaker plants obsolete within 5 yrs. Those in existence at that time will serve as regular plants. To the corporations, this is just a matter of money. Major advances in battery technology are just around the corner—in fact, already here. The corporations then intend to then operate existing "peakers" as just another power plant that will tie into a proposed grid for a number of western states. Power companies don't want to purchase power from home solar because they make far more money generating it themselves.

3rd Health and Quality of Life: Phil White, an engineer and former head of our Ventura County APCD, has testified that this facility would be a major new source of oxides of nitrogen. We already ~~far~~ exist federal <sup>health</sup> standards. The proposed plant would be next door to a jail facility housing mostly mentally ill, very near a K-8 elementary school, just down-wind of their own farm worker housing, and only 2 miles from Santa Paula. **Shame on Them.**

Limoneira

4th Environmental Justice: The California Energy Commission has adopted a policy on Environmental Justice. Thank you. We are a heavily minority community with many poor persons and needing your help this matter. The issue could not be more clearly illustrated than in this community. **thak**

would you build that out in E. Palmdale, Ontario, Lancaster

18

you