

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-AAER-01
Project Title:	Appliance Efficiency Rulemaking for Toilets, Urinals, Faucets, HVAC Air Filters, Fluorescent Dimming Ballasts, and Heat Pump Water Chilling Packages
TN #:	204548
Document Title:	Nate Dewart, Energy Solutions, on behalf of California Investor Owned Utilities (CA IOUs) Comments:
Description:	On Response to 15 day Language - Deep Dimming Ballasts
Filer:	System
Organization:	Energy Solutions, on behalf of California IOUs/Nate Dewart
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	5/8/2015 3:06:43 PM
Docketed Date:	5/8/2015

Comment Received From: Nate Dewart, Energy Solutions, on behalf of California IOUs

Submitted On: 5/8/2015

Docket Number: 15-AAER-01

CA IOU Response to 15 day language_Deep Dimming Ballasts

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Deep-Dimming Ballasts

Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Initiative
For PY 2015: Title 20 Standards Development

Response to 15-day language
for **Deep-Dimming Ballasts**

Docket # 15-AAER-1

May 8, 2015

Prepared for:



PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC
COMPANY



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
EDISON



SAN DIEGO GAS AND
ELECTRIC



A Sempra Energy utility
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
GAS COMPANY

Prepared by:

DANIEL YOUNG, ENERGY SOLUTIONS

This report was prepared by the California Statewide Utility Codes and Standards Program and funded by the California utility customers under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission.

Copyright 2015 Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, Southern California Gas, San Diego Gas & Electric.

All rights reserved, except that this document may be used, copied, and distributed without modification.

Neither PG&E, SCE, SoCalGas, SDG&E, nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied; or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any data, information, method, product, policy or process disclosed in this document; or represents that its use will not infringe any privately-owned rights including, but not limited to, patents, trademarks or copyrights.

1 Response to CEC Proposed 15-day language

The California Investor Owned Utilities (CA IOUs) support the energy efficiency standards for dimming ballasts proposed by the California Energy Commission (CEC). Specifically, the CA IOUs strongly support the adoption of the weighted ballast luminous efficiency (Weighted BLE) metric, based on ballast performance at 100%, 80%, and 50% of full output, as well as the standard levels for active mode efficiency, based on minimum weighted BLE as a function of full output arc power. Additionally, we support the proposed definitions and test methods, and adoption of the 15-day language. The comments below outline recommendations for capturing additional energy savings achievable by this product class.

1.1 Power Factor

The CA IOUs support CEC's proposal to require a minimum power factor (PF) of 0.9 when the ballast is operated at full output; however, we recommend that this requirement extend to all operating modes, including 80% and 50% of full output. Duty cycle assumptions used to calculate Weighted BLE assume that ballasts will be operated a combined 80% of the time they are active at either 80% or 50% of full output, so it is crucial to ensure that PF is maintained at these test points. Conversely, when focusing only on PF at 100% full output, only 20% of the assumed active mode duty cycle is being addressed by the standard.

In other industries, we have seen PF correction slip when it goes unregulated as manufacturers seek to take shortcuts in their product design and manufacturing. The compact fluorescent lamp (CFL) market serves as an example of this potential loophole. Among CFLs, high power factor (0.85 or greater was historically considered "high power factor") is common in non-integrated CFL lamp and ballast systems in the United States today. It is less common among integrated CFLs; most integrated CFLs have very low PF, in the range of 0.5 to 0.6. However, in the earlier days of the American CFL market, most major manufacturers actually did offer integrated CFLs with PF correction. As other countries have promoted or adopted policy initiatives to encourage or require high PF in CFLs, these products are available from a number of major manufacturers at competitive prices in other markets. For example, in the European Union (EU) and in India, high PF is common for many CFL products, and these products are often found to be offered at prices that are comparable to low power factor product prices. The CA IOUs attribute this discrepancy in market availability of high power factor CFLs in the US versus in other markets as being due in large part to the relaxed PF standards in the US for these products. By relaxing PF requirements for dimming ballasts operating below 100%, CEC risks opening a loophole to allow for poor PF performance in these operating modes, which, as in the case of the integrated CFL market, could lead manufacturers to adjust their product offerings accordingly.

It is important to ensure high PF across the range of active mode performance, as dimming ballasts are likely to be operated below 100% full output for a significant portion of their useful lives. It is important to note that all of the products that we tested would pass the proposed PF standard at 100%, 80%, and 50% full output, so this proposal does not appear to cause significant added manufacturing or product development burden, based on existing products in the market. By maintaining a PF standard at 0.9 for all active mode test points, CEC would be ensuring that these products will continue to perform at a high level, even as operating efficiency is improved in future product cycles.

Though CEC does not propose to require minimum PF across the range of active mode performance, we believe there are useful improvements to the reporting requirements regarding PF that will result in greater benefits to consumers. In Table X – Data Submittal Requirements for Deep-Dimming Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts, in Section 1606, CEC requires that manufacturers report PF for the product being listed; however, CEC does not explicitly state at which operating mode (e.g. 100%/80%/50% full output) PF should be reported. We recommend that CEC require the reporting of PF at 100%, 80%, and 50% full output separately, and that separate fields be included in Table X to allow manufacturers to list each measurement. Since manufacturers will already have their test equipment set up to measure and record PF at 100% full output to comply with the standard, no additional testing burden is placed on manufacturers by also requiring additional reporting of PF at 80% and 50% full output. This information will help inform consumers about PF performance in dimmed operation, which is likely to constitute a significant fraction of the active-mode duty cycle.

1.2 Standby Mode Power Limit

The CA IOUs support CEC’s intent to regulate standby mode power draw; however, 0.5W is a more appropriate level at which to cap standby load. As mentioned in previous comments submitted to the docket by the CA IOUs on June 6, 2014,¹ limiting standby power to 0.5W is technically feasible for dimming ballasts. The standby mode functionality required of digitally controlled ballasts is essentially limited to the relatively simple task of responding to a control signal that indicates the desired light level at which the lamp should be operated. There are many examples of more complex devices, with far more sophisticated standby and active mode functionality, such as TVs and cell phones, that are able to confine standby power to less than 0.5W, suggesting that with proper drivers, dimming ballasts can also achieve similarly low levels of standby power.

CEC estimates that the total stock of dimming ballasts will be over 48.7 million, statewide, by the time the stock turns over in 2029. Assuming only half of the total stock will be digitally controlled (and thereby substantively impacted by the standby limit), a reduction in standby mode power consumption of 0.5W (comparing the 1W standby mode limit proposed by CEC and the 0.5W standby mode limit proposed by the IOUs), will result in significant additional energy savings after stock turnover, due to the large number of hours ballasts typically spend operating in standby mode. This is particularly the case when assuming that manufacturers are not strongly incentivized to exceed the proposed standard level, and will therefore most likely design products to just barely meet the proposed standard level; in other words, if the standard level is set at 0.5W, products will consume close to 0.5W in standby, whereas if the standard level is set to 1W, products will consume close to 1W in standby. We provide a simple calculation of the total potential energy savings impact in the stock turnover year (2029) below:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Total standby power at 1W limit} \\ & \quad = \textit{Total stock * Annual hours in standby mode * 1W} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Total standby power at 0.5W limit} \\ & \quad = \textit{Total stock * Annual hours in standby mode * 0.5W} \end{aligned}$$

¹ http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/2014-AAER-01/prerulemaking/comments/14-AAER-01_CA_IOUs_Response_to_Draft_Regulations_Dimming_Ballasts_Finalv2_2014-06-06_TN-73146.pdf

Potential additional savings in 2029

= Total standby power at 1W limit

– Total standby power at 0.5W limit

*= Total stock * Annual hours in standby mode * 0.5W*

*= 24.4million * 5576h * 0.5W*

Potential additional savings in 2029 = 68GWh

This is significant and almost a 20% increase over the total projected savings of the measure when implementing a standby mode limit at 1W. We request that CEC consider the potential large scale energy savings impacts of setting more stringent standards for standby mode power consumption.

1.3 Test Method Accuracy and Repeatability

During the public workshop to discuss the 45-day language, some questions were raised regarding the reliability of the proposed test procedure. Specifically, a commenter representing General Electric raised questions regarding high frequency operation preventing accurate and repeatable measurements when lamps are operated in a dimmed state. In order to address this issue, the CA IOUs are providing additional detail to the docket on the test setup as well as the model numbers and specifications for the testing equipment used to take the measurements.

The test data provided by the CA IOUs was collected using a Yokogawa WT 1800 Power Analyzer and Fluke i50s current probes. Individual i50s current probes were used to measure each lamp's arc current. For lamp arc voltage measurements, the Yokogawa WT-1800 direct voltage inputs were used per the DOE test procedure. The Fluke i50s current probes are rated for measuring frequencies up to 50MHz and the Yokogawa WT-1800 is rated for measuring voltage frequencies up to 5MHz. The frequency measurement capabilities of both of these instruments are well above the typical frequencies of electronic dimming ballasts operating units at 50% of full output. In our measurements, the dimming ballast samples we tested had frequencies as high as 109 kHz and as low as 50 kHz at 50% of full output, which is clearly within the range of the equipment specifications used for the current and voltage measurements.

The CA IOUs strictly adhered to guidelines provided in the federal BLE test procedure (Federal Register, 76 FR 25211) that outline the test bench setup and wiring configurations. Specifically, in Section 2.3 Test Setup, DOE provides specific guidance on wiring configurations to be used during the test to prevent capacitive coupling in the ballast wiring. These specifications ensure measurement accuracy and repeatability, and were closely followed by the IOU testing team when conducting the BLE measurements for each ballast in the dataset at each measurement point.

The test setup and measurement equipment used by the CA IOUs has been openly shared with industry stakeholders for input and comment on measurement accuracy and repeatability. In comments docketed on June 14, 2014, in response to the CEC Title 20 dimming ballasts standard proposal, the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) supported the proposal to test and measure BLE from full output down to (but not lower than) 50% of full output, relying on the test method and equipment used by the CA IOU team.² The CA IOUs continue to support this methodology strongly believe that measuring BLE for dimming ballasts operated at 50% of full output can be accurately measured.

² http://www.energy.ca.gov/appliances/2014-AAER-01/prerulemaking/comments/14-AAER1_NEMA_Comments_on_Title_20_Dimming_Ballast_Proposal_2014-06-18_TN-73229.pdf