

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	26-TIRE-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Tire Efficiency Rulemaking
<b>TN #:</b>	270468
<b>Document Title:</b>	Tommy Wong Comments - Unnecessary Overreach in Proposal for Tire Efficiency
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Tommy Wong
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	6/9/2026 7:23:35 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	6/10/2026

*Comment Received From: Tommy Wong*  
*Submitted On: 6/9/2026*  
*Docket Number: 26-TIRE-01*

## **Unnecessary Overreach in Proposal for Tire Efficiency**

While I agree with most ideas in this proposal for identifying tire efficiency in the name of helping save consumers/citizens money, the outright ban of sales of tires that do not meet the regulatory standard is wholly unnecessary and is an attack on California car culture that serves no public interest.

The ban of tires that fail to meet regulation is government overreach, consumers should be allowed the freedom of choice in selecting their products, with regulation needing to only enforce bans in the name of safety and consumer protection. This proposal appears to target tires commonly used for track/competitive use, which is a fairly niche portion of the population and consumers. Such is seen as an attack on car culture that offers very little positive effect and could further affect long standing icons of California car culture such as racetracks like world renowned Laguna Seca, Willow Springs, Sonoma Raceway and Thunder Hill to name a few. If such icons of car culture are effected negatively, California could see a loss of taxpayer money resulting from these businesses failing and residents who are car enthusiasts moving away or skirting the regulations. Being a car enthusiast in the state of California is already prohibitively expensive, further restrictions to the enthusiast community that are unreasonable such as in this proposal to ban tires that fail to meet the regulatory standard ultimately hurt the consumers/residents of the state.

Consumers should be educated on their tires choices and regulations introduced in this proposal that quantify tire efficiency to further inform consumers/residents are an example of good use of taxpayers' resources that is not government overreach. However, there needs to be a fine balance of ensuring that this proposal does not negatively impact taxpayers through of the cost of its research. California residents already deal with high taxes and government excessive budgetary spending that is lost through fraud or lack of proper auditory measures/enforcement that reduce wasteful spending. Media already portrays this proposal in a negative light due to the attempt to ban tires, and could further harm the positive portions of the proposal by passing it off as wasteful spending.

To reiterate while I overall view the proposal as good for consumers, the banning of tires that fail to meet regulatory standard is unnecessary government overreach that hurts residents and would have minimum impact to clean energy. The ban should be removed from the proposal and I would also suggest that a better attempt at improving clean energy in California, it would be a better use of taxpayers' resources that improvements are made to road quality, public transportation, clean energy alternatives (solar, wind, and proper safe usage of nuclear energy) and providing proper education on said options.