

DOCKETED

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Docket Number: | 15-AFC-01 |
| Project Title: | Puente Power Project |
| TN #: | 221087 |
| Document Title: | California Environmental Justice Alliance Prehearing Conference Statement |
| Description: | Prehearing Conference Statement of the California Environmental Justice Alliance |
| Filer: | Shana Lazerow |
| Organization: | Communities for a Better Environment |
| Submitter Role: | Intervenor Representative |
| Submission Date: | 9/7/2017 3:58:31 PM |
| Docketed Date: | 9/7/2017 |

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF
THE **PUENTE POWER PROJECT**

DOCKET NO. 15-AFC-01

PREHEARING CONFERENCE
STATEMENT OF THE CALIFORNIA
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
ALLIANCE

Shana Lazerow (State Bar No. 195491)
COMMUNITIES FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT
120 Broadway, Suite #2
Richmond, CA 94804
Tel: 510-302-0430 x18
Fax: 510-302-0438
Email: glimon@cbeal.org,
slazerow@cbeal.org

Attorneys for the California Environmental
Justice Alliance (CEJA)

The California Environmental Justice Alliance (“CEJA”) respectfully submits the following prehearing conference statement pursuant to the August 25, 2017 Notice of Evidentiary Hearing and Committee Conferences.

1. The issues in dispute, related to the California ISO Study, that require adjudication and the precise nature of the dispute for each issue.

CEJA believes the CAISO study implicates many issues, including whether impacts to environmental justice communities from siting the Puente project in Oxnard, as compared to alternatives raised in the CAISO study. This relates to project description/project objectives, mitigation, and, of course, alternatives analysis.

2. The identity of each witness the party intends to sponsor at the Evidentiary Hearing.

CEJA is not sponsoring witnesses for the September 14, 2017 hearing.

3. Subject areas upon which the party desires to question the other parties’ and the California ISO’s witness(es), a summary of the scope of the questions (including questions regarding witness qualifications), the issue(s) to which the questions pertain, and the time desired to question each witness. (Note: A party who fails to specify the scope, relevance and time for questioning other parties’ witness(es) risks preclusion from questioning witnesses on that subject area.)

| Witness | Scope of questions | Subject to which questions pertain | Time (min.) |
|----------------------------|--|--|-------------|
| CAISO Representative | Details of CEC CAISO report of project | Project objective, alternatives, environmental justice | 10 |
| Brian Theaker CEC Staff | His testimony on the CAISO report | Project objective, alternatives, environmental justice | 10 |

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|----|
| David Vidaver CEC staff | His testimony on the CAISO report | Project objective, alternatives, environmental justice | 10 |
| Matt Owens Stem | Details of his testimony | Availability of alternatives | 10 |
| Damon Franz / Andrew Schwartz Tesla | Details of Tesla testimony | Availability of alternatives | 5 |
| Alex Morris CESA | Testimony regarding CAISO report | Availability of alternatives | 5 |
| Doug Karpa Clean Coalition | Testimony regarding CAISO report | Viability of alternatives | 5 |

4. A list identifying exhibits with transaction numbers (i.e., TN 2151571) that the party intends to offer into evidence during the Evidentiary Hearing.

None.

Dated: September 7, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/
Shana Lazerow, SBN 195491
Communities for a Better Environment

Attorneys for the
California Environmental Justice Alliance