

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	26-OPT-01
Project Title:	Vaca Dixon Power Center Project
TN #:	268692
Document Title:	Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (18 total)
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Deanna Cole
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	2/18/2026 5:41:21 PM
Docketed Date:	2/19/2026

*Comment Received From: Deanna Cole
Submitted On: 2/18/2026
Docket Number: 26-OPT-01*

Signed Letters of Opposition (18 total)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Danielle Cloud Email Address: _____
Signature Danielle Cloud Phone: _____


California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Kathy Saunders Wood Email Address: 

Signature: Kathy Saunders Wood Phone: 530-428-1111



California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Matthew Cole Email Address: 
Signature: Matthew Cole Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Plumas Lake, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Gianna Fleming Email Address: _____
Signature Gianna Fleming Phone: _____

California Energy Commission


RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, Ca. I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Jeff Jaekel Email Address: _____

Signature:  Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Robin Jaeckel Email Address: _____

Signature: Robin Jaeckel Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out-of-area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Denny Zambrano Email Address: [REDACTED]
Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of _____, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Marianne Boetj Email Ad

Signature: Marianne Boetj Phone: _____






California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Michael Afana Email Address: 
Signature:  Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Sandra von Muhlfeld Email Address: [REDACTED]
Signature: Sandra von Muhlfeld Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Ted Von Muhl Email Address: [REDACTED]
Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: [REDACTED]

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: R. Linda Young Email Address: _____
Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Phone: _____



California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of _____, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Michelle O'Leary Email Address: _____

Signature: Michelle O'Leary Phone: _____

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Rochele Martin Email Address: 
Signature: Rochele Martin Phone: 


California Energy Commission


RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Marcy Nielson Email Address: 

Signature:  Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer

Sincerely, Name: Olivia Ramirez Email Address: 
Signature: Olivia Ramirez Phone: 

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to **deny** this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should **deny** the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Brett Nielson Email

Signature Brett Nielson Phone

California Energy Commission

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Vaca Dixon Power Center Battery Energy Storage System Project (26-OPT-01)

Dear Commissioners, As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Vaca Dixon Power Center Project 26-OPT-01 (Vaca Dixon BESS LLC and Arges BESS LLC applicants) in Vacaville. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

1. **Unacceptable Public Safety Risks:** The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals and heavy metals.
2. **Agricultural & Environmental Impacts:** Building the facility on or near prime agricultural land would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and could negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals, residential and city well water located nearby. There are more appropriate locations for this project that Solano County has identified.
3. **Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes during construction and in the event of an emergency, which could have negative economic impact to the City of Vacaville and the regional corridor.
4. **Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. **Negligible Local Benefit** This project offers no community energy discounts, as power is sold to out of area providers. Additionally, it displaces land designated for a business park – effectively killing long-term growth and the high paying jobs our community needs.
6. **Haphazard Development and Zoning Violations** By exploiting the AB205 opt-in program, the applicant wishes to bypass local authority and ignore the zoning laws designed to protect our community. This results in haphazard industrial sprawl that forces projects into areas never intended for such use, undermining our local General Plan and permanently scarring the landscape.

The CEC should deny the **Vaca Dixon Power Center Project** permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Vacaville and Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely, Name: Matt Nielson Email Ad _____
Signature [Handwritten Signature] Phone: _____

