

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	272488
Document Title:	Ruthie Brock Comments - Where is the support for Corby coming from
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Ruthie Brock
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	9/10/2026 1:15:43 PM
Docketed Date:	9/10/2026

*Comment Received From: Ruthie Brock
Submitted On: 9/10/2026
Docket Number: 24-OPT-05*

Where is the support for Corby coming from

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

CEC Staff,

Let it not go unnoticed that the only persons sending in comments supporting the Corby, where proposed, are IBEW union workers. Their comments seem a bit contrived in that they are all basically utilizing the same form letter with the slightest of variations. So how important is it for them to support this project if they can't take initiative to craft their own comments? Of course they stand to gain if the Corby is approved. Everyone needs a paycheck to support their family, right? I will not argue that point.

Alternatively, the residents affected by this project in the proposed Kilkenny Rd. location and numerous others have submitted extensive comments on the DRAFT EIR/SA, both in content and in number, and I thank the CEC for carefully considering each one before finalizing the EIR.

However, I would like to point out that any union jobs related to the construction of Corby will be short-term. Once the project is commissioned and online, their jobs are over and they will move on to support the next battery energy storage project, regardless if it's safely sited away from residents or not. After all, it's a paycheck.

But for the residents, this Corby BESS project represents so much more.

It's a potential health and safety risk for their families, as well as the soil and the groundwater. Corby steals prime agricultural land which was to be protected under Measure T. This prime farmland is the very fabric of this community. The presence of Corby will lower property values, creating financial loss for any property owner in proximity due to a loss of appeal to a buyer. Corby will disrupt lives with noise and construction traffic for a year or more. And overall there will be a loss of the rural character that people sought when moving to the area--traded instead for industrialization.

It would be refreshing to see union workers make comments in support of the project and also in support of the residents of Dixon and Vacaville by *supporting the Corby in the Lambie Industrial Park site alternative*. I wish our union workers would keep in mind that their jobs would still be needed in this alternative site location.

This project is supported by more than just union workers, it is indeed supported by Dixon and Vacaville residents-- just not where it is currently proposed.

I ask that the CEC approve this Corby BESS in the Lambie Industrial Park alternative site to greatly lessen the impacts of this project for the people of Vacaville and Dixon, still provide jobs for union workers and support the energy goals of California.

Ruthie Brock,

Californians for Safe Energy Storage (CSES), LA County representative

Member of Save Our Rural Town (SORT), Acton CA