

Quantifying Risk to California's Energy Infrastructure from Projected Climate Change

Jayant A. Sathaye Larry L. Dale Peter H. Larsen Andre F. P. Lucena

DOCKET			
09-IEP-1P			
DATE	JUN 08 2009		
RECD.	JUN 12 2009		

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

8 June 2009 Sacramento, CA



Quantifying Risk to California's Energy Infrastructure from Projected Climate Change

- Background to study
 - PIER studies focus on climate risks to the general economy
 - State's energy infrastructure also directly at risk
 - Study has not formally begun.
 - Deliverables to include white paper this summer and report early next year
- This presentation
 - Overview of the methodology (Larry Dale)
 - Example of the methodology (Andre Lucena)
 - Damage metrics and data needs (Pete Larsen)



Methodology Overview

- 1. What's covered?
 - Types of climate events
 - Energy infrastructure at risk
 - Time period
- 2. How to identify infrastructure at risk?
 - GIS mapping of climate and infrastructure.
 - Previous studies of some risks (fire and ocean level)
- 3. How to determine damage to infrastructure?
 - Energy and utility expert interviews
 - Data collection, analysis
 - Review of past studies
- 4. How to summarize damages?
 - Costs, Discounting, and Uncertainty
 - Outages?/Energy Output Measures
 - Adaptation Assumptions?
- 5. Principle data and analysis gaps
 - Data gaps--location and severity of extreme wind and flood events
 - Assembling expert panel





Impacts: Methodology Examples

LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

5





GIS Crossing – Example: Wildfire



2085 Predicted Burned Areas (multiple of reference period) Source: Westerling et al. (2009)



BERKELEY LAB

GIS Crossing Example: Wildfire vs. Transmission Lines



LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

8

Impacts of Increased Wildfire Activity on Transmission and Distribution Lines



- Similar methodology to Westerling and Bryant (2008)
 - Analyzed property damages due to wildfire





Warmer Air and Water Impacts on Power Plant Efficiency and Capacity



- GIS crossing: power plants location vs. projected temperature variation
- Finding a representative relationship between Air/Water temperature and thermal power plants conversion efficiency and capacity:
 - Information from utilities
 - Types/models of turbines
 - Level of aggregation (more than 300 natural gas power plants)
- RESULTS:
 - Loss in efficiency lower electricity generation (MWh)
 - Loss in capacity lower installed generating capacity (MW)

Warmer Air and Water Impacts on Power Plant Efficiency and Capacity







76.0

Ambient Temperature, deg F Figure 8. Output vs. ambient temperature—dry-cooled plants

85.0

85.0

96.0

105.0

115.0

AWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

360.00

325.000

35.0

45.0

66.0



Sea Level Rise Impacts on Coastal Power Plants

- 30 Power Plants totaling over 10,000 MW vulnerable to a 100-year coastal flood with a 1.4 meter sea level rise.
- In some cases whole piece of infrastructure is at risk, whereas in other cases, only portions of structure are at risk (e.g., intake or other peripheral structures are exposed to flood risk).
- Information gathering:
 - What are the consequences (and costs) to each specific power plant that might be impacted?
 - What is the expected useful life span of each specific power plant?
 - Are there adaptation measures being taken (or proposed) to prevent (or reduce) damages from projected flooding? At what costs?







Misc. Thoughts on Damage Metrics and Data Needs

Useful Metrics to Evaluate Second-Order Climate Risk to Energy Infrastructure



- I. Overlaid GIS Visualizations
 - LBNL deliverable for this project.
- II. Direct Risk to Energy *Capacity* (MW or universal measure) or Energy *Output* (MWh or universal measure)
 - LBNL deliverable for this project.
- III. Direct Risk to Infrastructure Operational and Capital Costs
 - LBNL deliverable for this project? (pending data and other constraints)
- IV. Indirect Risk to Other Economic Activity (e.g., Outages?)
 - Interesting future research topic?

EXAMPLE: Financial Risk to Physical Capital (i.e. Lifecycle Cost Method)



Consider Catastrophic Sea-level Rise/Storm Surge Scenario for Vulnerable Infrastructure

Step 1: Estimate Baseline Present Value Replacement Costs
BCRC =
$$\sum_{j=1}^{5,000} \sum_{i=2010}^{2050} \left(\frac{\Theta_{ij}}{(1+r)^{i-2010}} \right)$$
 where $\Theta_{ij} = \frac{BASERC_{ij}}{BASELIFE_{ij}}$

Step 2: Estimate Climate-Related Present Value Replacement Costs

$$ADJRC = \sum_{j=1}^{5,000} \sum_{i=2010}^{2050} \left(\frac{\Delta_{ij}}{(1+r)^{i-2010}} \right)$$
 where $\Delta_{ij} = \frac{BASERC_{ij}}{ADJLIFE_{ij}}$

Step 3: Determine Infrastructure Capital at Risk (no adaptation assumed) AIC = ADJRC-BCRC

Step 4: Assume Some Level of Structural Adaptation?

Step 5: Conduct Scenario/Monte-Carlo Simulations Varying the Inputs

Estimation Caveats and Other Important Considerations



- I. Scaling and Aggregation Issues
 - A. Structure-by-structure?
 - B. County or regional aggregation?
 - C. Structure class (e.g., natural gas pipelines, power plant, etc.)?
- II. Uncertainty and Discounting Future Economic Risk
 - A. Communicating coupled modeling statistical uncertainty...
 - B. "Structural" uncertainty of impacts outweighs influence of discount rate choice (see Weitzman 2008).
 - C. Discount rate choice is still very critical in determining present value of climate impacts.
- III. Modeling Assumptions about Adaptation (see Perez 2009)
 - A. Energy Efficiency Standards (e.g., reducing water consumption)
 - B. Siting, building codes, and relicensing
 - C. Energy management and planning (e.g., optimally managing reservoirs)
- IV. Period of Analysis
 - A. Weak impacts signals in first few decades
 - B. Impacts signals become exponentially (or non-linear) stronger further out
 - C. Greater perceived risk influences forward-thinking adaptation decisions in earlier years

AK EXAMPLE: Modeling Infrastructure Lifespans (with adaptation)





Example Adaptation Scenario:

The Alaska model was programmed to rebuild/relocate structure at X% greater cost than average at point in time when Y% of structure's value is negatively impacted by climate change.

AK EXAMPLE: Communicating Multiple Forms of Model Input Uncertainty







General Information Needs

- I. Climate and Impact Variables
- II. Energy Infrastructure Variables
- III. Dispatch/Power Simulation Modeling Output?
- IV. Constructive Feedback from Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)



Climate and Impact Variable Needs

Variable	<u>Units</u>	<u>Timescale</u>	Spatial Resolution
Monthly Ambient Temperature (high, low and average)	F or C	Current (AOGCM baseline)	1/8 of Degree
	F or C	Historical data	1/8 of Degree
	F or C	Projected (2050)	1/8 of Degree
Monthly Coastal Water Temperature (high, low and average)	F or C	Current (AOGCM baseline)	1/8 of Degree
	F or C	Historical data	1/8 of Degree
	F or C	Projected (2050)	1/8 of Degree
Monthly Freshwater Temperature (high, low and average)	F or C	Current (AOGCM baseline)	1/8 of Degree
	F or C	Historical data	1/8 of Degree
	F or C	Projected (2050)	1/8 of Degree
Wildfire Risk / Wildfire occurence	lat/lon	Current (AOGCM baseline)	1/8 of Degree
	lat/lon	Historical data	1/8 of Degree
	lat/lon	Projected (2050)	1/8 of Degree
Wind Velocities (high, low and average)	m/s	Current (AOGCM baseline)	1/8 of Degree
	m/s	Historical data	1/8 of Degree
	m/s	Projected (2050)	1/8 of Degree
Local Sea-level (high, low and average)	lat/lon	Current (AOGCM baseline)	Lat/Lon (continuous)
	lat/lon	Historical data	Lat/Lon (continuous)
	lat/lon	Projected (2050)	Lat/Lon (continuous)
Monthly maximum storm surge level	lat/lon	Current	Lat/Lon (continuous)
	lat/lon	Historical data	Lat/Lon (continuous)
	lat/lon	Projected (2050)	Lat/Lon (continuous)

Source: Sathaye et al (2009)



Energy Infrastructure Information Needs

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Timescale</u>	Spatial Resolution
Power Generator Location, Type, and Basic Engineering	varies	Current	Lat/Lon (point)
Historical Production of electricity / power plant	energy	Historical Time series	power plant
Historical Fuel consumption / power plant	energy	Historical Time series	power plant
Quantitative relationship between air temperature and efficiency in each pow			
Quantitative relationship between air temperature and capacity in each powe			
Quantitative relationship between cooling water temperature and efficiency in			
Quantitative relationship between cooling water temperature and capacity in			
Average Annual Maintenance Costs (aggregated by plant type?)	Dollars	Current	power plant
Power Plant Replacement Cost (aggregated by plant type?)	Dollars	Current	power plant
Powerplant age and useful lifespan	Years	Current	power plant
Transmission Line Location Tune, and Pasia Engineering	Vorioo	Current	Lat/Lan (continuous)
Transmission Line Location, Type, and Basic Engineering	varies %		Lat/Lon (continuous)
Heat dissipation (loss) due to condusctor's resistance Material's temperature coeficient of resistivity		historical average constant	system
Impacts of Fire on transmission lines	Ω.m/K ?	constant	system Lat/Long (ontinuous)
Average Annual Maintenance Costs (aggregated by line type?)	ہ Dollars	Current	transmission line
Line Replacement Cost (aggregated by line type?)	Dollars	Current	transmission line
Trans. line age and useful lifespan	Years	Current	transmission line
Tans. The age and userul mespan	Tears	Gunent	
Distribution Line Location, Type, and Basic Engineering	varies	Current	Lat/Lon (continuous)
Impacts of Fire on distribution lines	?		Lat/Long (ontinuous)
Average Annual Maintenance Costs (aggregated by line type?)	Dollars	Current	distribution line
Line Replacement Cost (aggregated by line type?)	Dollars	Current	distribution line
Dist. line age and useful lifespan	Years	Current	distribution line
Pipeline Location, Type, and Basic Engineering	varies	Current	Lat/Lon (continuous)
Average Annual Maintenance Costs (aggregated by line type?)	Dollars	Current	pipeline
Line Replacement Cost (aggregated by line type?)	Dollars	Current	pipeline
Pipeline age and useful lifespan	Years	Current	pipeline
Fuel Storage Location, Type, and Basic Engineering	varies	Current	Lat/Lon (point)
Average Annual Maintenance Costs (aggregated by storage type?)	Dollars	Current	storage facility
Facility Replacement Cost (aggregated by storage type?)	Dollars	Current	storage facility
	Years	Current	storage facility

Source: Sathaye et al (2009)





- III. Dispatch/Power Simulation Modeling Output?
 - Would the CEC be able to provide power dispatch modeling output, if given agreed upon vulnerability scenarios?
- IV. Constructive Feedback from Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)
 - What is the most effective way to consolidate information from utility planners and engineers in order to determine the vulnerability of specific (or classes of) energy infrastructure?





- Westerling, A. L., Bryant, B. P., 2008. Climate Change and Wildfire in California. *Climatic Change* (2008) 87 (Suppl 1): s231-s249
- Tolmasquim, M.T., Szklo, A.S., Soares, J.B., 2003. *Mercado de Gás natural na Indústria Química e no Setor Hospitalar Brasileiro* Edições CENERGIA, Rio de Janeiro, 2003.
- Maulbetsch, J.S., DiFilippo, M.N., 2006. Cost and Value of Water Use at Combined-Cycle Power Plants. CEC-500-2006-034
- Perez, P., 2009. Potential Impacts of Climate Change on California's Energy Infrastructure and Identification of Adaptation Measures. CEC-150-2009-001
- Larsen P., O.S. Goldsmith, O. Smith, M. Wilson, K. Strzepek, P. Chinowsky, and B. Saylor. 2008. Estimating the Future Costs of Alaska Public Infrastructure at Risk to Climate Change. Global Environmental Change, Elsevier Press: East Anglia.
- Weitzman, M., 2008. On modeling and interpreting the economics of catastrophic climate change. Department Working Paper, Harvard University Economics, February.