

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	26-TIRE-01
Project Title:	Tire Efficiency Rulemaking
TN #:	270580
Document Title:	Daniel Flees Comments - Tire Efficiency Rulemaking comment
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Daniel Flees
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	6/11/2026 11:35:41 PM
Docketed Date:	6/12/2026

*Comment Received From: Daniel Flees
Submitted On: 6/11/2026
Docket Number: 26-TIRE-01*

Tire Efficiency Rulemaking comment

The arithmetic of this proposal doesn't make sense. It purports cost savings for consumers without taking into account the increased frequency, inconvenience and costs of tire replacements. My experience with OEM tires is that they are junk and seldom last even 2 years / 30k miles. Good after market tires can last as much as 80-90k miles / 5+ years. A replacement set of tires installed easily costs ~ \$500+ (more for a large truck/SUV), plus one has to take time off work to get them installed, at \$25/hr, that's another ~\$75. So with this proposal if tires become less durable like standard OEM tires, we save an insignificant amount in fuel costs (probably won't even cover state fuel tax increases), but need to spend \$575 x 2 over the course of a ~6-7 year span (2 additional tire replacements due to the decreased durability of OEM lower quality tires). How is that cost savings? I guess it will be fine for California residents who live near the Nevada border as they can cross over to a more sensible state and have the tires that they actually want installed on their cars. For anyone else, they will have to eat the increased costs and inconvenience of more frequent tire replacements. Please stop trying to over regulate us all into higher costs on everything in California. Whenever you shop online and see "product not available in California" you know you are getting charged more than you should be paying.