

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	25-OIR-02
Project Title:	Energy Data Collection - Phase 3 Gas Data
TN #:	267979
Document Title:	Form 399 Gas Data Rulemaking
Description:	Form 399 Gas Data Rulemaking
Filer:	Ryan Eggers
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	12/19/2025 10:24:22 AM
Docketed Date:	12/19/2025

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME California Energy Commission	CONTACT PERSON Kyle Harris	EMAIL ADDRESS kyle.harris@energy.ca.gov	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-232-8862
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Phase 3 - Gas Data			NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z

A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> a. Impacts business and/or employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> e. Imposes reporting requirements |
| <input type="checkbox"/> b. Impacts small businesses | <input type="checkbox"/> f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> c. Impacts jobs or occupations | <input type="checkbox"/> g. Impacts individuals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> d. Impacts California competitiveness | <input type="checkbox"/> h. None of the above (Explain below): |

If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.

If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.

2. The California Energy Commission estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:
(Agency/Department)

- ☐ Below \$10 million
- ☒ Between \$10 and \$25 million
- ☐ Between \$25 and \$50 million
- ☐ Over \$50 million *[If the economic impact is over \$50 million, agencies are required to submit a [Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment](#) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]*

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: 464

Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Utilities, natural gas marketers, hydrogen producers, and R.N.Gas producers.

Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 0

4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: 0 eliminated: 0

Explain: Proposed regulations implement statutorily required data reporting resulting in minor economic impacts.

5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: ☒ Statewide
☐ Local or regional (List areas): _____

6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0 and eliminated: 0

Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: CEC estimates that no jobs will be impacted as the proposed regulation only implements statutorily required data reporting resulting in minor economic impacts.

7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? ☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, explain briefly: _____

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 14.6m FY1; 14.6 PA
- | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|
| a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ <u>N/A</u> | Annual ongoing costs: \$ <u>N/A</u> | Years: <u>N/A</u> |
| b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ <u>31,412</u> | Annual ongoing costs: \$ <u>31,412</u> | Years: <u>ongoing</u> |
| c. Initial costs for an individual: \$ <u>N/A</u> | Annual ongoing costs: \$ <u>N/A</u> | Years: <u>N/A</u> |
| d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: <u>None.</u> | | |

2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Multiple industries will be impacted. Costs should remain relatively similar between large companies. However, dairies join collectives or joint production agreements in part to share costs.

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$ 31,412

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? ☐ YES ☒ NO
If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ _____
Number of units: _____

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? ☐ YES ☒ NO

Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: The proposed regulations provide the CEC with information that is not available from Federal sources.

Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ None.

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS *Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*

1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: e proposed regulations will benefit the CEC by improving the breadth of data the CEC can use for its analysis to reduce error in modeling and analytical work and provide a better understanding of key energy supply and consumption trends.

2. Are the benefits the result of: ☐ specific statutory requirements, or ☒ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?

Explain: PRC Div.15 gives CEC broad statut. author. to collect energy-related data for analysis, forecasting, & policy develop.

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 0 (see Attachment pg. 39)

4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: The proposed regulations will not result in the expansion of businesses currently doing business in California because the proposed regulations only implement statutorily required data reporting resulting in minor economic impacts.

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.*

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: The CEC considered two alternatives: Under alternative one, the CEC considered not updating the data regulations. Under alternative two, the CEC considered estimating energy information and creating simulated data to perform policy analysis and forecasting. Neither met CEC needs.

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

Regulation: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 14,575,168
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 0 Cost: \$ 0

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: None.

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? ☐ YES ☒ NO

Explain: Regulations are data collection in nature only and are needed to meet statutory requirements.

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS *Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.*

California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises **exceed \$10 million**? ☐ YES ☐ NO

***If YES, complete E2. and E3
If NO, skip to E4***

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

Alternative 1: _____

Alternative 2: _____

(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

Regulation: Total Cost \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

Alternative 1: Total Cost \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

Alternative 2: Total Cost \$ _____ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ _____

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?

☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, agencies are required to submit a [Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment \(SRIA\)](#) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

5. Briefly describe the following:

The increase or decrease of investment in the State: Investment in California would remain the same as the reporting requirements only capture information that is being produced in the course of current business activities.

The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: The nature of the regulation is focused on collection and dissemination of prescriptive information; the potential for innovation is limited.

The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The proposed regulation will improve the breadth of data used by CEC to inform policies and forecasts.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

- ☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ _____

- ☐ a. Funding provided in _____

Budget Act of _____ or Chapter _____, Statutes of _____

- ☐ b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of _____

Fiscal Year: _____

- ☐ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

\$ _____

Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:

- ☐ a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in _____

- ☐ b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the _____ Court.

Case of: _____ vs. _____

- ☐ c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. _____

Date of Election: _____

- ☐ d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).

Local entity(s) affected: _____

- ☐ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from: _____

Authorized by Section: _____ of the _____ Code;

- ☐ f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;

- ☐ g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in _____

- ☐ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)

\$ _____

- ☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

- ☒ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

- ☐ 6. Other. Explain _____

**ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)**

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

Print Form

Reset Form

Instructions and Code Citations:

[SAM Section 6601-6616](#)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ _____

It is anticipated that State agencies will:

☐ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

☐ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the _____ Fiscal Year

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ _____

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

☒ 4. Other. Explain The annual cost to the CEC once the proposed regulation is implemented will be \$36,480.

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS *Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.*

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ _____

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

\$ _____

☒ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other. Explain _____

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE



DATE

12/3/2025

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

AGENCY SECRETARY

 Bryan Cash

DATE

12/9/2025

Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER



DATE