

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	26-TIRE-01
Project Title:	Tire Efficiency Rulemaking
TN #:	271779
Document Title:	California Energy Commssion Comments - Comments Docket 26-TIRE-01_73
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	California Energy Commssion
Submitter Role:	Public Agency
Submission Date:	7/31/2026 2:08:40 PM
Docketed Date:	7/31/2026

*Comment Received From: California Energy Commssion
Submitted On: 7/31/2026
Docket Number: 26-TIRE-01*

Comments Docket 26-TIRE-01_73

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: Gerald Wilkin
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Advocacy for California Tire Freedom: 26-TIRE-01 Proposal Impact
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:07:39 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I wish to express my apprehension regarding the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and exclude specialized tire products.

Purchasing replacement tires is a critical safety decision often made without much lead time. Even minor price hikes can cause financial strain, particularly when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might prompt drivers to defer replacing worn-out or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

The updated exemption criteria also fall short in protecting specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be sidelined due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these products are engineered, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to concluding the regulation, it is essential for the Commission to clearly articulate what the cost of compliant tires would be, using real-world retail prices and available products. Furthermore, it should evaluate if affordable tire models might vanish from the market, if the standards might shorten tire longevity, increase the replacement rate, or result in additional waste.

Drivers select tires based on multiple factors such as price, durability, traction, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not just on efficiency. It is important for Californians to maintain access to safe, suitable tires that match their vehicle preferences and financial plans.

I respectfully request the Commission address these considerations before implementing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without elevating costs, curtailing consumer selection, or phasing out products relied on by both regular drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Sincerely,
Gerald Wilkin
Brisbane, CA

From: Todd Kelman
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Advocacy for California Tire Freedom: 26-TIRE-01 Proposal Impact
Date: Friday, July 31, 2026 7:01:20 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Esteemed Members of the California Energy Commission:

As someone residing in California, I am apprehensive about the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program. This measure could lead to higher tire prices, reduced consumer options, and could neglect legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are essential for vehicle safety, often purchased under urgent circumstances. A slight cost rise could impose financial strain, particularly when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Increased costs might also discourage timely replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety hazards.

Furthermore, the updated exemptions seem insufficient to fully protect specialty tires. True off-road and competition tires shouldn't be sidelined by stringent technical criteria that overlook their design, marketing, and usage.

Prior to finalizing this regulation, the Commission should clearly articulate the costs of compliant tires using present-day retail pricing and genuine products. It is important to ascertain if cost-effective models might become scarce and if these standards could decrease tire lifespan, heighten replacement frequency, or contribute to waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on factors like cost, traction, durability, weather, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely efficiency. Californians should retain access to reliable tires that suit their vehicles, personal needs, and budgets.

I urge the Commission to resolve these concerns before adopting the final regulation. California can endorse energy efficiency while sidestepping cost hikes, limiting consumer freedom, or removing options valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Warm regards,
Todd Kelman
Davis, CA

From: Jesus Mojarro
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Advocate Message: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options for Our Drivers
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 5:51:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer choice, and neglect essential specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often need to make unexpectedly. Any increase in price, even minimal, can impose hardships, particularly when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Elevated costs could prompt drivers to delay the necessary replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety threats.

The updated exemptions do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and performance tires should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent the design, marketing, and usage of these products.

Before the regulation is finalized, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. The Commission should also assess whether affordable tire models might be removed from the market and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement frequency, or contribute to waste.

Drivers make their tire choices depending on factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely on efficiency. Californians deserve access to safe tires that accommodate their vehicles, requirements, and financial considerations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without escalating costs, curbing consumer options, or excluding products relied upon by motorists and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Best regards,

Jesus Mojarro
Norwalk, CA

From: Francine Rosu
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Advocate Message: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options for Our Drivers
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 9:15:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As someone living in California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might drive up tire prices, limit consumer options, and overlook legitimate specialty items.

Purchasing replacement tires is an essential safety action, often unexpected for families. Even a minor price hike can be a burden, particularly when multiple tires need changing simultaneously. Higher expenses could also lead drivers to postpone replacing worn or damaged tires, posing further safety risks.

The new exemptions fall short in safeguarding specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be disqualified by limited technical stipulations that don't represent the way these products are crafted, sold, and utilized.

Before finalizing these rules, the Commission should clarify what compliant tires will cost based on present-day retail figures and existing products. It should also assess if budget-friendly models might vanish from the market, whether the regulations could reduce tire lifespan, and increase the frequency of replacements, thereby generating more waste.

Drivers consider factors such as cost, grip, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and purpose rather than just efficiency when choosing tires. Californians should still have access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, preferences, and financial means.

I strongly urge the Commission to address these concerns before concluding the regulation. California can support energy efficiency without increasing costs, curbing consumer options, or removing products that drivers and enthusiasts rely on.

Thank you for reviewing my thoughts.

Sincerely,
Ms. Francine Rosu
Huntington Beach, CA

From: Mario Sanchez
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Citizen Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Ensuring Tire Choice for Drivers in California
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 7:59:47 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and esteemed members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and overlook legitimate specialty tire products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in price can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be replaced simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead drivers to postpone changing worn-out or faulty tires, escalating safety concerns.

The revised exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Off-road and competition tires, in particular, should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not adequately consider how these products are designed, marketed, and utilized.

Before approving the regulation, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of what compliant tires will cost with current retail pricing and available products. It should also evaluate whether affordable options might vanish from outlets and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement necessity, or generate more waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on various factors including cost, traction, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended usage, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue having access to safe tires suited to their vehicles, needs, and budgets.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can champion energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer preferences, or eliminating products that drivers and enthusiasts utilize.

Thank you for reviewing my points.

Sincerely,
Mario Sanchez
Escondido, CA

From: Aidan Singh
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Citizens' Appeal: 26-TIRE-01 - Preserve Tire Options for State Drivers
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 7:38:23 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and esteemed members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and overlook legitimate specialty tire products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in price can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be replaced simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead drivers to postpone changing worn-out or faulty tires, escalating safety concerns.

The revised exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Off-road and competition tires, in particular, should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not adequately consider how these products are designed, marketed, and utilized.

Before approving the regulation, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of what compliant tires will cost with current retail pricing and available products. It should also evaluate whether affordable options might vanish from outlets and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement necessity, or generate more waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on various factors including cost, traction, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended usage, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue having access to safe tires suited to their vehicles, needs, and budgets.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can champion energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer preferences, or eliminating products that drivers and enthusiasts utilize.

Thank you for reviewing my points.

Sincerely,
Aidan Singh
Oceanside, CA

From: John Williams
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Citizens' Appeal: 26-TIRE-01 - Preserve Tire Options for State Drivers
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 7:52:33 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

I am writing as a concerned California resident regarding the proposed updates to the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program. There is a risk that these changes would raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and neglect certain specialized products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often make unexpectedly. Any increase in cost can become burdensome, particularly when requiring the purchase of multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated expenses may drive motorists to defer replacing worn or damaged tires, subsequently elevating safety concerns.

The proposed exemptions also inadequately preserve specialty tires. Authentic off-road and competition tires should not be dismissed due to technical criteria that ignore the unique ways these products are crafted, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to ratifying the regulation, the Commission needs to transparently communicate what consumers might pay for compliant tires, based on existing market prices and available products. It should also evaluate if budget-friendly options might vanish from inventories and if the regulations might reduce tire longevity, prompting more frequent replacements or lead to additional waste.

Consumers select tires for factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended purposes, beyond mere efficiency. Californians must maintain the ability to choose safe tires that match their vehicles and personal needs within their financial capabilities.

I earnestly request that the Commission address these concerns prior to concluding the regulation. California should achieve energy efficiency achievements without hiking costs, constraining consumer options, or removing vital driver and enthusiast products.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
john williams
Lancaster, CA

From: Nick Seiwert
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Consumer Advocacy: Tire Selection and Cost Concerns
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 11:31:33 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

I am writing as a resident of California to express my concerns about the potential impacts of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program on tire costs, consumer choice, and the inclusion of specialty products.

Replacement tires are often necessary for vehicle safety and are sometimes needed on short notice. Any increase in tire prices could pose financial difficulties, particularly when multiple tires require replacement. Higher costs could lead to delays in replacing worn tires, thereby increasing safety risks.

The current exemptions do not adequately address the protection of specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and performance tires should not be excluded simply due to restrictive technical criteria that do not account for their true design, marketing, and use.

Before approving these regulations, the Commission should transparently outline the potential costs of compliant tires based on today's market prices and analyze whether reasonably priced models could disappear, whether the new standards might reduce tire longevity, or if they could lead to more frequent replacements and increased waste.

Tire selection by drivers depends on various factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, the vehicle, and its use. Efficiency alone is not the deciding factor. Californians deserve continued access to tires that meet their safety, functionality, and budgetary needs.

I urge the Commission to address these issues prior to sanctioning the regulation. It is possible to advance energy efficiency in California without raising prices, limiting consumer choices, or excluding essential products used by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my concerns into account.

Sincerely,
Nick Seiwert
Corona, CA

From: Dee Ann Pope
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Alert: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Available
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 8:49:29 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could drive up the costs of tires, limit consumer options, and neglect genuine specialty tires.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in cost can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be changed simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead to drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still do not adequately cover specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these tires are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Before confirming the regulation, the Commission should transparently clarify what the compliant tires will cost, referencing current retail prices and actual products. It is also essential to evaluate whether affordable models may become unavailable and if the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase the need for replacements, or result in more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as cost, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires that fit their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these issues before finalizing the regulation. California can promote energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer choices, or removing products that drivers and enthusiasts depend on.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Ms. Dee Ann Pope
Mission Viejo, CA

From: Daniel Borelli
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Alert: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Available
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 7:55:27 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and esteemed members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and overlook legitimate specialty tire products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in price can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be replaced simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead drivers to postpone changing worn-out or faulty tires, escalating safety concerns.

The revised exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Off-road and competition tires, in particular, should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not adequately consider how these products are designed, marketed, and utilized.

Before approving the regulation, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of what compliant tires will cost with current retail pricing and available products. It should also evaluate whether affordable options might vanish from outlets and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement necessity, or generate more waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on various factors including cost, traction, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended usage, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue having access to safe tires suited to their vehicles, needs, and budgets.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can champion energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer preferences, or eliminating products that drivers and enthusiasts utilize.

Thank you for reviewing my points.

Sincerely,
Daniel Borelli
Orangevale, CA

From: David Stewart
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Alert: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Available
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 7:42:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could drive up the costs of tires, limit consumer options, and neglect genuine specialty tires.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in cost can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be changed simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead to drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still do not adequately cover specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these tires are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Before confirming the regulation, the Commission should transparently clarify what the compliant tires will cost, referencing current retail prices and actual products. It is also essential to evaluate whether affordable models may become unavailable and if the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase the need for replacements, or result in more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as cost, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires that fit their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these issues before finalizing the regulation. California can promote energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer choices, or removing products that drivers and enthusiasts depend on.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. David Stewart
Citrus Heights, CA

From: Kaleo Kisa
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Alert: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Available
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 5:04:15 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Kaleo Kisa
Oxnard, CA

From: Joel Heldebrant
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Alert: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Available
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 9:51:11 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and California Energy Commission Members:

As a concerned Californian, I'm worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire expenses, limit consumer options, and leave out crucial specialty items.

Obtaining replacement tires is an essential safety need for families, often required urgently. Any increase in price, even if minimal, can pose challenges, particularly when multiple tires need replacing simultaneously. Increased costs may also lead to postponing necessary tire replacements, heightening safety concerns.

The updated exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires should not be disregarded due to limited technical specifications that do not accurately represent how these products are made, marketed, and used.

Before setting the regulations, the Commission should provide clear explanations of what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. It should also assess if cost-effective models might vanish from the market and whether these standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or generate excess waste.

Tire choices are made by drivers considering price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should maintain the ability to select safe tires that cater to their vehicles, needs, and financial situations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without driving up prices, limiting consumer choices, or removing products crucial for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,
Joel Heldebrant
San Jose, CA

From: Cesar Villanueva
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Concern: 26-TIRE-01: Preserve Tire Options for State Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 9:01:18 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I have concerns that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and leave specific specialty products overlooked.

When families need to replace tires, this is a critical safety expense often required suddenly. Even small price increases can impose burdens, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might also prompt postponement in replacing worn or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

Additionally, the recent exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Authentic off-road and racing tires should not be excluded due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately depict how these items are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Prior to finalizing the rule, the Commission should provide a clear analysis of what compliant tires will cost based on current market prices and actual products. It should assess if affordable options could be removed from outlets and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rate, or contribute to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as price, grip, longevity, climate, vehicle classification, and purpose, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue to have access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, necessities, and financial plans.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before enacting the rule. California can advocate for energy efficiency without adding costs, reducing consumer selections, or ending products that drivers and enthusiasts rely on.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Cesar Villanueva
Montebello, CA

From: Sergio perez
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Concern: 26-TIRE-01: Preserve Tire Options for State Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 1:21:12 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Esteemed Members of the California Energy Commission,

As someone living in California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might elevate tire prices, diminish consumer options, and exclude certain specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical for safety and often need to be purchased at short notice. Even small price hikes can pose difficulties, especially when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Increased costs might lead drivers to postpone replacing worn or damaged tires, thereby posing additional safety hazards.

The updated exemptions do not thoroughly shield specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be sidelined by restrictive technical criteria that overlook the design, marketing, and use of these products.

Prior to finalizing these regulations, the Commission should transparently communicate the cost of compliant tires using current market prices and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and if the guidelines could lead to reduced tire lifespan, more frequent replacements, or added waste.

Tire choices are based on factors such as price, grip, resilience, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should have continued access to tires that are safe and meet their vehicles, needs, and financial limits.

I earnestly recommend that the Commission address these concerns before concluding the regulation. California has the potential to enhance energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer options, or removing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my views into account.

Sincerely,
Sergio perez
Moreno Valley, CA

From: Terrence McGovern
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Concerns: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Open
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 8:45:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I have concerns that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and leave specific specialty products overlooked.

When families need to replace tires, this is a critical safety expense often required suddenly. Even small price increases can impose burdens, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might also prompt postponement in replacing worn or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

Additionally, the recent exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Authentic off-road and racing tires should not be excluded due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately depict how these items are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Prior to finalizing the rule, the Commission should provide a clear analysis of what compliant tires will cost based on current market prices and actual products. It should assess if affordable options could be removed from outlets and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rate, or contribute to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as price, grip, longevity, climate, vehicle classification, and purpose, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue to have access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, necessities, and financial plans.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before enacting the rule. California can advocate for energy efficiency without adding costs, reducing consumer selections, or ending products that drivers and enthusiasts rely on.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Terrence McGovern
Santa Rosa, CA

From: Tyson McPherson
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Concerns: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Open
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 5:23:16 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Tyson McPherson
Temecula, CA

From: Alex Bircheff
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Concerns: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Open
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:57:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Alex Bircheff
Rancho Cucamonga, CA

From: Jose Romero
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Concerns: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Open
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 11:39:18 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

I am writing as a resident of California to express my concerns about the potential impacts of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program on tire costs, consumer choice, and the inclusion of specialty products.

Replacement tires are often necessary for vehicle safety and are sometimes needed on short notice. Any increase in tire prices could pose financial difficulties, particularly when multiple tires require replacement. Higher costs could lead to delays in replacing worn tires, thereby increasing safety risks.

The current exemptions do not adequately address the protection of specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and performance tires should not be excluded simply due to restrictive technical criteria that do not account for their true design, marketing, and use.

Before approving these regulations, the Commission should transparently outline the potential costs of compliant tires based on today's market prices and analyze whether reasonably priced models could disappear, whether the new standards might reduce tire longevity, or if they could lead to more frequent replacements and increased waste.

Tire selection by drivers depends on various factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, the vehicle, and its usage. Efficiency alone is not the deciding factor. Californians deserve continued access to tires that meet their safety, functionality, and budgetary needs.

I urge the Commission to address these issues prior to sanctioning the regulation. It is possible to advance energy efficiency in California without raising prices, limiting consumer choices, or excluding essential products used by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my concerns into account.

Sincerely,
Jose Romero
Inglewood, CA

From: Johnny Uribe
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Opinion: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Open
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:34:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Johnny Uribe
Perris, CA

From: Henry Basulto
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Driver Opinion: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options Remain Open
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 8:06:55 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could drive up the costs of tires, limit consumer options, and neglect genuine specialty tires.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in cost can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be changed simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead to drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still do not adequately cover specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these tires are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Before confirming the regulation, the Commission should transparently clarify what the compliant tires will cost, referencing current retail prices and actual products. It is also essential to evaluate whether affordable models may become unavailable and if the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase the need for replacements, or result in more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as cost, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires that fit their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these issues before finalizing the regulation. California can promote energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer choices, or removing products that drivers and enthusiasts depend on.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Henry Basulto
Los Angeles, CA

From: Hans Larson
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Drivers" Alert: Protecting Tire Selection - Case 26-TIRE-01
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:48:57 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Hans Larson
San Diego, CA

From: Wilcoxon Colleen
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Drivers' Concern on Tire Regulation: 26-TIRE-01
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 8:00:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and esteemed members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and overlook legitimate specialty tire products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in price can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be replaced simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead drivers to postpone changing worn-out or faulty tires, escalating safety concerns.

The revised exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Off-road and competition tires, in particular, should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not adequately consider how these products are designed, marketed, and utilized.

Before approving the regulation, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of what compliant tires will cost with current retail pricing and available products. It should also evaluate whether affordable options might vanish from outlets and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement necessity, or generate more waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on various factors including cost, traction, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended usage, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue having access to safe tires suited to their vehicles, needs, and budgets.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can champion energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer preferences, or eliminating products that drivers and enthusiasts utilize.

Thank you for reviewing my points.

Sincerely,
Wilcoxon Colleen
Long Beach, CA

From: Joseph Le
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Drivers' Concern on Tire Regulation: 26-TIRE-01
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 7:16:27 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and esteemed members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and overlook legitimate specialty tire products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in price can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be replaced simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead drivers to postpone changing worn-out or faulty tires, escalating safety concerns.

The revised exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Off-road and competition tires, in particular, should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not adequately consider how these products are designed, marketed, and utilized.

Before approving the regulation, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of what compliant tires will cost with current retail pricing and available products. It should also evaluate whether affordable options might vanish from outlets and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement necessity, or generate more waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on various factors including cost, traction, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended usage, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue having access to safe tires suited to their vehicles, needs, and budgets.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can champion energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer preferences, or eliminating products that drivers and enthusiasts utilize.

Thank you for reviewing my points.

Sincerely,
Joseph Le
Los Angeles, CA

From: Zach Garcia
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Drivers' Concern on Tire Regulation: 26-TIRE-01
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 9:01:24 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As someone who resides in California, I have apprehensions about the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which seems poised to elevate tire prices, limit consumer options, and overlook essential niche products.

Families frequently need to purchase replacement tires as a critical safety measure, often with little advance notice. Even small price hikes can pose difficulties, particularly when multiple tires require swapping simultaneously. Such increases may lead drivers to postpone changing worn-out tires, introducing further safety dangers.

Furthermore, the latest set of exemptions does not adequately safeguard niche tire varieties. Bona fide off-road and competitive tires should not be disqualified by restrictive technical standards that do not align with how these products are crafted, branded, and utilized.

Prior to concluding the regulations, the Commission needs to transparently outline the costs of compliant tires based on current retail prices and actual products. Additionally, it should assess whether cost-effective models might disappear and if the regulations could result in a shortened tire lifespan, heightened replacement frequency, or increased waste.

When selecting tires, drivers consider factors like cost, grip, durability, weather conditions, vehicle specification, and intended application not just efficiency. Californians should continue having access to reliable tires that meet their vehicles' specifications, preferences, and financial constraints.

I earnestly ask the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the rules. California has the capacity to enhance energy efficiency without raising expenses, curbing consumer options, or disposing of products essential to drivers and aficionados.

Thank you for taking my views into account.

Sincerely,
Mr. Zach Garcia
El Cajon, CA

From: Garth Erdrich
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Drivers" Letter: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Californians
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 8:35:50 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

I am writing as a concerned California resident regarding the proposed updates to the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program. There is a risk that these changes would raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and neglect certain specialized products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often make unexpectedly. Any increase in cost can become burdensome, particularly when requiring the purchase of multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated expenses may drive motorists to defer replacing worn or damaged tires, subsequently elevating safety concerns.

The proposed exemptions also inadequately preserve specialty tires. Authentic off-road and competition tires should not be dismissed due to technical criteria that ignore the unique ways these products are crafted, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to ratifying the regulation, the Commission needs to transparently communicate what consumers might pay for compliant tires, based on existing market prices and available products. It should also evaluate if budget-friendly options might vanish from inventories and if the regulations might reduce tire longevity, prompting more frequent replacements or lead to additional waste.

Consumers select tires for factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended purposes, beyond mere efficiency. Californians must maintain the ability to choose safe tires that match their vehicles and personal needs within their financial capabilities.

I earnestly request that the Commission address these concerns prior to concluding the regulation. California should achieve energy efficiency achievements without hiking costs, constraining consumer options, or removing vital driver and enthusiast products.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Garth Erdrich
Lancaster, CA

From: Ryan Teon
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Drivers: Tire Choice and Cost Concerns - Docket 26-TIRE-01
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 8:14:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and esteemed members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire prices, limit consumer choices, and overlook legitimate specialty tire products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in price can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be replaced simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead drivers to postpone changing worn-out or faulty tires, escalating safety concerns.

The revised exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Off-road and competition tires, in particular, should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not adequately consider how these products are designed, marketed, and utilized.

Before approving the regulation, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of what compliant tires will cost with current retail pricing and available products. It should also evaluate whether affordable options might vanish from outlets and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement necessity, or generate more waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on various factors including cost, traction, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended usage, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue having access to safe tires suited to their vehicles, needs, and budgets.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can champion energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer preferences, or eliminating products that drivers and enthusiasts utilize.

Thank you for reviewing my points.

Sincerely,
Ryan Teon
Gardena, CA

From: Oscar Vasquez
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Drivers: Tire Choice and Cost Concerns - Docket 26-TIRE-01
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 7:34:19 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could drive up the costs of tires, limit consumer options, and neglect genuine specialty tires.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in cost can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be changed simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead to drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still do not adequately cover specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these tires are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Before confirming the regulation, the Commission should transparently clarify what the compliant tires will cost, referencing current retail prices and actual products. It is also essential to evaluate whether affordable models may become unavailable and if the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase the need for replacements, or result in more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as cost, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires that fit their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these issues before finalizing the regulation. California can promote energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer choices, or removing products that drivers and enthusiasts depend on.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Oscar Vasquez
Ceres, CA

From: Stuart Rothenberg
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Appeal: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 12:06:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned that the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program proposal may drive up tire prices, limit consumer options, and exclude valid specialty products.

Replacement tires are crucial safety purchases that often need to be made on short notice by families. Even a small increase in tire prices can pose a financial burden, particularly when replacing multiple tires at once. Elevated costs could also result in drivers postponing necessary tire replacements, escalating safety concerns.

The revamped exemptions do not adequately cover specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires should not be restricted by limited technical standards that do not accurately represent the way these products are developed, advertised, and utilized.

Before finalizing this regulation, the Commission should detail what compliant tires will cost using up-to-date retail pricing and real-world products. It is vital to assess whether cost-effective models could vanish from the market and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement frequency, or lead to more waste.

Drivers choose their tires based on factors such as cost, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely efficiency. Californians must retain access to reliable tires that suit their vehicles, preferences, and financial situations.

I kindly urge the Commission to resolve these issues prior to finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without imposing higher expenses, limiting consumer selection, or omitting products utilized by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my feedback.

Sincerely,
Mr. Stuart Rothenberg
San Carlos, CA

From: Kenneth Trout
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Comments: 26-TIRE-01: Support Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:38:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Trout
Philadelphia, PA

From: Tyler Kirschenman
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Comments: 26-TIRE-01: Support Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 11:01:30 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Esteemed Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a Californian, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and overlook legitimate niche products.

Replacement tires are crucial safety purchases that families are often required to make unexpectedly. Any increase in prices can present a burden, especially when multiple tires require immediate replacement. Higher expenses may also result in drivers postponing the replacement of worn-down or damaged tires, introducing more safety concerns.

The updated exemptions fail to adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be excluded by narrow technical stipulations that do not take into account how these products are created, sold, and utilized.

Before committing to the regulation, the Commission should clearly communicate the expected costs of compliant tires using present-day retail prices and actual products. It is important to assess if reasonably priced models might vanish from shops and whether the standards could cut tire life, raise the frequency of replacements or contribute to excess waste.

Consumers choose their tires based on a range of factors including cost, grip, longevity, climate conditions, vehicle kind, and purpose, not simply efficiency. Californians should continue to benefit from access to safe tires tailored to their vehicles, preferences, and financial considerations.

I respectfully advocate for the Commission to address these matters before finalizing the regulation. California can work towards energy efficiency without elevating costs, limiting consumer preference, or removing products favored by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Sincerely,
Tyler Kirschenman
Bakersfield, CA

From: gerald Hensley
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Ensuring Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 11:16:42 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned about the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program proposal, which could raise tire prices, limit consumer choice, and overlook legitimate specialized products.

Replacing tires is a critical safety need that families may face unexpectedly. Any increase in price can be problematic, especially when it's necessary to replace multiple tires concurrently. Higher expenses might lead drivers to postpone replacing worn-out or broken tires, posing further safety hazards.

The updated exemptions still do not fully address specialty tire protection. Genuine off-road and racing tires should not be omitted due to narrow technical criteria that do not consider how these products are crafted, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to implementing the regulation, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what costs compliant tires might incur using present-day retail prices and actual products. The impact on the availability of affordable models in stores needs assessment, as does whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, prompt more frequent replacements, or generate additional waste.

Drivers select tires considering factors such as price, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and usage intentions, rather than solely efficiency. Californians should retain access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, preferences, and financial means.

I respectfully request that the Commission address these concerns before enacting the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without amplifying costs, limiting consumer options, or excluding products favored by motorists and aficionados.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Mr. gerald R Hensley
Anaheim, CA

From: Juan Contreras
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Preserve Tire Options for CA Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 11:14:27 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Esteemed Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am deeply troubled by the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program that may lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer flexibility, and overlook legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are crucial safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small rise in price can present challenges, particularly when multiple tires need replacing simultaneously. Increased costs could also result in drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, heightening safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and racing tires should not be disregarded due to narrow technical criteria that do not adequately capture how these products are designed, marketed, or utilized.

Before solidifying the regulations, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of the cost of compliant tires based on current retail prices and actual products. It should examine whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and if the standards could lead to reduced tire longevity, more frequent replacements, or increased waste.

Drivers make tire selections based on a variety of factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not just efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires suitable for their vehicles, needs, and financial situation.

I sincerely request the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulations. California can advance energy efficiency objectives without escalating costs, limiting consumer options, or removing products that are vital for drivers and enthusiasts.

I appreciate your attention to my feedback.

Best regards,
Mr. Juan Contreras
Kansas City, MO

From: Marshall Wax
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Californians
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 9:45:29 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Marshall Wax
Los Angeles, CA

From: James Guerrero
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Californians
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 10:07:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am troubled by the possibility that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could raise tire prices, limit consumer options, and neglect legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are vital for safety, and families often need to purchase them unexpectedly. Even small price hikes can be burdensome, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Higher expenses might lead to delays in replacing worn or damaged tires, potentially increasing safety risks.

The updated exemptions still fail to adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuinely off-road and competition tires should not be excluded due to stringent technical criteria that do not accurately represent their design, marketing, and usage.

Before moving forward with the regulation, the Commission should disclose the potential costs of compliant tires using existing retail prices and available products. It is also crucial to assess whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or lead to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors like price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended purpose rather than solely efficiency. Californians deserve continued access to safe tires that meet their vehicular requirements, preferences, and financial considerations.

I respectfully encourage the Commission to address these problems prior to finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer selection, or removing products necessary for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my feedback into account.

Sincerely,
James Guerrero
San Diego, CA

From: Joseph Ruth
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Preserve Tire Options for CA Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 9:49:00 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Esteemed Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am deeply troubled by the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program that may lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer flexibility, and overlook legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are crucial safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small rise in price can present challenges, particularly when multiple tires need replacing simultaneously. Increased costs could also result in drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, heightening safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and racing tires should not be disregarded due to narrow technical criteria that do not adequately capture how these products are designed, marketed, or utilized.

Before solidifying the regulations, the Commission should provide a transparent analysis of the cost of compliant tires based on current retail prices and actual products. It should examine whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and if the standards could lead to reduced tire longevity, more frequent replacements, or increased waste.

Drivers make tire selections based on a variety of factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not just efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires suitable for their vehicles, needs, and financial situation.

I sincerely request the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulations. California can advance energy efficiency objectives without escalating costs, limiting consumer options, or removing products that are vital for drivers and enthusiasts.

I appreciate your attention to my feedback.

Best regards,
Joseph Ruth
San Diego, CA

From: Jacob Bower
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Californians
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 9:41:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I have concerns that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and leave specific specialty products overlooked.

When families need to replace tires, this is a critical safety expense often required suddenly. Even small price increases can impose burdens, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might also prompt postponement in replacing worn or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

Additionally, the recent exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Authentic off-road and racing tires should not be excluded due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately depict how these items are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Prior to finalizing the rule, the Commission should provide a clear analysis of what compliant tires will cost based on current market prices and actual products. It should assess if affordable options could be removed from outlets and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rate, or contribute to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as price, grip, longevity, climate, vehicle classification, and purpose, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue to have access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, necessities, and financial plans.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before enacting the rule. California can advocate for energy efficiency without adding costs, reducing consumer selections, or ending products that drivers and enthusiasts rely on.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Jacob Bower
Martinez, CA

From: William Michal
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Ensuring Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 9:22:06 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I have concerns that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and leave specific specialty products overlooked.

When families need to replace tires, this is a critical safety expense often required suddenly. Even small price increases can impose burdens, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might also prompt postponement in replacing worn or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

Additionally, the recent exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Authentic off-road and racing tires should not be excluded due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately depict how these items are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Prior to finalizing the rule, the Commission should provide a clear analysis of what compliant tires will cost based on current market prices and actual products. It should assess if affordable options could be removed from outlets and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rate, or contribute to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as price, grip, longevity, climate, vehicle classification, and purpose, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue to have access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, necessities, and financial plans.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before enacting the rule. California can advocate for energy efficiency without adding costs, reducing consumer selections, or ending products that drivers and enthusiasts rely on.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
William Michal
Bakersfield, CA

From: Patrick Thomann jr
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Correspondence: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Californians
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 10:02:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and California Energy Commission Members:

As a concerned Californian, I'm worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire expenses, limit consumer options, and leave out crucial specialty items.

Obtaining replacement tires is an essential safety need for families, often required urgently. Any increase in price, even if minimal, can pose challenges, particularly when multiple tires need replacing simultaneously. Increased costs may also lead to postponing necessary tire replacements, heightening safety concerns.

The updated exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires should not be disregarded due to limited technical specifications that do not accurately represent how these products are made, marketed, and used.

Before setting the regulations, the Commission should provide clear explanations of what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. It should also assess if cost-effective models might vanish from the market and whether these standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or generate excess waste.

Tire choices are made by drivers considering price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should maintain the ability to select safe tires that cater to their vehicles, needs, and financial situations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without driving up prices, limiting consumer choices, or removing products crucial for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,
Patrick Thomann jr
Hemet, CA

From: Peter Vahry
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Input: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options for California Drivers
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 8:25:06 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and California Energy Commission Members:

As a concerned Californian, I'm worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire expenses, limit consumer options, and leave out crucial specialty items.

Obtaining replacement tires is an essential safety need for families, often required urgently. Any increase in price, even if minimal, can pose challenges, particularly when multiple tires need replacing simultaneously. Increased costs may also lead to postponing necessary tire replacements, heightening safety concerns.

The updated exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires should not be disregarded due to limited technical specifications that do not accurately represent how these products are made, marketed, and used.

Before setting the regulations, the Commission should provide clear explanations of what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. It should also assess if cost-effective models might vanish from the market and whether these standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or generate excess waste.

Tire choices are made by drivers considering price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should maintain the ability to select safe tires that cater to their vehicles, needs, and financial situations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without driving up prices, limiting consumer choices, or removing products crucial for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,
Peter Vahry
your city and state

From: Joseph Hall
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Input: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options for California Drivers
Date: Friday, July 31, 2026 5:47:45 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned about the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program proposal, which could raise tire prices, limit consumer choice, and overlook legitimate specialized products.

Replacing tires is a critical safety need that families may face unexpectedly. Any increase in price can be problematic, especially when it's necessary to replace multiple tires concurrently. Higher expenses might lead drivers to postpone replacing worn-out or broken tires, posing further safety hazards.

The updated exemptions still do not fully address specialty tire protection. Genuine off-road and racing tires should not be omitted due to narrow technical criteria that do not consider how these products are crafted, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to implementing the regulation, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what costs compliant tires might incur using present-day retail prices and actual products. The impact on the availability of affordable models in stores needs assessment, as does whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, prompt more frequent replacements, or generate additional waste.

Drivers select tires considering factors such as price, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and usage intentions, rather than solely efficiency. Californians should retain access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, preferences, and financial means.

I respectfully request that the Commission address these concerns before enacting the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without amplifying costs, limiting consumer options, or excluding products favored by motorists and aficionados.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Joseph Hall
Lancaster, CA

From: Zaman khan
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Input: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for CA Drivers
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 9:24:47 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Zaman khan
Hayward, CA

From: Frank Oglesby
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Letter: 26-TIRE-01: Preserve Tire Options for California Drivers
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 12:05:51 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

I am writing as a concerned California resident regarding the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program being proposed. I believe it could lead to increased tire prices, limit consumer options, and neglect important specialty products.

Tires are crucial for vehicle safety, and families often need to purchase them unexpectedly. Even a small rise in price can cause financial strain, particularly when multiple tires require replacement. Such increases might also result in drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety hazards.

The updated exemptions still do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. Authentic off-road and competition tires should not be excluded due to strict technical criteria that do not accurately reflect their design, marketing, and use.

Prior to enacting the regulation, the Commission should clearly outline the costs of compliant tires based on current retail prices and existing products. They should assess whether affordable options could vanish from the market and if the standards might reduce tire longevity, increase replacement frequency, or lead to additional waste.

Drivers prioritize factors like price, traction, durability, climate conditions, vehicle type, and intended purpose over mere efficiency. Californians must retain access to safe tires that meet their requirements, financial capacities, and vehicle specifications.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before concluding the regulation. It is possible for California to enhance energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting choices, or eliminating products vital to drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. Frank Oglesby
Hayward, CA

From: Asher Anderson
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident Letter: 26-TIRE-01: Protect Tire Choice for California Drivers
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:10:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I wish to express my apprehension regarding the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and exclude specialized tire products.

Purchasing replacement tires is a critical safety decision often made without much lead time. Even minor price hikes can cause financial strain, particularly when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might prompt drivers to defer replacing worn-out or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

The updated exemption criteria also fall short in protecting specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be sidelined due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these products are engineered, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to concluding the regulation, it is essential for the Commission to clearly articulate what the cost of compliant tires would be, using real-world retail prices and available products. Furthermore, it should evaluate if affordable tire models might vanish from the market, if the standards might shorten tire longevity, increase the replacement rate, or result in additional waste.

Drivers select tires based on multiple factors such as price, durability, traction, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not just on efficiency. It is important for Californians to maintain access to safe, suitable tires that match their vehicle preferences and financial plans.

I respectfully request the Commission address these considerations before implementing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without elevating costs, curtailing consumer selection, or phasing out products relied on by both regular drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Sincerely,
Asher Anderson
Santa Rosa Valley, CA

From: Adam MacFadden
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident's Concern: 26-TIRE-01 - Preserve Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 5:16:51 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

I am writing to you as a resident of California with concerns that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might escalate tire prices, reduce consumer options, and overlook important specialty products.

Buying replacement tires is a critical safety requirement that many families face unexpectedly. Any increase in prices, even slight, can lead to financial strain, particularly when multiple tires need replacing at once. This cost might prompt drivers to delay changing worn or damaged tires, presenting further safety hazards.

The updated exemptions do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be eliminated due to restrictive technical criteria that do not account for the way these products are conceived, promoted, and utilized.

Before solidifying the regulations, the Commission should provide clarity on the expected costs of compliant tires based on present retail prices and existing products. Additionally, they should assess whether cost-effective models might become unavailable and if the standards may lessen tire longevity, increase the need for replacements, or generate more waste.

Drivers base their tire choices on factors like price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and purpose, rather than solely on efficiency. Californians ought to retain the ability to choose safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and financial situations.

I earnestly request the Commission address these concerns before finalizing the regulations. California has the opportunity to enhance energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer choices, or removing products relied upon by drivers and enthusiasts alike.

Thank you for taking my thoughts into consideration.

Sincerely,
Adam MacFadden
Los Angeles, CA

From: John Risso
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: California Resident's Concerns: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguarding Tire Options for CA Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 8:41:45 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer choice, and neglect essential specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often need to make unexpectedly. Any increase in price, even minimal, can impose hardships, particularly when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Elevated costs could prompt drivers to delay the necessary replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety threats.

The updated exemptions do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and performance tires should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent the design, marketing, and usage of these products.

Before the regulation is finalized, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. The Commission should also assess whether affordable tire models might be removed from the market and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement frequency, or contribute to waste.

Drivers make their tire choices depending on factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely on efficiency. Californians deserve access to safe tires that accommodate their vehicles, requirements, and financial considerations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without escalating costs, curbing consumer options, or excluding products relied upon by motorists and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Best regards,

Mr. John Martin Risso
Elk Grove, CA

From: Richard Noga
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Call for Action: 26-TIRE-01 - Safeguard Tire Options for California Drivers
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:14:03 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I wish to express my apprehension regarding the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and exclude specialized tire products.

Purchasing replacement tires is a critical safety decision often made without much lead time. Even minor price hikes can cause financial strain, particularly when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might prompt drivers to defer replacing worn-out or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

The updated exemption criteria also fall short in protecting specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be sidelined due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these products are engineered, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to concluding the regulation, it is essential for the Commission to clearly articulate what the cost of compliant tires would be, using real-world retail prices and available products. Furthermore, it should evaluate if affordable tire models might vanish from the market, if the standards might shorten tire longevity, increase the replacement rate, or result in additional waste.

Drivers select tires based on multiple factors such as price, durability, traction, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not just on efficiency. It is important for Californians to maintain access to safe, suitable tires that match their vehicle preferences and financial plans.

I respectfully request the Commission address these considerations before implementing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without elevating costs, curtailing consumer selection, or phasing out products relied on by both regular drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Sincerely,
Richard Noga
Cayuga, NY

From: Wesley Brewer
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Concerned California Driver: 26-TIRE-01 - Ensure Tire Selection Freedom
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 8:29:17 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could drive up the costs of tires, limit consumer options, and neglect genuine specialty tires.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in cost can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be changed simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead to drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still do not adequately cover specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these tires are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Before confirming the regulation, the Commission should transparently clarify what the compliant tires will cost, referencing current retail prices and actual products. It is also essential to evaluate whether affordable models may become unavailable and if the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase the need for replacements, or result in more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as cost, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires that fit their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these issues before finalizing the regulation. California can promote energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer choices, or removing products that drivers and enthusiasts depend on.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Wesley Brewer
Los Angeles, CA

From: Zachary Schreiner
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Concerned California Driver: 26-TIRE-01 - Ensure Tire Selection Freedom
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:31:39 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

I am writing as a resident of California to express my concerns about the potential impacts of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program on tire costs, consumer choice, and the inclusion of specialty products.

Replacement tires are often necessary for vehicle safety and are sometimes needed on short notice. Any increase in tire prices could pose financial difficulties, particularly when multiple tires require replacement. Higher costs could lead to delays in replacing worn tires, thereby increasing safety risks.

The current exemptions do not adequately address the protection of specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and performance tires should not be excluded simply due to restrictive technical criteria that do not account for their true design, marketing, and use.

Before approving these regulations, the Commission should transparently outline the potential costs of compliant tires based on today's market prices and analyze whether reasonably priced models could disappear, whether the new standards might reduce tire longevity, or if they could lead to more frequent replacements and increased waste.

Tire selection by drivers depends on various factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, the vehicle, and its usage. Efficiency alone is not the deciding factor. Californians deserve continued access to tires that meet their safety, functionality, and budgetary needs.

I urge the Commission to address these issues prior to sanctioning the regulation. It is possible to advance energy efficiency in California without raising prices, limiting consumer choices, or excluding essential products used by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my concerns into account.

Sincerely,
Mr. Zachary Schreiner
Anaheim, CA

From: Raelynn JacobsJennelle
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Concerned California Driver: 26-TIRE-01 - Ensure Tire Selection Freedom
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 3:25:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and inadequately accommodate specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often must purchase unexpectedly. Even small price increases can pose challenges, particularly when needing multiple replacements simultaneously. Elevated costs may also encourage drivers to postpone swapping worn or compromised tires, thereby escalating safety dangers.

The adjustments to exemptions still do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be disqualified by strict technical criteria that fail to account for how they are developed, marketed, and employed.

Before the regulation is finalized, the Commission should provide a clear explanation of the costs of compliant tires using existing retail prices and actual products. It should also evaluate whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and whether the standards might decrease tire longevity, necessitate more frequent replacements, or lead to more waste.

Drivers make tire choices based on cost, grip, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle specifications, and intended application, not solely on efficiency. Californians must continue to have access to tires that meet their vehicle requirements, preferences, and financial considerations.

I earnestly request the Commission address these matters before the regulations completion. California can support energy conservation without boosting costs, limiting consumer selections, or excluding items used by motorists and hobbyists.

Thank you for taking my feedback into account.

Sincerely,
Raelynn JacobsJennelle
San Carlos, CA

From: Jacob Vander Bie
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Input from California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Choices for CA Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 3:42:09 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Honorable Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to increased tire prices, limit consumer options, and leave out legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are crucial safety purchases that families often have to make without much prior notice. Even a small rise in prices might cause hardship, particularly when several tires require replacement simultaneously. Elevated costs could also lead drivers to postpone replacing worn or damaged tires, which poses additional safety hazards.

The updated exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be overlooked due to restrictive technical specifications that do not accurately reflect how these products are designed or utilized.

Before finalizing these regulations, the Commission should provide a clear explanation of what compliant tires cost, using contemporary retail prices and actual products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from the market and whether the standards could reduce tire longevity, increase replacement frequency, or lead to additional waste.

Drivers select tires based upon factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, rather than efficiency alone. Residents of California should continue to have access to safe tires that cater to their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these matters before concluding the regulation process. California can enhance energy efficiency without elevating costs, limiting consumer choice, or removing products relied upon by motorists and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Jacob Vander Bie
Menifee, CA

From: Valerie Pierce
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Input from California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Choices for CA Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:33:34 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Esteemed Members of the California Energy Commission:

As someone residing in California, I am concerned that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might elevate tire prices, restrict consumer options, and overlook valid specialty tire products.

Replacement tires are crucial safety items that families frequently have to purchase unexpectedly. Even a slight rise in costs can pose a difficulty, particularly when multiple tires are required simultaneously. Increased expenses might also prompt drivers to postpone replacing worn or damaged tires, increasing safety risks.

The updated exemptions insufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competitive tires should not be sidelined due to stringent technical requirements that do not accurately reflect the design, marketing, and usage of these products.

Before the regulation is finalized, the Commission should provide transparent information about the pricing of compliant tires using current market prices and actual products. It is also critical to evaluate whether affordable models might vanish from shelves and consider if the regulations could decrease tire longevity, raise the frequency of replacements, or result in more waste.

When selecting tires, motorists consider factors such as cost, grip, durability, climate, vehicle type, and purpose, not just efficiency. Californians deserve access to safe, suitable tires that align with their vehicles, requirements, and financial constraints.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns prior to concluding the regulation. It is possible for California to endorse energy efficiency without increasing expenses, limiting consumer choice, or eliminating products favored by drivers and enthusiasts.

I appreciate your attention to my views.

Sincerely,
Ms. Valerie Pierce
Santa Clara, CA

From: Jose Sanchez
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Input from California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Choices for CA Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 1:14:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Esteemed Members of the California Energy Commission,

As someone living in California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might elevate tire prices, diminish consumer options, and exclude certain specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical for safety and often need to be purchased at short notice. Even small price hikes can pose difficulties, especially when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Increased costs might lead drivers to postpone replacing worn or damaged tires, thereby posing additional safety hazards.

The updated exemptions do not thoroughly shield specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be sidelined by restrictive technical criteria that overlook the design, marketing, and use of these products.

Prior to finalizing these regulations, the Commission should transparently communicate the cost of compliant tires using current market prices and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and if the guidelines could lead to reduced tire lifespan, more frequent replacements, or added waste.

Tire choices are based on factors such as price, grip, resilience, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should have continued access to tires that are safe and meet their vehicles, needs, and financial limits.

I earnestly recommend that the Commission address these concerns before concluding the regulation. California has the potential to enhance energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer options, or removing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my views into account.

Sincerely,
Jose Sanchez
Dublin, CA

From: Andrew Nguyen
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguarding Tire Options for Californians
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 8:07:57 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could drive up the costs of tires, limit consumer options, and neglect genuine specialty tires.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often make unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in cost can cause financial strain, particularly when several tires need to be changed simultaneously. Higher costs may also lead to drivers postponing the replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety concerns.

The updated exemptions still do not adequately cover specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires shouldn't be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these tires are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Before confirming the regulation, the Commission should transparently clarify what the compliant tires will cost, referencing current retail prices and actual products. It is also essential to evaluate whether affordable models may become unavailable and if the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase the need for replacements, or result in more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as cost, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires that fit their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these issues before finalizing the regulation. California can promote energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer choices, or removing products that drivers and enthusiasts depend on.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Andrew Nguyen
San Jose, CA

From: Kris Yip
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for California Drivers
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 10:44:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am troubled by the possibility that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could raise tire prices, limit consumer options, and neglect legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are vital for safety, and families often need to purchase them unexpectedly. Even small price hikes can be burdensome, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Higher expenses might lead to delays in replacing worn or damaged tires, potentially increasing safety risks.

The updated exemptions still fail to adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuinely off-road and competition tires should not be excluded due to stringent technical criteria that do not accurately represent their design, marketing, and usage.

Before moving forward with the regulation, the Commission should disclose the potential costs of compliant tires using existing retail prices and available products. It is also crucial to assess whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or lead to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors like price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended purpose rather than solely efficiency. Californians deserve continued access to safe tires that meet their vehicular requirements, preferences, and financial considerations.

I respectfully encourage the Commission to address these problems prior to finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer selection, or removing products necessary for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my feedback into account.

Sincerely,
Kris Yip
San Francisco, CA

From: Brett Grassl
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguarding Tire Options for Californians
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 9:21:02 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am troubled by the potential impact of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and overlook important specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to make unexpectedly. A small rise in price might pose difficulties, particularly when multiple tires have to be replaced concurrently. Higher expenses might lead drivers to delay swapping out worn or damaged tires, thereby escalating safety concerns.

Moreover, the revised exemptions fall short in safeguarding specialty tires. Legitimate off-roading and racing tires should not be disregarded due to stringent technical criteria that do not accurately consider how these products are built, marketed, or utilized.

Prior to enacting the regulation, the Commission should transparently communicate what compliant tire costs will be based on current market prices and existing products. It is essential to assess whether affordable options could vanish from stores and if the standards might shorten tire lifespan, increase the need for replacements, or contribute to additional waste.

Drivers select tires considering price, grip, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely efficiency. Californians deserve continued access to safe tire options that align with their vehicles, preferences, and financial limitations.

I kindly urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can foster energy efficiency without imposing extra costs, constraining consumer freedom, or phasing out products utilized by both drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my input into account.

Best regards,
Mr. Brett J. Grassl
Torrance, CA

From: Tony Lee
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguarding Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 6:47:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer choice, and neglect essential specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often need to make unexpectedly. Any increase in price, even minimal, can impose hardships, particularly when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Elevated costs could prompt drivers to delay the necessary replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety threats.

The updated exemptions do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and performance tires should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent the design, marketing, and usage of these products.

Before the regulation is finalized, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. The Commission should also assess whether affordable tire models might be removed from the market and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement frequency, or contribute to waste.

Drivers make their tire choices depending on factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely on efficiency. Californians deserve access to safe tires that accommodate their vehicles, requirements, and financial considerations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without escalating costs, curbing consumer options, or excluding products relied upon by motorists and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Best regards,

Tony Lee
Fontana, CA

From: Rafael Santana
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguarding Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 6:39:09 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Honorable Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to increased tire prices, limit consumer options, and leave out legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are crucial safety purchases that families often have to make without much prior notice. Even a small rise in prices might cause hardship, particularly when several tires require replacement simultaneously. Elevated costs could also lead drivers to postpone replacing worn or damaged tires, which poses additional safety hazards.

The updated exemptions do not adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be overlooked due to restrictive technical specifications that do not accurately reflect how these products are designed or utilized.

Before finalizing these regulations, the Commission should provide a clear explanation of what compliant tires cost, using contemporary retail prices and actual products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from the market and whether the standards could reduce tire longevity, increase replacement frequency, or lead to additional waste.

Drivers select tires based upon factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, rather than efficiency alone. Residents of California should continue to have access to safe tires that cater to their vehicles, requirements, and budgets.

I respectfully urge the Commission to address these matters before concluding the regulation process. California can enhance energy efficiency without elevating costs, limiting consumer choice, or removing products relied upon by motorists and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Mr. Rafael Santana
Tracy, CA

From: Alvaro Chavez
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Californians
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 5:42:31 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a California resident, I am worried that the suggested Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, restrict consumer options, and overlook certain specialized products.

Replacement tires are vital safety purchases that families frequently need to make on short notice. Even a small price hike can pose challenges, particularly when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Rising costs might also lead drivers to postpone the replacement of worn or faulty tires, increasing safety hazards.

The updated exemptions do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. True off-road and competition tires should not be excluded by narrowly defined technical standards that do not account for how these products are created, marketed, and utilized.

Before enacting the regulation, the Commission should provide a clear explanation of what compliant tires will cost by using current market prices and existing products. Additionally, the Commission should assess whether affordable choices might vanish from the market and if the standards might reduce tire lifespan, necessitate more frequent replacements, or generate additional waste.

Drivers select tires based on cost, traction, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not merely efficiency. Californians must continue to have access to tires that ensure safety and meet their vehicles, needs, and budget requirements.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without raising costs, curbing consumer choice, or removing products relied upon by motorists and aficionados.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,
Alvaro Chavez
Lancaster, CA

From: Robert Ruiz
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: Protect Tire Options for Local Drivers - 26-TIRE-01
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 10:15:52 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am troubled by the possibility that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could raise tire prices, limit consumer options, and neglect legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are vital for safety, and families often need to purchase them unexpectedly. Even small price hikes can be burdensome, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Higher expenses might lead to delays in replacing worn or damaged tires, potentially increasing safety risks.

The updated exemptions still fail to adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuinely off-road and competition tires should not be excluded due to stringent technical criteria that do not accurately represent their design, marketing, and usage.

Before moving forward with the regulation, the Commission should disclose the potential costs of compliant tires using existing retail prices and available products. It is also crucial to assess whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or lead to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors like price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended purpose rather than solely efficiency. Californians deserve continued access to safe tires that meet their vehicular requirements, preferences, and financial considerations.

I respectfully encourage the Commission to address these problems prior to finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer selection, or removing products necessary for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my feedback into account.

Sincerely,
Robert Ruiz
Lakewood, CA

From: Jim Musich
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: Protect Tire Options for Local Drivers - 26-TIRE-01
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 12:25:59 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned about the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program proposal, which could raise tire prices, limit consumer choice, and overlook legitimate specialized products.

Replacing tires is a critical safety need that families may face unexpectedly. Any increase in price can be problematic, especially when it's necessary to replace multiple tires concurrently. Higher expenses might lead drivers to postpone replacing worn-out or broken tires, posing further safety hazards.

The updated exemptions still do not fully address specialty tire protection. Genuine off-road and racing tires should not be omitted due to narrow technical criteria that do not consider how these products are crafted, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to implementing the regulation, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what costs compliant tires might incur using present-day retail prices and actual products. The impact on the availability of affordable models in stores needs assessment, as does whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, prompt more frequent replacements, or generate additional waste.

Drivers select tires considering factors such as price, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and usage intentions, rather than solely efficiency. Californians should retain access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, preferences, and financial means.

I respectfully request that the Commission address these concerns before enacting the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without amplifying costs, limiting consumer options, or excluding products favored by motorists and aficionados.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Jim Musich
Ben Lomond, CA

From: Daniel Freidin
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: Safeguarding Tire Choices - Case 26-TIRE-01
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 9:22:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I have concerns that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and leave specific specialty products overlooked.

When families need to replace tires, this is a critical safety expense often required suddenly. Even small price increases can impose burdens, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might also prompt postponement in replacing worn or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

Additionally, the recent exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Authentic off-road and racing tires should not be excluded due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately depict how these items are designed, promoted, and utilized.

Prior to finalizing the rule, the Commission should provide a clear analysis of what compliant tires will cost based on current market prices and actual products. It should assess if affordable options could be removed from outlets and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rate, or contribute to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors such as price, grip, longevity, climate, vehicle classification, and purpose, not solely on efficiency. Californians should continue to have access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, necessities, and financial plans.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns before enacting the rule. California can advocate for energy efficiency without adding costs, reducing consumer selections, or ending products that drivers and enthusiasts rely on.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Daniel Freidin
Los Angeles, CA

From: John Bell
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: Tire Selection and Affordability Concerns
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 9:21:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As someone living in California, I am troubled by the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which I believe could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and exclude valid specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety investments that families often need to secure unexpectedly. Even a slight increase in price can be burdensome, especially when replacing multiple tires. Elevated costs could also result in drivers delaying necessary tire replacements, heightening safety concerns.

Moreover, the updated exemptions insufficiently safeguard specialty tires. True off-road and competition tires should not be omitted by rigid technical criteria that don't consider how these tires are designed, sold, and used.

Prior to implementing the regulation, the Commission should explicitly outline the costs of compliant tires based on current retail pricing and existing products. It's crucial to investigate if affordable options might vanish from the market or if new standards might reduce tire longevity, require more frequent replacements, or augment waste.

Drivers opt for tires based on a variety of factors such as price, grip, durability, weather conditions, vehicle specification, and purpose, not solely on efficiency. Californians should retain access to safe tires that meet their vehicle requirements, preferences, and financial means.

I respectfully ask the Commission to address these matters prior to finalizing the regulation. California can support energy efficiency sans increasing expenses, curbing consumer choice, or eliminating products essential to drivers and hobbyists.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Mr. John Bell
Hermosa Beach, CA

From: Alejandro Sauter
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a California Resident: Tire Selection and Affordability Concerns
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 9:49:58 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and California Energy Commission Members:

As a concerned Californian, I'm worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire expenses, limit consumer options, and leave out crucial specialty items.

Obtaining replacement tires is an essential safety need for families, often required urgently. Any increase in price, even if minimal, can pose challenges, particularly when multiple tires need replacing simultaneously. Increased costs may also lead to postponing necessary tire replacements, heightening safety concerns.

The updated exemptions do not sufficiently safeguard specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires should not be disregarded due to limited technical specifications that do not accurately represent how these products are made, marketed, and used.

Before setting the regulations, the Commission should provide clear explanations of what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. It should also assess if cost-effective models might vanish from the market and whether these standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or generate excess waste.

Tire choices are made by drivers considering price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not solely on efficiency. Californians should maintain the ability to select safe tires that cater to their vehicles, needs, and financial situations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns before finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without driving up prices, limiting consumer choices, or removing products crucial for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

Sincerely,
Alejandro Sauter
San Jose, CA

From: Bruce Cumming
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a Californian: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 8:55:11 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned citizen in California, I worry that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might raise tire prices, restrict consumer choices, and neglect legitimate specialty products.

Replacing tires is a critical safety expense often undertaken unexpectedly by families. Even minor price hikes can pose challenges, particularly when multiple tires need replacing simultaneously. Higher expenses may prompt delays in replacing worn or damaged tires, escalating safety hazards.

Moreover, current exemptions fail to comprehensively safeguard specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and racing tires should not be excluded by overly strict technical criteria that do not align with how these products are made, marketed, and utilized.

Before completing the regulation, the Commission should transparently clarify the cost of compliant tires using existing retail prices and real market items. They should also assess whether affordable versions might vanish from shelves and if the standards could impact tire lifespan, require more frequent replacements, or lead to increased waste.

Drivers select tires based on price, grip, endurance, weather, vehicle type, and purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that meet their vehicles' requirements, needs, and financial constraints.

I earnestly encourage the Commission to address these concerns before solidifying the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without driving up costs, limiting consumer choices, or excluding products essential for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for reviewing my comments.

Sincerely,
Bruce Cumming
San Jose, CA

From: Valerie Best
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a Californian: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:27:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I wish to express my apprehension regarding the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and exclude specialized tire products.

Purchasing replacement tires is a critical safety decision often made without much lead time. Even minor price hikes can cause financial strain, particularly when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might prompt drivers to defer replacing worn-out or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

The updated exemption criteria also fall short in protecting specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be sidelined due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these products are engineered, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to concluding the regulation, it is essential for the Commission to clearly articulate what the cost of compliant tires would be, using real-world retail prices and available products. Furthermore, it should evaluate if affordable tire models might vanish from the market, if the standards might shorten tire longevity, increase the replacement rate, or result in additional waste.

Drivers select tires based on multiple factors such as price, durability, traction, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not just on efficiency. It is important for Californians to maintain access to safe, suitable tires that match their vehicle preferences and financial plans.

I respectfully request the Commission address these considerations before implementing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without elevating costs, curtailing consumer selection, or phasing out products relied on by both regular drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Sincerely,
Valerie Best
Creston, CA

From: Nicolas Villa
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a Californian: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 10:03:52 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am troubled by the possibility that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program could raise tire prices, limit consumer options, and neglect legitimate specialty products.

Replacement tires are vital for safety, and families often need to purchase them unexpectedly. Even small price hikes can be burdensome, especially when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Higher expenses might lead to delays in replacing worn or damaged tires, potentially increasing safety risks.

The updated exemptions still fail to adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuinely off-road and competition tires should not be excluded due to stringent technical criteria that do not accurately represent their design, marketing, and usage.

Before moving forward with the regulation, the Commission should disclose the potential costs of compliant tires using existing retail prices and available products. It is also crucial to assess whether affordable options might vanish from shelves and whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement rates, or lead to more waste.

Drivers select tires based on factors like price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended purpose rather than solely efficiency. Californians deserve continued access to safe tires that meet their vehicular requirements, preferences, and financial considerations.

I respectfully encourage the Commission to address these problems prior to finalizing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without raising costs, limiting consumer selection, or removing products necessary for drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my feedback into account.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Villa
Temecula, CA

From: Andrew Hopkins
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a Concerned California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Ensuring Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 4:02:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a concerned resident of California, I wish to express my apprehension regarding the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and exclude specialized tire products.

Purchasing replacement tires is a critical safety decision often made without much lead time. Even minor price hikes can cause financial strain, particularly when replacing multiple tires simultaneously. Elevated costs might prompt drivers to defer replacing worn-out or damaged tires, thereby heightening safety risks.

The updated exemption criteria also fall short in protecting specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be sidelined due to restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent how these products are engineered, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to concluding the regulation, it is essential for the Commission to clearly articulate what the cost of compliant tires would be, using real-world retail prices and available products. Furthermore, it should evaluate if affordable tire models might vanish from the market, if the standards might shorten tire longevity, increase the replacement rate, or result in additional waste.

Drivers select tires based on multiple factors such as price, durability, traction, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not just on efficiency. It is important for Californians to maintain access to safe, suitable tires that match their vehicle preferences and financial plans.

I respectfully request the Commission address these considerations before implementing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without elevating costs, curtailing consumer selection, or phasing out products relied on by both regular drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Sincerely,
Andrew Hopkins
Nairobi, Kajiado County

From: Zachary Lara
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from a Concerned California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Ensuring Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 12:20:02 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am concerned about the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program proposal, which could raise tire prices, limit consumer choice, and overlook legitimate specialized products.

Replacing tires is a critical safety need that families may face unexpectedly. Any increase in price can be problematic, especially when it's necessary to replace multiple tires concurrently. Higher expenses might lead drivers to postpone replacing worn-out or broken tires, posing further safety hazards.

The updated exemptions still do not fully address specialty tire protection. Genuine off-road and racing tires should not be omitted due to narrow technical criteria that do not consider how these products are crafted, marketed, and utilized.

Prior to implementing the regulation, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what costs compliant tires might incur using present-day retail prices and actual products. The impact on the availability of affordable models in stores needs assessment, as does whether the standards could reduce tire lifespan, prompt more frequent replacements, or generate additional waste.

Drivers select tires considering factors such as price, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and usage intentions, rather than solely efficiency. Californians should retain access to reliable tires that match their vehicles, preferences, and financial means.

I respectfully request that the Commission address these concerns before enacting the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency without amplifying costs, limiting consumer options, or excluding products favored by motorists and aficionados.

Thank you for reviewing my remarks.

Sincerely,
Zachary Lara
San Francisco, CA

From: Brian George
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Ensure Tire Options for California Drivers
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 9:00:35 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried about the implications of the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program, which could potentially escalate tire prices, limit choices for consumers, and neglect legitimate specialty tires.

Replacement tires are a critical safety purchase that families often need to make unexpectedly. Even a small price hike can be burdensome, particularly when multiple tires require replacing simultaneously. Increased expenses might also lead drivers to postpone changing worn or damaged tires, thereby increasing safety hazards.

The revised exemptions fail to adequately protect specialty tires. Genuine off-road and competition tires should not be constrained by strict technical specifications that don't accurately represent their design, market presence, and usage.

Before implementing the regulation, the Commission should transparently disclose what compliant tires would cost based on current retail pricing and tangible products. It should also assess whether affordable models might vanish from stores and if the standards might curtail tire life, necessitate more frequent replacements, or result in more waste.

Tire selection by drivers is based on cost, traction, durability, climate, vehicle type, and intended purpose, not just efficiency. Californians should have continued access to safe tires that match their vehicles, requirements, and spending capacity.

I respectfully appeal to the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can encourage energy efficiency without driving up costs, curtailing consumer options, or withdrawing products valued by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for considering my input.

Sincerely,
Brian George
Bakersfield, CA

From: Francis APACIBLE
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter from California Resident: 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for California Motorists
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 5:50:07 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer choice, and neglect essential specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often need to make unexpectedly. Any increase in price, even minimal, can impose hardships, particularly when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Elevated costs could prompt drivers to delay the necessary replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety threats.

The updated exemptions do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and performance tires should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent the design, marketing, and usage of these products.

Before the regulation is finalized, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. The Commission should also assess whether affordable tire models might be removed from the market and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement frequency, or contribute to waste.

Drivers make their tire choices depending on factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely on efficiency. Californians deserve access to safe tires that accommodate their vehicles, requirements, and financial considerations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without escalating costs, curbing consumer options, or excluding products relied upon by motorists and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Best regards,

Francis APACIBLE
Hayward, CA

From: Isaac Perez
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter Regarding 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Drivers in California
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2026 6:11:20 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As a resident of California, I am worried that the proposed Replacement Tire Efficiency Program might lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer choice, and neglect essential specialty products.

Replacement tires are critical safety purchases that families often need to make unexpectedly. Any increase in price, even minimal, can impose hardships, particularly when multiple tires require replacement simultaneously. Elevated costs could prompt drivers to delay the necessary replacement of worn or damaged tires, posing further safety threats.

The updated exemptions do not adequately safeguard specialty tires. Genuine off-road and performance tires should not be excluded by restrictive technical criteria that do not accurately represent the design, marketing, and usage of these products.

Before the regulation is finalized, it is crucial for the Commission to specify what compliant tires will cost based on current retail prices and existing products. The Commission should also assess whether affordable tire models might be removed from the market and whether the standards might reduce tire lifespan, increase replacement frequency, or contribute to waste.

Drivers make their tire choices depending on factors such as price, traction, durability, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended use, not merely on efficiency. Californians deserve access to safe tires that accommodate their vehicles, requirements, and financial considerations.

I urge the Commission to address these concerns prior to finalizing the regulation. California can achieve energy efficiency goals without escalating costs, curbing consumer options, or excluding products relied upon by motorists and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my comments into account.

Best regards,

Isaac Perez
Bakersfield, CA

From: Robert Gilbert
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Letter Regarding 26-TIRE-01: Safeguard Tire Options for Drivers in California
Date: Thursday, July 30, 2026 2:44:17 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Chair Hochschild and Members of the California Energy Commission:

As someone living in California, I am concerned that the Replacement Tire Efficiency Program currently proposed would lead to higher tire prices, limit consumer options, and overlook important specialty products.

Replacing tires is a crucial safety necessity that often arises unexpectedly for families. Even a slight increase in price can be burdensome, particularly if multiple tires need replacement simultaneously. Higher expenses might also prompt drivers to postpone changing worn-out or damaged tires, heightening safety hazards.

The updated exemptions also fall short of adequately safeguarding specialty tires. Legitimate off-road and competition tires must not be disqualified by narrow technical criteria that do not accurately represent their design, marketing, and utilization.

Before the regulation is finalized, the Commission should transparently communicate what the compliant tires will cost, using existing retail prices and actual products. It should also assess whether budget-friendly models might vanish from the market and whether the new standards could reduce tire lifespan, necessitate more frequent replacements, or generate additional waste.

Drivers select tires based on a variety of reasons, including cost, grip, longevity, weather conditions, vehicle type, and intended application, not just efficiency. Residents of California should maintain access to reliable tires that are suitable for their vehicles, needs, and financial considerations.

I earnestly urge the Commission to address these concerns prior to completing the regulation. California can advance energy efficiency goals without imposing increased costs, limiting consumer choices, or eliminating products relied on by drivers and enthusiasts.

Thank you for taking my views into account.

Sincerely,
Mr. Robert H. Gilbert
Wildomar, CA