

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	271825
Document Title:	Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (26 total)
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Deanna Cole
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	8/4/2026 5:28:21 PM
Docketed Date:	8/5/2026

Comment Received From: Deanna Cole
Submitted On: 8/4/2026
Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Signed Letters of Opposition (26 total)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Keep Vacaville Safe is a community advocacy group representing more than 1,500 local residents. While we support the advancement of energy storage technology, we firmly oppose the placement of high-capacity battery system in areas where “thermal runaway” could jeopardize public safety or the environment.

Our organization has consistently voiced opposition to the **Corby Project (Docket 24-OPT-05)** due to its proximity to residential area, working agricultural spaces, HWY 80 and proximity to a major hospital.

We hold the same safety concerns for **Vaca Dixon Power Center (26-OPT-01)**. Our position is reflected in a signed petition from local resident, which requests the denial of the Corby project and any other similar project in the area.

Please accept the attached letters as **formal public comments** in **opposition to:**

- **Corby Project (Docket 24-OPT-05)**
- **Vaca Dixon Power Center (Docket 26-OPT-01)**

Sincerely,



Dee Cole

Keep Vacaville Safe


California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of VACAVILLE, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: GABRIEL CAMPOAMOR Email Address: _____
Signature:  Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended, for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Cassie Marquez Email Add

Signature [Signature] Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of VAL, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: [Signature] Email Address: 

Signature Patricia Menozz - Phone: 707-460-1100


California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Gloria Plascencia Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Belva Plascencia Email A

Signature Belva Plascencia Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Walnut Creek, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Leah Adams Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vaca, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Bernard A. Smith Email Ad
Signature [Signature] Phone: [Redacted]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: BRIDGET O'CONNOR Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of _____, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Scott F. UNCANNAN Email Address _____

Signature _____ Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of _____, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Hiroko Edwards Email Address: _____
Signature Hiroko Edwards Phone [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)


Dear Commissioners, As a resident of _____, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Sharon Godfrey Email /

Signature Sharon Godfrey Phone



California Energy Commission


RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Christina Credo Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: _____




California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Linda Heimann Email Address: 
Signature:  Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Michele Berry Email Address:

Signature M Berry Phone 



California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of 191 Encinosa Ave Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Elizabeth Corvello Email Address: 
Signature: Elizabeth Corvello Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Jasmeen Kaur Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: [Signature] Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Mandeep Ghuman Email Address: _____
Signature: [Signature] Phone: [Redacted]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Alisa Intagliata Email Address: _____
Signature: Alisa Intagliata Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: William G. Casspole Email Address: _____

Signature [Signature] Phone: [Redacted]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project
(26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Vicki Glasspole Email Address: _____
Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Cristina Strunk Email Address: _____

Signature: [Signature] Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Patrice Tanadas Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: Patrice Tanadas Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Jessica Rivas Email Ad _____
Signature  Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of _____, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely Name: Julie P. Williams Email Address: _____

Signature Julie Williams Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vaca, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Devin Dreier Email Address: [REDACTED]
Signature: [REDACTED] Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Bonnie M. Linder Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: B. M. Linder Phone: [REDACTED]