

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	25-OPT-02
<b>Project Title:</b>	Prairie Song Reliability Project
<b>TN #:</b>	270510
<b>Document Title:</b>	ROC with applicant's representatives -PSRP (25-OPT-02) Questions for Project Description
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	Lisa Worrall
<b>Organization:</b>	California Energy Commission
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Commission Staff
<b>Submission Date:</b>	6/10/2026 3:40:12 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	6/10/2026

# California Energy Commission

## *Report of Conversation (ROC)*

*Siting, Transmission, and Environmental Protection Division.*

---



PROJECT TITLE: Prairie Song Reliability Project (PRSP)

DOCKET: 25-OPT-02

TECHNICAL AREA(S): Project Description

METHOD OF CONVERSATION: E-mail

NAME(S): Lisa Worrall, Project Manager

WITH: Garrett Lehman, Coval Infrastructure (applicant's representative) and Erin Phillips, Dudek

DATE: June 9, 2026

TIME: 3:19 pm

SUBJECT: Questions for Project Description

COMMENTS: Project manager Lisa Worrall emailed the applicant's representative to seek clarification on two questions related to the project description. The following is a copy of the responses received from the applicant's representative, shown in blue.

---

1. Do you have any estimate of how many batteries might be added or replaced during augmentation (every 3 to 5 years)? It would be helpful to know at least, the maximum number of batteries added or replaced throughout the life of the project. Corby did this and it was helpful.

Applicant representative response: *We have an estimated model for augmentation, but we note that these numbers are subject to changed [sic] based on ITC [investment tax credit] optimization at time of install, experienced degradation, and optimizations in augmentation schedule to meet contracting requirements. I also want to point out that our 2035 number is final number at the end of the project life, so these numbers are included in that total of 2035*

- Year 5 = 95
- Year 8 = 95
- Year 11 = 140

- Year 15 = 120
- Year 18 = 128

2. It looks like there is a 2024 update of the Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006. Would it be accurate to report that the applicant would design the project transmission facilities consistent with the 2024 update of the Suggested Practices for Avian Protection of Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2024, where feasible?

Applicant representative response: [That is acceptable.](#)

---

cc:

Name: Lisa Worrall, Project Manager