

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-AFC-02
Project Title:	Mission Rock Energy Center
TN #:	210880
Document Title:	Sheryl Hamlin Comments: Data Inadequacy MREC
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Sheryl Hamlin
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	3/28/2016 7:37:55 PM
Docketed Date:	3/29/2016

Comment Received From: Sheryl Hamlin

Submitted On: 3/28/2016

Docket Number: 15-AFC-02

Data Inadequacy MREC

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

March 28, 2016

Dear Commission:

RE: Mission Rock Peaker Plant (MREC)

The following items have not been thoroughly developed in the applicant's submission and should be added to the APC.

1. Missing is a map showing the precise location of each of the following:
 - a) proposed new gas line to SoCal Gas,
 - b) new connection to Edison and
 - c) new pipe to the Limoneira recycled water plant.Drawings should include where these lines pass over public/private land and where right-of-ways are affected and what permissions should be obtained. The plans should show where these lines are above and underground. Finally, the drawings should indicate the height of each new extrusion designed for these purposes using a 3-D visual simulation.
2. The connection to the water discharge pipeline formerly used by the Santa Clara Waste Water company is not detailed on any submission, nor is there an agency who has certified the use of such pipeline for use by the Mission Rock Energy project. There is no map showing the connection.
3. There should be a diagram showing the relation of the proposed plant to the Santa Clara River as well as what precautions will be put in place to prevent contamination with chemical contaminants or detritus of any sort, particularly construction.
4. The application mentioned lighting, but there is no simulation showing the view of the plant at night from Route 126 and Foothill road, both of which were cited in the APC.
5. The particulate matter calculation must be spread over only the days which the plant will be operating and not over 365 days a year. Therefore, this calculation cannot be made until the percent peaking is negotiated.
6. The entire project should be presented in a 3-D simulation with walk-through capability so that the proposed plant can be viewed from any angle.
7. Story poles should be placed on the property with lights to shows the lighting and the proposed height of new structures.
8. MREC is not associated with an associated energy bid, thus is "speculative" and should be put on hold until the proposed project can be analyzed pursuant to an energy need.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sheryl Hamlin". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Sheryl Hamlin
590 West Main Street PMB 108
Santa Paula, CA 93060