

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	26-OPT-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Vaca Dixon Power Center Project
<b>TN #:</b>	268701
<b>Document Title:</b>	Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (25 total)
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Deanna Cole
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	2/18/2026 6:49:38 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	2/19/2026

*Comment Received From: Deanna Cole  
Submitted On: 2/18/2026  
Docket Number: 26-OPT-01*

**Signed Letters of Opposition (25 total)**

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*

Keep Vacaville Safe is a community advocacy group representing more than 1,500 local residents. While we support the advancement of energy storage technology, we firmly oppose the placement of high-capacity battery systems in areas where "thermal runaway" could jeopardize public safety or the environment.

Our organization has consistently voiced opposition to the **Corby Project (Docket 24-OPT-05)** due to its proximity to residential areas, working agricultural spaces, HWY 80 and proximity to a major hospital.

We hold the same safety concerns for **Vaca Dixon Power Center (26-OPT-01)**. Our position is reflected in a signed petition from local residents, which requests the denial of the Corby project and any other similar project in the area.

Please accept the attached letters as **formal public comments** in **opposition** to the Vaca Dixon Power Center (Docket 26-OPT-01).

Sincerely,

Dee Cole  
Keep Vacaville Safe

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Aristeo Medina Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Aristeo Medina Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Skylar Mason Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature:  Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Javi Hernandez Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.


**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Benton P. Cox Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: \_\_\_\_\_



**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.


**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Sandra G Stewart Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Sandra G Stewart Phone: \_\_\_\_\_



**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: **Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of SOLANO, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.


**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: BRIAN PASTOR Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature:  Phone: \_\_\_\_\_



**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.


**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.


**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Laura Vierra Email Address: 

Signature: Laura Vierra Phone: 

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Fairfield, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.


**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Patty Neza Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: 

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Fairfield, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.


**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Jeanine Nucor Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: \_\_\_\_\_



**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Lorendi Lopez Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: [Signature] Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.


**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.


**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Gabriel Cazares C. Email Address: 

Signature: Gabriel Cazares C. Phone: 

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Shellie Higuera Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Shellie Higuera Phone: 

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano county, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.


**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.


**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Jerry Bassler Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: 

**California Energy Commission**

**Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05**

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Fairfield, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.


**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: William D. Burns Email Add

Signature: William D. Burns Phone:



**California Energy Commission**

**Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05**

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of \_\_\_\_\_, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.


**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Jessica Callison Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: J Callison Phone: \_\_\_\_\_



**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.


**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Debra E. Lintao Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Debra E. Lintao Phone: \_\_\_\_\_



**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.


**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.


**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Jason Russell Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: 

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Kathy Moore Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: Kathy Moore Phone: [REDACTED]

**California Energy Commission**

**Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05**  
**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Suisun, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.


**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.


**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for the City of Vacaville or unincorporated Vacaville. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Rachel Gardner Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: 

California Energy Commission

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)


Dear Commissioners,


As a resident of VACAVILLE, CA, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: JOHN SERB Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: 

California Energy Commission  
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05  
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Yacaville I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Yacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center, raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Siting the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 50 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AG205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Yaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Elaine M. Santos Email Address: [REDACTED]

Signature: ELAINE M SANTAS Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission  
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05  
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Solano Co, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Khoi Hoang Email Ad

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone



California Energy Commission  
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05  
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of VACAVILLE, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-in" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name LuAnn Helmer Email Address

Signature LuAnn Helmer Phone

California Energy Commission  
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05  
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Vacaville, CA, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-in" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Dorothy Lambrecht Email Ad

Signature: Dorothy J Lambrecht Phone

**California Energy Commission**

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

**RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)**

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

**1. Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

**2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.

**3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

**4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

**5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit:** Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: George Bagley Email: \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: George Bagley Pho: \_\_\_\_\_

