DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05	
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project	
TN #:	267639	
Document Title:	Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (3 total)	
Description:	N/A	
Filer:	System	
Organization:	Deanna Cole	
Submitter Role:	Public	
Submission Date:	11/19/2025 1:43:37 PM	
Docketed Date:	11/19/2025	

Comment Received From: Deanna Cole

Submitted On: 11/19/2025 Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Signed Letters of Opposition (3 total)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

	California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
	California Energy Commission System Project Docket Number Project (24-01)
	Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Energy Storage Systems
	RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery
	Dear Commissioners, I am writing to express my strong opposition to express my strong opposition to deny
	Dear Commissioners,
	l am writing to Vacaville, on land use to deny
	As a resident of Storage System Project in California Energy Collins
	As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to deny the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned to the project based on the following concerns:
	by Corby Energy Storage LLC. Tantonic Concerns:
	according to residential areas and fires, explosions, dangerous
	this project based on the following concerns: 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility of the facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a suppose the facility of the f
	1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, 1. Public Safety Risks: Th
	release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extend release from lithium-ion from lithium-ion from lithium-ion from lithium-ion from fires
	2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land and negatively affect nearby County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well
	2 Agricultural & Favicamental Impacts: Building the resource and negatively and residential Well
	County would require in the permanent loss of a valuable from fires to irrigation canals and
	forming energian. There is a risk of commitment
	water leasted machy
	water located nearby. 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
	3. Transportation Impacts: The project location riche event of an emergency, while
	closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the
	A fire at the proposed facility may heavily stress Additionally,
	4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local water resources. Additionally, and post-fire
	4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local.
	COSIS IIIAY DE PASSEO IO IMPEN
	environmental remediation.
	5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In"
	5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has all active metabolic
	battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the Abbook battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out program is intended to circumvent local control.
	program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered intended in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered intended in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered intended in program is intended in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered intended in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered intended in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered intended in program is intended in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered in program is intended in program in program is intended in program in program is intended in program in pr
	or area energy provider, eminimum of the source commit despite its
	The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its
	The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite the CEC should deny the CEC should
	proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation of the vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other decisions of the vaca-Dixon Substation of the vaca-Dixon Subst
	on BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public satisfying the solano County farmland, potential transportation agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation
agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmatic, potential and environmental impacts on pri	
-	isruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benchmark, and ttempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and ttempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and
1	gricultural heritage of Solano County, along was respectively any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
(utweigh any financial incentives of cost saving

Email Addre

Phone:

Sincerely,

Name: Kathy K. Link Signature: Kathy K. Link

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)	
Dear Commissioners,	
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:	
 Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. 	
 Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. 	
 Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 	
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.	
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.	
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for the City of Vacaville or unincorported Vacaville. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.	
Sincerely,	
Name: CYNTHIA ROTTEVEEL Email Addr. Signature: C. Ro House Phone:	

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) Dear Commissioners. , I am writing to express my strong opposition to As a resident of Solano the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

, ()	
Name: Lisa Verden	
Name: LISA VETUGE	Email Add
() ()	
Signature Lisa Veder	
Signature: / MC MC M	_Phone: