DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	26-SPPE-02
Project Title:	Silver Creek Back-up Generating Facility (SFBGF)
TN #:	273112
Document Title:	Prologs Request For Confidentiality - Cultural Resources Technical Report - SCBGF
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Scott Galati
Organization:	DayZenLLC
Submitter Role:	Applicant Representative
Submission Date:	10/13/2026 9:58:50 AM
Docketed Date:	10/13/2026

DAYZEN LLC

October 13, 2026

Mr. Drew Bohan
Executive Director
California Energy Commission
715 P Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: ***Application for Confidential Designation***
Cultural Resources Assessment Reports
Silver Creek Backup Generating Facility (26-SPPE-02)
San Jose, California

Dear Mr. Bohan,

Prologis L.P. (Prologis), as owner of the Silver Creek Generating Facility (Project), requests that the attached information be designated confidential pursuant to 20 California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 2505. This information is being supplied to the California Energy Commission (CEC) as required by CEC regulation and in support of its SPPE Application docketed on September 18, 2026.

In support of its Application for Confidential Designation, LBA provides the following information:

APPLICANT: Prologis L.P.

ADDRESS: Pier 1, Bay 1
San Francisco, California 94111

1(a). Title, date, and description (including number of pages) of the record for which you request confidential designation.

> ***Cultural Resource Assessment Report, Prologis Data Center Project, Solver Creek Valley Road, City of San José, Santa Clara County, California.***
>
> ***Cultural Resources Assessment Report Silver Creek Valley Transmission Tower Project, City of San José, Santa Clara County, California***

1(b). Specify the part(s) of the record for which you request confidential designation.

> The reports identified above in 1(a) in their entirety.

2. State and justify the length of time the Commission should keep the record confidential.

> The reports identified above should be kept confidential indefinitely to protect potential cultural resources and sites. If the descriptions of the locations of the sites are released to the public domain, there is potential for looting of that site.

3(a). State the provisions of the Public Records Act or other law that allows the Commission to keep the record confidential, and explain why the provision(s) apply to the record.

> The reports identified above specifically identify areas of potential cultural and historical significance. They are thus protected under Government Code Sections 6254(e) and 6254(k). Protection provided is analogous to that given to Native American sacred places under Section 6254(r) of the Government Code.

3(b). Discuss the public interest in nondisclosure of the record. If the record contains trade secrets or its disclosure would otherwise cause loss of a competitive advantage, please also state how it would be lost, the value of the information to the applicant, and the ease or difficulty with which the information could be legitimately acquired or duplicated by others.

The public interest will be served by nondisclosure by preventing looting of the cultural resources and sites described in the reports. Such looting would preclude scientific study of the sites to gain data about the cultural resources of the area.

.


4. State whether the record may be disclosed if it is aggregated with other information or masked to conceal certain portions (including but not limited to the identity of the applicant). State the degree of aggregation or masking required. If the data cannot be disclosed even if aggregated or masked, explain why.

Prologis believes the CEC staff will require the specific information contained in the reports to properly perform its analysis. Aggregation of the information would hinder a complete CEC analysis. However, Prologis believes the CEC can incorporate a generalized summary of information contained in the reports to properly state the basis for its analysis without disclosing information specific enough to facilitate unauthorized collection or disturbance of sensitive cultural resources.

5. State how the record is kept confidential by the applicant and whether it has ever been disclosed to a person other than an employee of the applicant. If it has, explain the circumstances under which disclosure occurred.

Prologis has not disclosed any of the subject information to anyone other than its employees, attorneys, PG&E cultural employees, and consultants working on the Project. Moreover, this information will not be disclosed to any other persons employed by or working for Prologis except on a "need to know" basis. Prologis is marking this information "Confidential" and is instituting a policy that segregates this information from other Project files and that access to it be restricted to a designated confidential information manager or managers within Prologis or its attorneys and consultants.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the information contained in this application for confidential designation is true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and I am authorized to make the application and certification on behalf of the Applicant.



Scott A. Galati
Counsel to Prologis L.P.