

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	272204
Document Title:	Robin Jaeckel Comments - Opposition to Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Robin Jaeckel
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	8/27/2026 3:36:30 PM
Docketed Date:	8/27/2026

Comment Received From: Robin Jaeckel
Submitted On: 8/27/2026
Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Opposition to Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

To the California Energy Commission:

As a resident and property owner in Solano County, I am writing to submit my formal opposition to the certification of the Corby Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Project proposed for the corner of Kilkenny and Byrnes Road.

While I support California's transition to clean energy, I deeply object to the applicant's choice to plant a high-hazard industrial facility directly adjacent to family homes and on Protected (Measure T), productive agricultural land.

I have questions:

1. Who pays to clean up should a fire event happen, including outside of the site's property line?
2. Who is responsible for decommissioning of BESS site at end of life?
3. How will Corby be held responsible for that decommissioning if the company goes bankrupt or a similar scenario before the timed contract expires?
4. If a thermal runaway event occurs and I-80 has to close, where will traffic go?
5. Where will water come from if thermal runaway occurs, it could take millions of gallons to cool neighboring battery containers? Where will contaminated water go?
6. Who pays for health consequences to nearby residents if fire occurs?
7. Who pays for loss of animals or vet bills caused by thermal runaway if it occurs?
8. Who pays for loss of crops and future land use as farmland?
9. How far away is a response team?
10. If thermal runaway occurs how will Kaiser and residents be warned or evacuate?
11. Who will pay homeowners for the loss of value of their property should this Corby site be approved? It will definitely cause loss of value and the ability to sell a home in the area.
12. Who is responsible for our homeowner's insurance when it gets dropped due to this facility?
13. Who will repair the roads near site after they have been dramatically damage due to all of the heavy truck and trailer traffic?

I formally request that the California Energy Commission **deny certification** and direct the applicant to use the **Lambie Industrial Park Alternative**.

Submitted by:

Robin Jaeckel Resident/Property Owner

I strongly urge you to send this Corby Project to the approved Lambie Industrial Park Alternative.