

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	272744
Document Title:	Lewis C Derfuss III Comments - Incomplete Analysis
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Lewis C Derfuss III
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	9/14/2026 11:55:21 AM
Docketed Date:	9/14/2026

Comment Received From: Lewis C Derfuss III
Submitted On: 9/14/2026
Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Incomplete Analysis

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

You cited two serrano's one When not If a fire occurs they can not be extinguished, they can only be cooled with massive amounts of water to help contain and hopefully, not spread to other units—Explain how this is safe with minimal to No negative Effect. Also, I believe the stated onsite water was listed as 6000 Gal which would only provide minutes of water required to suppress a fire, far from the water required for required long term cooling of a fire and there are not enough water tenders in the area to supply the long-term needs So what is the plan, I suspect there isn't one.

This leads into what is the plan to contain the toxins contained in the water used to cool the fire from spreading across the land and leaching into our streams, creeks, canals and ground water that supplies both our city and local residents wells

Your analysis took soil sampling that was either 18 to 24 inches deep, while this might appear as an in-depth analysis and may be of some value it actually dilutes the findings of where the biggest impact would be found in the top 6 inches of the soil. Your analysis is incomplete and needs to include an analysis of the top 6 inches of soil. You need to provide this data as part of your report and provide us the results. My bet is it will be enlightened and will change your finding of Minimum to No effect.