

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	24-OPT-05
<b>Project Title:</b>	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
<b>TN #:</b>	269418
<b>Document Title:</b>	Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (18 total)
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Deanna Cole
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	4/6/2026 1:45:46 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	4/6/2026

*Comment Received From: Deanna Cole  
Submitted On: 4/6/2026  
Docket Number: 24-OPT-05*

**Signed Letters of Opposition (18 total)**

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*

Keep Vacaville Safe is a community advocacy group representing more than 1,500 local residents. While we support the advancement of energy storage technology, we firmly oppose the placement of high-capacity battery system in areas where “thermal runaway” could jeopardize public safety or the environment.

Our organization has consistently voiced opposition to the **Corby Project (Docket 24-OPT-05)** due to its proximity to residential area, working agricultural spaces, HWY 80 and proximity to a major hospital.

We hold the same safety concerns for **Vaca Dixon Power Center (26-OPT-01)**. Our position is reflected in a signed petition from local resident, which requests the denial of the Corby project and any other similar project in the area.

Please accept the attached letters as **formal public comments** in **opposition to:**

- **Corby Project (Docket 24-OPT-05)**
- **Vaca Dixon Power Center (26-OPT-01)**

Sincerely,



Dee Cole

Keep Vacaville Safe

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Rochelle Martin Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: Rochelle Martin Phone: [REDACTED]



California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Dixon, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Madison West Email Address:   
Signature: Madison West Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out-of-area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Schylee Boone Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Schylee Boone Phone: \_\_\_\_\_



California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out-of-area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Sarah Lyon Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: Sarah M. Lyon Phone: 707-441-1111

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Kimberley Luke Email Add: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: Kimberley Luke Phone: \_\_\_\_\_





California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Solano County, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out-of-area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Nancy Wakelec Email Address:   
Signature: Nancy Wakelec Phone: 

California Energy Commission


RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out-of-area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Jill Hendrix Email: 

Signature: Jill Hendrix Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)


Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out-of-area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Judy Jeffery Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Judy Jeffery Phone: \_\_\_\_\_





California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out-of-area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Carmen Kaycraft Email Address:   
Signature: Carmen Kaycraft Phone: 



California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, Solano Co., I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: GAYLA POWERS Email Address:   
Signature: Gayla Powers Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of VACAVILLE, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: MIKE WOOD Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature:  Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Solano County, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: LESLIE ROSSMAN Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Leslie Rossman Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: James Bend Email Address: [REDACTED]  
Signature James Bend Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Solano County, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Lesla Vanderbeck Email Ad

Signature Lesla Vanderbeck Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Kalbe, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Britt Hornstein Email: [REDACTED]  
Signature: Britt Hornstein Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Bonita, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Patricia Everhart Email Address: [REDACTED]  
Signature: Patricia Everhart Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Solano County, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Sherril Ludwig Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Sherril Ludwig Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Kathryn Bend Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: Kathryn S. Bend Phone: [REDACTED]