

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	272322
Document Title:	Ruthie Brock Comments - Inconsistencies with descriptions of Lambie Industrial Park site alternative
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Ruthie Brock
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	9/3/2026 12:47:29 PM
Docketed Date:	9/3/2026

*Comment Received From: Ruthie Brock
Submitted On: 9/3/2026
Docket Number: 24-OPT-05*

Inconsistencies with descriptions of Lambie Industrial Park site alternative

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

CEC,

I wish to comment on the discrepancies and inconsistencies within the Staff Assessment of the Corby BESS regarding the descriptive language of the Lambie Industrial Park alternative site.

- * Staff has described Lambie Industrial Park site terrain as being “*rolling hills*”^{1, 2}.
- * Staff has also described Lambie Industrial Park as “*relatively flat and does not contain any significant slopes....*”³
- * Staff then goes on to repeat descriptive language of Lambie Industrial Park site with “*... this alternative site is located on rolling hills that would require more grading than the proposed project site.*”⁴
- * Staff also describes Lambie Industrial Park under another section as “*...predominantly characterized as a grassland landscape.*”⁵

With all of the above inconsistencies, how has the Lambie Industrial Park site alternative been fairly and accurately analyzed?

According to California Forever, a group that wishes to promote a controversial shipyard and foundry project in Solano County, in the 46 years since the Lambie Industrial Park was created, it has only 5% of its 1,400 acres developed with industrial uses. The property, along with the Solano Shipyard site, is described as “isolated and without infrastructure.”⁶

This further proves the Lambie Industrial Park site alternative is very well-suited for the Corby BESS in that it does not require supporting infrastructure other than their own transmission gen-tie and will not require supportive housing because there will be no significant employed staff onsite once the project is commissioned and online.

Please properly analyze and approve the Lambie Industrial Park site alternative for the Corby.
Ruthie Brock

-
- 1 On page 8- 38 in the Alternatives section of the Staff Assessment pertaining to Lambie Industrial Park under “Air Quality”, the following sentence appears; “*In addition, this alternative site is located on rolling hills that would require more grading than the proposed project site.*”
 - 2 This language regarding “*rolling hills*” is repeated under “Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions” on page 8- 39 with “*In addition, this alternative site is located on rolling hills that would require more grading than the proposed site. Therefore, construction of the alternative would increase greenhouse gas emissions compared to the proposed project’s shorter interconnection route.*”
 - 3 Staff contradicts the prior description by stating the following under “Hazards, Hazardous Materials, and Wildfire” on page 8- 42, “*As described for the project, the topography of the Lambie site is relatively flat and does not contain any significant slopes that would expose the project site and surrounding property to runoff or post-fire slope instability.*”
 - 4 Staff again repeats prior cited descriptions under “Public Health” on page 8- 43 with the following language; “*In addition, this alternative site is located on rolling hills that would require more grading than the proposed project site.*”
 - 5 Staff gives yet another description of Lambie site alternative under “Visual Resources” on page 8- 45 with “*Given that the alternative site is predominantly characterized as a grassland landscape, the resulting visual resources impacts associated with the BESS site would be similar to those of the proposed project (e.g. substantial degradation of the existing visual character or quality of the public views of the site), though the number of public viewers would be fewer at the alternative site.*”
 - 6 California Forever describes Lambie Industrial Park as “only 5% developed” and “isolated and without infrastructure”. <https://californiaforever.com/solano-shipyard-and-solano-foundry-will-not-work-without-homes/>