

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	24-OPT-03
<b>Project Title:</b>	Soda Mountain Solar
<b>TN #:</b>	268781
<b>Document Title:</b>	Brian G. Hammer Sr Comments - Bad for California
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Brian G. Hammer Sr
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	2/23/2026 4:37:26 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	2/23/2026

*Comment Received From: Brian G. Hammer Sr*  
*Submitted On: 2/23/2026*  
*Docket Number: 24-OPT-03*

## **Bad for California**

This proposed site is a nightmare for California: Human, Animals, and wildlands alike. We are awash in Solar Energy and often CALISO must sell electricity to Arizona to take the energy ! Why then are we still building industrial solar sites? Tax benefits to the owners and builders. This is a factor in why electricity is so expensive in California. Did anyone mention that the wildlife crossing is adjacent to the proposed site? Desert plants sequester carbon in a big way. Disturb the soil and it starts releasing it again.

Hey, I thought the reason for solar is to sequester carbon?

Once disturbed desert soils will generate dust for decades. This will close the Interstate regularly. No amount of water will stop this dust. Is the State willing to do an Owens lake solution to this site.

Use the "No Project Alternative", the only way Californians benefit.

For your consideration.