<b>Docket Number:</b>	79-AFC-04C			
Project Title:	Compliance - Application for Certification of DWR Bottlerock Geotherma Project			
TN #:	200662			
<b>Document Title:</b>	David Coleman and Friends of Cobb Mountain's Second Status Report			
<b>Description:</b>	Status Report			
Filer:	Donald B. Mooney			
Organization:	Law Office of Donald B. Mooney			
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Intervenor			
Submission Date:	9/30/2013 1:32:50 PM			
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	9/30/2013			

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	Docket No. 79-AFC-04C
BOTTLE ROCK POWER, LLC	)	
	)	
BOTTLE ROCK GEOTHERMAL	)	
POWER PLANT	j	

### DAVID COLEMAN AND FRIENDS OF COBB MOUNTAIN'S SECOND STATUS REPORT

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** 

Donald B. Mooney Law Office of Donald B. Mooney 129 C Street, Suite 2 Davis, CA 95616 530-758-2377 dbmooney@dcn.org

Attorney for David Coleman and Friends of Cobb Mountain

Pursuant to the Committees' June 28, 2013 Scheduling Order, Intervenors David Coleman and Friends of Cobb Mountain submit this Status Report.

Intervenors are in general agreement with the Staff's Recommendations contained in the September 2013 Compliance Condition Analysis, as well as the Proposed Compliance Conditions of Certification Amendments. Intervenors, however, have several comments on the Compliance Conditions. Additionally, Intervenors remain concerned that Bottle Rock failed to provide adequate responses to Staffs' Data Requests and that Bottle Rock has refused and failed to address these inadequacies.

#### A. COMMENTS ON STAFFS' PROPOSED COMPLIANCE CONDITIONS

Intervenors request that the adjustments to the surety bond associated with the triennial Provisional Closure Plan be mandatory and not discretionary. To this end, Intervenors propose the following modifications to COM-16 – Closure Financial Assurances:

Within sixty (60) days of the CPM approval of each triennial Provisional Closure Plan Prepared pursuant to COM-15, the surety bond amount may shall be adjusted to reflect any change in estimated costs, and within thirty (30) of any adjustment the project owner must submit for CEP review and approval all documentation of the adjustment.

Intervenors also request that the Compliance Conditions provide for Bottle Rock to submit three independent estimates of the costs of the Provisional Closure Plan. This will give the Commission's staff the opportunity to compare cost estimates and to ensure that Bottle Rock is not simply submitting a low estimate in order to have a reduced bond requirement. Multiple estimates would allow staff to more fully evaluate the closure costs and to set a bond amount that reflects the actual potential costs.

# B. A Bond and Environmental Insurance Policy are Necessary in Order to Provide Financial Assurance

Bottle Rock has argued that it should not be required to have a closure bond requirement. Bottle Rock bases its argument on primarily two factors: 1) other DAVID COLEMAN AND FRIENDS OF COBB MOUNTAIN'S SECOND STATUS REPORT

owner/operators are not required to have similar closure bond; and 2) Bottle Rock is more financially stable than in 2001 when the Commission issued the original order. Both arguments are unsupported by the facts and cannot be the basis for removing the bond and environmental insurance requirements.

With respect to the argument that other owner/operators are not required to have similar bond closures. Other owner/operators such as Calpine and Pacific Gas& Electric are large corporations doing business in California with significant assets and other projects covered by the California Energy Commission. Thus, if the situation arose to close one of their geothermal projects, those companies would have sufficient assets to pay for all closure and remediation. Bottle Rock, however, does not have sufficient assets to pay for an unplanned closure or even a planned closure. This project is Bottle Rock's only facility in California and Bottle Rock appears to have no other additional assets. Bottle Rock was formed specifically for the operation of this facility and has provided no evidence that is possesses any other additional assets. Bottle Rock's parent companies, U.S.Renewables Group, RiverStone Holding, and the Carlyle Group have not stepped up to provide legal assurance that they would be responsible for any and all costs associated with plant closure and remediation. Thus, at the present time, Bottle Rock, with no known assets other than the Bottle Rock facility, is the party responsible for funding the plant closure. If the facility requires immediate closure, Bottle Rock does not have sufficient assets to meet its obligations. As such, a bond requirement must exist in order to provide financial assurances to the Commission and the public that sufficient assets exist for plant closure and remediation.

Bottle Rock also argues that it is more financially stable than in 2001 when the Commission issued the original order and bond requirement. Bottle Rock, however, has offered no evidence to support this assertion. Through the process involving the Complaint filed by David Coleman and in this Petition to Amend proceeding, Bottle

Rock has continually refused to provide the Commission and the public information regarding its financial status. While Bottle Rock's president, Brian Harms, has made bold statements regarding Bottle Rock's financial health and status, Bottle Rock has provided no evidence, let alone sufficient evidence, to support these statements. In fact, Bottle Rock has argued that it needs the bond requirement removed in order to access capital and credit so that Bottle Rock can move forward with its significant expansion plans. Thus, Bottle Rock, by its own admission, does not have sufficient assets to cover its expansion, let alone it closure of the facility. As such, the Commission must impose the bond requirement and environmental insurance.

# C. BOTTLE ROCK HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE RESPONSES TO STAFF'S DATA REQUESTS

Bottle Rock has taken no action to address its inadequate responses to the Staffs' Data Requests. Despite Staffs' reasonable and relevant Data Requests, Bottle Rock failed to provide adequate responses to several of the Data Requests. Bottle Rock's objections and inadequate responses constitutes a continuation of Bottle Rock's practice to withhold relevant information from the Committee and the public regarding Bottle Rock's operations and ability to meet its financial obligations with respect to decommissioning and site restoration. While Bottle Rock has petitioned the Commission to be relieved of the bond requirement and other financial requirements contained in Order Number 01-0530-07, Bottle Rock refuses to provide critical information for the Committee and the parties to this matter to evaluate the Petition to Amend.

# D. BOTTLE ROCK'S DECOMMISSIONING REPORT ATTEMPTS TO LIMIT THE SCOPE AND LEVEL OF POST-CLOSURE REMEDIATION

As evidenced by Bottle Rock's objections and responses to the Data Requests, and the amended Decommission Report, Bottle Rock seeks to limit the scope and level of post-closure remediation. Bottle Rock also attempts to limit its responsibility of the site

regarding security and post-closure maintenance. See Intervenors' August 2013 Status Report.

Bottle Rock attempts to limit is closure plan to sometime in the distant future, whereas in evaluating the closure, Commission staff have assumed that closure could occur anytime between now and the next three years. Closure includes demolition and removal of everything except for the turbine generator plant building, water treatment and storage building, and perimeter access roads (which would require ongoing cost of maintenance and security, until an alternative use is implemented). Bottle Rock has objected to such closure requirements and has clearly indicated through its

As for security and post-closure maintenance, Bottle Rock argues that will be the responsibility of the landowner and thus Bottle Rock need not provide that information to the Committee. If Bottle Rock has such an agreement with the landowner, then Bottle Rock should provide the agreement to the Committee and parties. Moreover, even if such agreement exists, there still needs to be a plan for funding post-closure maintenance and security. If Bottle Rock does not take financial responsibility, then the Committed should direct the landowner to submit information that it has the financial capability to fund post-closure maintenance and security. If the landowner cannot provide such assurances, then the Committee should demand such assurances from Bottle Rock.

#### E. BOTTLE ROCK'S OBJECTIONS TO THE DATA REQUESTS ARE WITHOUT MERIT

Intervenors incorporate their comments from the August 2013 Status Report regarding Bottle Rock's objections to the Staffs' Data Requests. As discussed in the August 2013 Status Report, Bottle Rock failed to provide adequate responses and the objections are without merit. To date, Bottle Rock has not corrected its failure to comply with the Data Requests.

#### F. CONCLUSION

Intervenors generally support the Staff's recommendations regarding the bond requirement and environmental insurance. Adjustment of the bond amount, however, should be mandatory based upon the triennial review and not discretionary. The Committee should also direct Bottle Rock to provide adequate responses to the Data Requests.

Dated: September 30, 2013 LAW OFFICE OF DONALD B. MOONEY

By Donald B. Mooney

Donald B. Mooney Attorney for David Coleman and Friends of Cobb Mountain

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

I, Donald B. Mooney, declare that on August 9, 2013, I served and filed copies of the David Coleman and Friends of Cobb Mountain's Status Report dated September 30, 2013. The most recent Proof of Service List, which I copied from the web page for this project at: http://www.energy.ca.gov, is attached to this Declaration.

For ser	vice to all	other partic	es and filing	g with the	<b>Docket Un</b>	it at the	Energy
<b>Commission:</b>							

Comr	mission:	
X	and I personally delivered the document class postage to those persons for what address is shown on the attached Pro-	t to the Energy Commission's e-filing systemment or deposited it in the US mail with first nom a physical mailing address but no e-mail pof of Service List. [The e-filing system will be via e-mail when the document is approved
	document or deposited it in the US for whom a physical mailing address	energy.ca.gov and I personally delivered the mail with first class postage to those person less but no e-mail address is shown on the e e-filing system will serve the other partie document is approved for filing.]
		ocument, I personally delivered it or class postage to all of the persons on the om a mailing address is given and to the
	California Energy Con Attn: Docket No 1516 Ninth Street, MS Sacramento, CA 9581	S-4
	the document is received, scanned, up	her parties and Committee via e-mail when ploaded, and approved for filing. The system is the official copy of the document.]
	are under penalty of perjury under the loing is true and correct, and that I am o	
Dated	1: September 30, 2013	Donald B. Mooney  Donald B. Mooney

### **Applicant**

Brian Harms, General Manager Bottle Rock Power, LLC 7385 High Valley Road, P.O. Box 326 Cobb, CA 95426 bharms@bottlerockpower.com

### Applicant's Representative

John A. McKinsey Locke Lord, LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1800 Sacramento, CA 95814 jmckinsey@lockelord.com

Kristen T. Castaños Stoel Rives, LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814 ktcastanos@stoel.com

#### Intervener

David Coleman 3733 Canon Avenue Oakland, CA 94602 redandcurly@yahoo.com

#### **Hamilton Hess**

Friends of Cobb Mountain 255 Ursuline Road Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Hesshab@aol.com

#### Intervener's Representative

Donald B. Mooney, Counsel for David Coleman and Friends of Cobb Mountain
Law Office of Donald B. Mooney
129 C Street, #2
Davis, CA 95616
dbmooney@dcn.org

#### Mark Peterson, Counsel for Project Landowner, V.V & J. Coleman LLC, Counsel

for Project Landowner, V.V & J. Coleman LLC Diepenbrock Elkin LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2200 Sacramento, CA 95814 mpeterson@diepenbrock.com

#### Commission Staff

## Camille Remy Obad, Compliance Project Manager

California Energy Commission
Siting, Transmission & Environmental Protection Division, 1516 Ninth Street, MS-2000
Sacramento, CA 95814
camille.remy-obad@energy.ca.gov

#### efiling archive

California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA efilingPOSarchive@energy.ca.gov

Kevin W. Bell, Staff Counsel California Energy Commission Office of the Chief Counsel, 1516 Ninth Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 kevin.w.bell@energy.ca.gov

Richard Ratliff, Staff Counsel California Energy Commission Office of the Chief Counsel, 1516 Ninth Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 dick.ratliff@energy.ca.gov

#### Committee

**Eileen Allen**, Commissioners' Technical Adviser for Facility Siting California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

**Galen Lemei**, Adviser to Commissioner Douglas California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

#### JANEA A. SCOTT, Associate Member, Commissioner

California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

**Jennifer Nelson**, Adviser to Commissioner Douglas California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

**Jim Bartridge**, Adviser to Commissioner Scott California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

### KAREN DOUGLAS, Presiding Member, Commissioner

California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

**Paul Kramer**, Chief Hearing Officer California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

#### Public Adviser

Alana Mathews, Public Adviser California Energy Commission Public Advisers Office, 1516 Ninth Street, MS-12 Sacramento, CA 95814 publicadviser@energy.ca.gov

#### Public Agency

### California ISO

Folsom, CA e-recipient@caiso.com

Douglas Gearhart, Air Pollution Control Officer

Lake County AQMD 885 Lakeport Boulevard Lakeport, CA 95453 dougg@lcaqmd.net

Elizabeth Johnson, Geothermal Officer

Department of Conservation, Division of Oil, Gas, & Geothermal Resources 801 K Street, MS 20-20 Sacramento, CA 95814 <a href="mailto:ljohnson@consrv.ca.gov">ljohnson@consrv.ca.gov</a>

John Dunnigan, Senior Staff Counsel Department of Water Resources 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1104 Sacramento, CA 95814 jdunniga@water.ca.gov

#### **Richard Coel**

Lake County Community Development Department-Planning Division 255 North Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453
<a href="mailto:richard.coel@lakecountyca.gov">richard.coel@lakecountyca.gov</a>

#### **Will Evans**

Lake County Community Development Department-Planning Division 255 North Forbes Street
Lakeport, CA 95453
will.evans@lakecountyca.gov