

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	23-SB-100
<b>Project Title:</b>	SB 100 Joint Agency Report
<b>TN #:</b>	268788
<b>Document Title:</b>	Mary Fitzpatrick Comments - failures of 2025 SB 100 Joint Agency Report
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Mary Fitzpatrick
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	2/24/2026 12:55:10 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	2/24/2026

*Comment Received From: Mary Fitzpatrick  
Submitted On: 2/24/2026  
Docket Number: 23-SB-100*

## **failures of 2025 SB 100 Joint Agency Report**

I am very disappointed with the 2025 SB 100 Joint Agency Report. The report should include a separate analysis of each solution on its own including its 1) cost, 2) CO2-equivalent emissions impact, 3) all other environmental impacts including land and water use, and 4) health impacts (particularly in frontline communities within 5-mile radius of the all solution-related infrastructure) so that interested citizens like myself could make legitimate comparisons. On such a foundation, the Joint Agency report could then present rationales for mixed-use scenarios, including, but not limited to, those in the 2025 SB 100 Joint Agency Report.

The mixed-use scenarios included in the 2025 SB 100 Joint Agency Report lacked the following key elements:

- â€¢ The current role of and future potential of behind-the-meter resources, demand response, dynamic pricing, advanced geothermal, and more.
- â€¢ The drawbacks of carbon capture and sequestration and of hydrogen production and usage, especially the demands on surface and ground water resources.
- â€¢ The projected demand growth from data centers by 2045 and anticipated regulatory changes.
- â€¢ Specific plans and rationales related to gas plant retirements and replacement of the energy they provide.