

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-AFC-01
Project Title:	Puente Power Project
TN #:	206927
Document Title:	City of Oxnard's Second Status Report
Description:	Status Report Submitted by City of Oxnard
Filer:	PATRICIA LARKIN
Organization:	SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP
Submitter Role:	Intervenor Representative
Submission Date:	12/10/2015 4:40:39 PM
Docketed Date:	12/10/2015

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY RESOURCES
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the matter of:

Application for Certification of the
PUENTE POWER PROJECT

DOCKET NO. 15-AFC-01

**CITY OF OXNARD'S SECOND STATUS
REPORT**

ELLISON FOLK (State Bar No. 149232)
EDWARD T. SCHEXNAYDER (State Bar No. 284494)
SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP
396 Hayes Street
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 552-7272
Facsimile: (415) 552-5816
Folk@smwlaw.com
Schexnayder@smwlaw.com

Attorneys for the CITY OF OXNARD

Pursuant to the September 24, 2015 Committee Scheduling Order, the City of Oxnard files this Status Report addressing the following issues:

New Application Materials

Since the last status report, NRG has revised its application in two material respects: (1) NRG has revised its project description and is now proposing to demolish the existing Units 1 and 2 at the Mandalay site; and (2) NRG has submitted new or substantially revised calculations of air quality and public health impacts, new emission modeling input data, and new calculations of project impacts from nitrogen deposition. The City is continuing to review these new application materials.

Discovery Status

The City submitted its Data Requests, Set 4 to NRG on December 4, 2015. Responses to that request are due on January 4, 2016. In light of NRG's revised application materials, the City also filed a motion to extend the discovery period by 90 days. That motion is pending before the Committee.

Additionally, the City has an outstanding petition to compel NRG to produce three categories of requested data: (1) unlocked emission spreadsheets supporting NRG's original application; (2) vendor information regarding the proposed GE turbine; and (3) emission test data supporting the asserted emissions for the proposed GE turbine. Responses to this petition were due on December 7, and NRG filed a response that day. Commission staff did not respond to the petition, but in its most recent status report states that NRG's original emission calculations are "no longer relevant to the analysis of the [project's] air quality impacts" due to updated information from GE.

