DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	93-AFC-02C	
Project Title:	Compliance - Application for Certification SMUD's Proctor & Gamble Cogeneration Project	
TN #:	204649	
Document Title:	Procter & Gamble - Supplement #2 to 10-30-2014 Petition to Amend	
Description:	N/A	
Filer:	Mary Dyas	
Organization:	CH2M Hill	
Submitter Role:	Applicant Consultant	
Submission Date:	5/19/2015 8:30:15 AM	
Docketed Date:	5/19/2015	

CH2M HILL 2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600

Sacramento, CA 95833

Tel 916.286.0224

Fax 916.920.8463



May 18, 2015

Ms. Mary Dyas California Energy Commission Siting, Transmission and Engineering Division 1516 9th Street MS-46 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

RE: Supplement #2 to the Sacramento Cogeneration Authority's Procter and Gamble Cogeneration Project (93-AFC-2C) Auxiliary Boiler 1B Project Petition to Amend

Dear Ms. Dyas:

The Sacramento Cogeneration Authority (SCA) respectfully submits this Supplement #2 as additional information for its October 2014 petition to the California Energy Commission (CEC) for post-certification license modification for the Sacramento Cogeneration Authority's (SCA) Procter and Gamble Cogeneration Project (PGCP) (93-AFC-2C) located at 5000 83rd Street, Sacramento, California.

Once you have reviewed this Supplement, please e-file it.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

CH2M HILL

Carrie

John L. Carrier, J.D. Program Manager

c: SMUD Project File

Supplement 2: Equipment Location

Addition of an Auxiliary Boiler and Associated Facilities

for the

Sacramento Cogeneration Authority's Procter and Gamble Cogeneration Project

Sacramento, California

(93-AFC-2C)

Submitted to California Energy Commission

Submitted by Sacramento Cogeneration Authority

May 2015



Contents

Section	ection	
1.0	Introduction	.1-1
2.0	Equipment Location	.2-1

ii

Figures

S2-1 Auxiliary Boiler Relocation and Design, General Arrangement

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ERCs	emission reduction credits
FRAQMD	Feather River Air Quality Management District
PGCP	Procter and Gamble Cogeneration Project
ΡΤΑ	Petition to Amend
SCA	Sacramento Cogeneration Authority
SMAQMD	Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District

SECTION 1.0

Sacramento Cogeneration Authority's (SCA) October 2014 petition for post-certification license amendment (Petition to Amend, or PTA) proposes to install an additional auxiliary boiler (Boiler 1B) and associated facilities at the Procter and Gamble Cogeneration Project (PGCP) site. The environmental impacts assessment presented in the PTA concludes that there will be no significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the actions specified in the PTA. The associated air quality impacts were determined to be less than the levels currently being experienced at the PGCP facility. Therefore, the PTA concludes that not only will no adverse air quality effects on the environment occur because of the proposed changes to the project, but some minor environmental benefits will occur.

Nonetheless, the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) determined that the project triggers emission offset requirements for pollutants nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds, fine particulate matter, and ultra-fine particulate matter under its rules and regulations. Accordingly, SCA proposes to use emission reduction credits (ERCs) owned by the Sacramento Municipal Utility District and located in the Feather River Air Quality Management District (FRAQMD). This will require that the ERCs be transferred from the FRAQMD to the SMAQMD for use at SCA's Sacramento facility. This documentation was provided in Supplement 1.

In addition, the project design has advanced since the PTA was first filed, and better location and design information for the new Boiler 1B is now available. It is provided in this Supplement 2.

Equipment Location

Since the PTA was filed on October 30, 2014 design work on this project has continued to move forward. Figure S2-1 shows the latest general arrangement drawing of the new Boiler 1B at the plant site and provides the coordinates of the stack location. This information does not significantly change the stack location details previously submitted, nor does it affect the conclusions of the air quality analysis regarding insignificant project impacts.

