

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-AAER-06
Project Title:	Small Diameter Directional LED Lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps
TN #:	209855
Document Title:	NRDC Comments: Supplemental Comments on CEC's Revised 15 day language
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	NRDC
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	1/26/2016 3:56:02 PM
Docketed Date:	1/22/2016

Comment Received From: NRDC

Submitted On: 1/26/2016

Docket Number: 15-AAER-06

Supplemental Comments on CEC's Revised 15 day language

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Paul, Patricia@Energy

From: Arevalo, Evelyn <earevalo@nrdc.org> on behalf of Horowitz, Noah <nhorowitz@nrdc.org>
Sent: Friday, January 22, 2016 5:20 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: NRDC Supplemental Comments on CEC's Revised 15 day language

Dear Kristen

Below are NRDC's comments for submission to the docket. I tried submitting them using the CEC's link, but it was not working or was overloaded.

NRDC Comments on the 15 Day Language for LED General Service Lamps and Small Diameter Directional Lamps

Submitted by Noah Horowitz, Senior Scientist, Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), January 22, 2016

I am writing on behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council, a leading environmental advocacy group, that has been an active participant in the CEC's Title 20 proceeding to adopt regulations for small diameter directional lamps and general service LED lamps. Our comments below supplement earlier oral and written comments we previously submitted.

1. **NRDC continues to strongly support adoption of the proposed standards for small diameter reflector lamps.** - These products are currently not regulated by either CA or DOE, and savings of up to 85% will be achieved by these standards.
2. **NRDC recommends CEC modify the requirements for decorative LED lamps greater than 150 lumens.** Decorative lamps are those lamps that often have a candelabra base and/or have a flame shape enclosure. These lamps are typically placed in chandeliers and sconces. Due to their small size/form factor, it is harder to achieve the same efficacies as bigger, more common bulbs such as the A lamps. A review of the CEC data base shows that decorative lamps typically have a lower efficacy of around 10 lumen per watt (LPW) compared to general service lamps of similar brightness.

Halogen decorative lamps use 4 to 5 times more energy than equivalent LEDs do and also have a lower first cost. In addition, lamps with candelabra shape or bases are currently allowed under EISA to consume up to 40 and 60W, respectively. As such it's critical that there be sufficient availability of decorative LED lamps in California and that they can compete with halogens as it is still not clear how these lamps will be treated under Tier 2 of the federal lighting standards which are under development.

To address this concern, we recommend CEC either extend the effective date of this portion of the standard by one year, to January 1, 2019, or reduce the required compliance score for these products by 10 points, to reflect their 10 LPW lower efficacy.

3. **NRDC appreciates the various positions that exist amongst stakeholders regarding color rendering and the compromises that CEC has made to date.** – NRDC has stated throughout this proceeding their support that some minimum requirement should be set around color rendering and there seems to be consensus that a color rendering index (CRI) between 80 and 90 would be appropriate. In the absence of hard evidence or data that

consumers have not been happy with lamps that have CRI of 80 (the value currently set by ENERGY STAR), or conversely that consumers will be dissatisfied unless the lamp has a CRI of 90 or higher, we have not been able to support efforts to set CRI at levels much above 80. That is because the higher CRI lamps use more power and are more expensive.

As a compromise to the various positions and concerns that have been expressed, we believe the CEC has come up with a structure that works, which includes setting the minimum CRI at 82 (and not 90) and providing a sliding scale that allows lamps with higher CRI to have lower efficacy levels. It is unclear at this time what path manufacturers will need to take in order to achieve the CEC's compliance score, which is a blended formula of efficacy and CRI. If it requires manufacturers to have to shift to CRI 90 or higher lamps, this might not be the optimal outcome for California or the environment.

If the CEC is able to continue to evaluate this issue or modify its proposal, we suggest CEC take a closer look at its requirement for R8 of at least 0.72 as it has the effect of requiring bulbs to have an overall CRI of 84 or higher, and further constrain LED designs.

NOAH HOROWITZ
*Director - Center for
Energy Efficiency Standards*

NATURAL RESOURCES
DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER ST., 20TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
T 415.875.6100
NHOROWITZ@NRDC.ORG