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NOTICE OF RECEIPT
PETITION TO AMEND
THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION DECISION FOR THE
ROSEVILLE ENERGY PARK (03-AFC-1C)

On March 28, 2016, the City of Roseville Electric Department filed a Petition to Amend
the Air Quality conditions of certification in the California Energy Commission’s Final
Decision, as amended, for the Roseville Energy Park, pursuant to Condition of
Certification AQ-SC9. The 160-megawatt project was certified by the Energy
Commission on April 13, 2005, and began commercial operation in October 2007. The
facility is located in the City of Roseville, in Placer County.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION

Roseville electric is requesting the following modifications:

1. Change the conditions of certification to refer to the turbines as Siemens SGT800
turbines, rather than Alstom GX100 turbines. The Final Decision approved the
installation of either the Alstom GTX100 gas turbine generator or the General
Electric LM6000PC Sprint gas turbine generator. The Final Decision included Air
Quality Conditions of Certification that were applicable dependent on which gas
turbine generator was selected. Roseville Electric elected to install two Alstom
GTX100 gas turbine generators, which were renamed as the Siemens SGT800.

2. Eliminate those conditions that are expressly applicable to the GE LM6000 PC,
which were not installed.

3. Delete Air Quality Condition of Certification AQ-13 as it is no longer needed since
the limitation of the hours of operation set forth in this condition of certification is
more restrictive than the hourly limit. Roseville Electric requests that this change
be approved contingent upon the corresponding changes in the Title V Operating
Permit and Permit to Operate being approved.

4. Modify Air Quality Condition of Certification AQ-51 to conform this condition of
certification to a requested revision in the Title V Operating Permit and Permit to
Operate. The Permit to Operate for the project inadvertently omitted language
regarding replacement, repair, and reconditioning of the Selective Catalytic
Reduction (SCR) catalyst following an ammonia slip. Roseville Electric has
requested that the Placer County Air Pollution Control District amend the Title V
Operating Permit and Permit to Operate to incorporate such language, and has
proposed that the SCR catalyst be replaced, repaired, or reconditioned within 24
months of an ammonia slip exceeding 7ppm.
ENERGY COMMISSION AMENDMENT REVIEW PROCEDURES

Air Quality Condition of Certification AQ-SC9 provides that the Compliance Project Manager, in consultation with the Placer County Air Pollution Control District, may approve any change to a condition of certification regarding air quality if the following requirements are met:

1. The Project remains in compliance with all applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards;
2. The requested change clearly will not cause the Project to result in a significant environmental impact;
3. No additional mitigation or offsets will be required as a result of the change;
4. No existing daily, quarterly, or annual permit limit will be exceeded as a result of the change; and
5. No increase in any daily, quarterly, or annual permit limit will be necessary as a result of the change.

Energy Commission staff is currently reviewing the request to ensure it meets the above mentioned requirements in AQ-SC9. The purpose of the Energy Commission’s review process is to assess the impacts of this proposal on environmental quality and on public health and safety. The review process includes an evaluation of the consistency of the proposed changes with the Energy Commission’s Decision and a determination on whether the facility would remain in compliance with applicable laws, ordinances, regulations and standards (20 Cal. Code of Regs., § 1769). After the staff has completed its independent review and analysis of this request, it will publish its assessment for public review and comment.

The Energy Commission’s webpage for this facility, http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/roseville, has a link to the amendment petition on the right side of the webpage in the box labeled “Compliance Proceeding.” Click on the “Documents for this Proceeding (Docket Log)” option.

This Notice of Receipt has been mailed to the Energy Commission’s facility mail list of interested parties and property owners adjacent to the facility site. It has also been e-mailed to the facility listserv. The listserv is an automated Energy Commission e-mail system by which information about this facility is e-mailed to parties who have subscribed. To subscribe, go to the Energy Commission’s webpage for this facility, cited above, scroll down the right side of the project’s webpage to the box labeled “Subscribe,” and provide the requested contact information.

Any person may comment on the request. To use the Energy Commission’s electronic commenting feature, go to the Energy Commission’s webpage for this facility, cited above, click on the “Submit e-Comment” link, and follow the instructions in the on-line
form. Be sure to include the facility name in your comments. Once submitted, the Energy Commission Dockets Unit reviews and approves your comments, and you will receive an e-mail with a link to them.

Written comments may also be mailed or hand-delivered to:

California Energy Commission
Dockets Unit, MS-4
Docket No. 03-AFC-1C
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

All comments and materials filed with and approved by the Dockets Unit will be added to the facility Docket Log and become publicly accessible on the Energy Commission’s webpage for the facility.

If you have questions about this notice, please contact Mary Dyas, Compliance Project Manager, at (916) 651-8891, or by fax to (916) 654-3882, or via e-mail at mary.dyas@energy.ca.gov.

For information on participating in the Energy Commission’s review of the petition, call Alana Mathews, Public Adviser, at (916) 654-4489 or (800) 822-6228 (toll-free in California) or send your e-mail to publicadviser@energy.ca.gov. News media inquiries should be directed to the Energy Commission Media Office at (916) 654-4989, or by e-mail to mediaoffice@energy.ca.gov.

Date: April 13, 2016

CHRISTINE ROOT,
Compliance Office Manager
Siting, Transmission, & Environmental Protection Division

Mail List: 7172
ListServ: Roseville