DOCKETED	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	15-AAER-06
Project Title:	Small Diameter Directional LED Lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps
TN #:	210096
<b>Document Title:</b>	Various Public Comments: Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Various Public
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	1/28/2016 1:43:31 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	12/23/2015

Comment Received From: Various Public

Submitted On: 1/28/2016 Docket Number: 15-AAER-06

## **Docket Number 15-AAER-06**

Various public comments submitted after the deadline (5p.m., January 22, 2016)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:35 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Alec Beyers

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:04 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Alice Labay

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:02 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Amrit Khalsa

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:07 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ann Tubbs

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:11 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Anne Gross** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:56 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Barbara Haehnel

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:26 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Barry Schwartz** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:42 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Beth Bennion** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:48 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Beth Herndobler

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 11:05 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Bob Edgerly** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:09 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Brent Larsen** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:18 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Brian Humble

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:37 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

CC

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:57 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous efficacy, color rendering and longevity.

The proposed standards provide an opportunity for Californians to save \$4 billion over the next 13 years. By 2029, the standards will be saving about 3,000 Gwh per year, which is equivalent to about 400,000 average homes indefinitely or avoiding the construction of one 500 MW power plant. That means 10.3 million metric tons of CO2 avoided between 2017 and 2029, which is equivalent to the emissions of about 168,000 cars.

However, opponents of the proposal want to weaken the standards, which will harm the reputation of LED lighting, thereby chipping away at potential monetary and energy savings, thwarting efforts to fight climate change and making them less enjoyable.

As a consumer, I don't want to see the standards weakened because it means I save less money, the planet suffers and I don't get to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Candace Niccolson

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:15 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Cara Barnhill

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:02 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Carol Tao

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:06 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Licalsi

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:36 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Catherine Ridder

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:55 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Charles Levine** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:08 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Bailey, Jr.

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:22 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Chip Sharpe

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:16 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Curtis Swan** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:30 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**David Sarricks** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:20 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**David Sherman** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:49 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**David Welts** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:39 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Debbie Proctor** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:23 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Dehra Iverson

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:05 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Diana Duncan

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:09 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Elaine Alfaro

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:17 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Shore

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:25 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Eric Zakin

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 11:01 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Esperanza Martinez

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 11:02 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ester Deel

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:35 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Francesca Bolognini

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:49 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Gary Haven

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:18 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**George Stewart** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:24 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Gerald Wise

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:02 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Harry Garrison

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:46 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Iris Chynoweth

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:04 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

J Hays

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:26 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jacqui Bradshaw

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:36 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jamie Rackley

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:41 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jason Thomas

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:09 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jean Ricci

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:58 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

John Dymesich

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:05 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

John Sefton

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:59 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Joleen Siebert

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:50 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jon Porter Md

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:59 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Joseph Pluta

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:41 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Kate Ague

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:14 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Kathlyn Robbins

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:13 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Kay Rudin

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:42 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ken Gould

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:03 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ken Lucas

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:54 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Ryan

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:58 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Kermit Cuff** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:18 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Kim Tran

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:54 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Krista Shields

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:10 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Kristen Renton

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:37 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Lanier Hines** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:33 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous efficacy, color rendering and longevity.

The proposed standards provide an opportunity for Californians to save \$4 billion over the next 13 years. By 2029, the standards will be saving about 3,000 Gwh per year, which is equivalent to about 400,000 average homes indefinitely or avoiding the construction of one 500 MW power plant. That means 10.3 million metric tons of CO2 avoided between 2017 and 2029, which is equivalent to the emissions of about 168,000 cars.

However, opponents of the proposal want to weaken the standards, which will harm the reputation of LED lighting, thereby chipping away at potential monetary and energy savings, thwarting efforts to fight climate change and making them less enjoyable.

As a consumer, I don't want to see the standards weakened because it means I save less money, the planet suffers and I don't get to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Laurel Brewer

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:02 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Leslie Klein

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:35 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Linda Howie

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:19 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Linda Karow

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:31 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Linda Weiner

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:08 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Lindsay Taylor** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:49 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Luis Garcia

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:02 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

M. Virginia Leslie

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:44 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Marie Keefe

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:06 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Shepherdson

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:26 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Maureen Walsh

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:57 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Melanie Fisher

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:18 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Michael Hall

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 11:04 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Michael McMahan

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:09 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Michelle Palladine

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:18 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ms Lilith

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:39 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Nancy Berman

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:27 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Nancy Petranto

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:11 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Nona Weiner

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:24 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Pat Davis

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:16 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Phil Gardner

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:42 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Phillip Hansen

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:10 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Pieter Kark

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:33 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Pixy Scott** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 5:13 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ralph Hipps

1

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:28 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Randy Nelsen

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 10:44 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Koo

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:26 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Rene Maurice

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 7:55 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Richard Norris** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:26 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Rick Luttmann

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:58 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Robert Ortiz** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:07 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Robert Pann

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:05 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ron Goldman

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:50 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Ron Riskin

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:44 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous efficacy, color rendering and longevity.

The proposed standards provide an opportunity for Californians to save \$4 billion over the next 13 years. By 2029, the standards will be saving about 3,000 Gwh per year, which is equivalent to about 400,000 average homes indefinitely or avoiding the construction of one 500 MW power plant. That means 10.3 million metric tons of CO2 avoided between 2017 and 2029, which is equivalent to the emissions of about 168,000 cars.

However, opponents of the proposal want to weaken the standards, which will harm the reputation of LED lighting, thereby chipping away at potential monetary and energy savings, thwarting efforts to fight climate change and making them less enjoyable.

As a consumer, I don't want to see the standards weakened because it means I save less money, the planet suffers and I don't get to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Rose An

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 6:33 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Russell Purcey

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 8:25 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

**Ruth Selan** 

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:34 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

S Smith

Sent:Friday, January 22, 2016 9:26 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Samuel Popailo