

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	26-SPPE-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	RB Inyokern Data Center (RBIDC)
<b>TN #:</b>	270384
<b>Document Title:</b>	Kitty Reeve Comments - Subject Opposition to SPPE for RB Inyokern Data Center (Docket 26-SPPE-01) – Concerns Regarding Transparency and Piecemeal Appr
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Kitty Reeve
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	5/31/2026 4:05:09 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	6/1/2026

*Comment Received From: Kitty Reeve  
Submitted On: 5/31/2026  
Docket Number: 26-SPPE-01*

**Subject: Opposition to SPPE for RB Inyokern Data Center (Docket 26-SPPE-01) – Concerns Regarding Transparency and Piecemeal Appr**

Subject: Opposition to SPPE for RB Inyokern Data Center (Docket 26-SPPE-01) – Concerns Regarding Transparency and Piecemeal Approval

I am a resident of Ridgecrest writing to strongly oppose the Small Power Plant Exemption (SPPE) for the RB Inyokern Data Center and request that the CEC require a full environmental review under CEQA.

The developer's representative (realtor) recently claimed they met with the CEC and that "all is resolved," including edits to marketing materials. However, those original marketing materials clearly promoted an additional 80-acre expansion with another 99 MW right next to the current 50-acre/99 MW filing. This strongly suggests the project is being marketed as a 198 MW+ campus to investors while the application was deliberately filed just under the 100 MW threshold to avoid full review. This raises legitimate concerns about the transparency and completeness of the application.

This appears to be a classic piecemeal strategy designed to bypass comprehensive analysis of cumulative impacts. I respectfully request that the CEC:

- Deny the current SPPE application or hold it in abeyance
- Require a full CEQA review for the entire foreseeable project
- Demand full disclosure of all expansion plans, the original investor deck, and accurate long-term project visuals
- Conduct a thorough cumulative impact analysis, particularly regarding water use in the critically overdrafted Indian Wells Valley, visual impacts on our desert landscape, noise, traffic, air quality from diesel generators, and overall industrialization of the area

Our community deserves honesty and a complete review – not a fast-tracked approval that treats residents as an afterthought.

Thank you,

Kitty Reeve