| DOCKETED | |
|------------------|--|
| Docket Number: | 24-OPT-05 |
| Project Title: | Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project |
| TN #: | 267189 |
| Document Title: | Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (21 total) |
| Description: | N/A |
| Filer: | System |
| Organization: | Deanna Cole |
| Submitter Role: | Public |
| Submission Date: | 11/12/2025 11:34:39 PM |
| Docketed Date: | 11/13/2025 |

Comment Received From: Deanna Cole

Submitted On: 11/12/2025 Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Signed Letters of Opposition (21 total)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

| lifornia Energy Commission iject Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 : Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|--|
| ar Commissioners, a resident of SOLAND COUNTY, I am writing to express my strong opposition to proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny project based on the following concerns: |
| pject Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 : Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) ar Commissioners, a resident of |

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

| Name: ANIEL TERDUE | Email Add |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Signature: | Phone: |

| California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|--|
| Dear Commissioners, |
| As a resident of |
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its |

proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

| Name: Ricky - Dean House of Hurten Email Addres | } |
|---|--------------|
| Signature: Role Winton Phone: | on. |

| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
|--|
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| Name:Email Address SignaturePhone: |

this project based on the following concerns:

Dear Commissioners.

As a resident of

chemicals.

water located nearby.

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

1 (Solavo Coen

the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny

1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous

2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby

farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well

, I am writing to express my strong opposition to

| Dear Commissioners, |
|--|
| As a resident of \(\frac{\psi C \mathcal{Q} \left(\mathcal{L} \) \(\text{L} \) |
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| v · |
| Name: Keith W. Young Email Address |
| Signature: Phone: |

California Energy Commission
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

California Energy Commission
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

| D | Comm | . 0 .00 | |
|---|------|-------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |

As a resident of Vacatile, CA, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

| Name: alecia Marie Driver | _ Email Ad |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Signature: | Phone |

| California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|--|
| Dear Commissioners, |
| As a resident of Vacaville Solano Co., I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: |
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| |
| Name: <u>QT Freytag</u> Email Add Signature: <u>QT Inaylag</u> Phone: |
| Signature: Troylog Phone: |

,

| by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: |
|--|
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| |
| |

Terry Lawrence Juster

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

As a resident of ________, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned

California Energy Commission

Dear Commissioners,

| chemicals. |
|--|
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| Name:Andy RamireZEmail Addre |

Phone:

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny

1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous

Solano County, I am writing to express my strong opposition to

California Energy Commission

this project based on the following concerns:

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of

| | Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Dear Commissioners, | SOLANO |
| As a resident of FAIR FIELD | County, I am writing to express my strong opposition to |
| the proposed siting of the Corby E | nergy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned |
| | m respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny |

this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

| Name: ALAN Michael Scott | _ Email Ac |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| Signature: The hard Sul | |
| Signature // / / / / / / | Phone Phone |

| California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|--|
| As a resident of |
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| Name: KATHERINE MUREAY Email Address: Signature: Phone |
| Signature: Phone |

| California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|--|
| Dear Commissioners, |
| As a resident of Dixon A, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: |
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation impacts: The project location near interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| |
| Name: Jeanne LaPoi te Email. |
| OP. L |

| 1 | Dear Commissioners, As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owner by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: |
|---|--|
| 1 | 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fum release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 1 | 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 1 | 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| (| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| - | 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| | The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| i | Sincerely, |
| | Name: / ENNIFER Harn3 Email Address |

| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation. |
|--|
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| Name: Eleanor Garcia Email Add Signature: Phone: |
| |

As a resident of Faufula

this project based on the following concerns:

economic impact to the regional corridor.

Dear Commissioners.

chemicals.

water located nearby.

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

the proposed siting of the Gorby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to denv

1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous

2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby

farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well

3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have

, I am writing to express my strong opposition to

this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely.

| Name: Zachafy Hopton | Email Add |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Signature: yahylas | Phone: |

| California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|--|
| Dear Commissioners, |
| As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: |
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| |
| Gilbert A. Moreno |
| Name: Gilbert A. Moreno Email Addre |
| Signature:Phone: _ |

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners.

As a resident of 336 MORNO Dave VA COVIDE, CA, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- **4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: LOUVEN A. MOVENO Email Ad
Signature: Phone

| • | farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
|---|--|
| | 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| | 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 9 | 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| | The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| | Sincerely, |
| | Name:Email Addi Signature:Phone: |

this project based on the following concerns:

Dear Commissioners.

chemicals.

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Norm Village, vacaville

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to denv

1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous

2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners.

As a resident of ________, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- **3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- **4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- **5.** Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

| Name: ROGER DRIVER | Email Adc |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Signature: Kogn Amur | Phone: |

California Energy Commission
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- **3. Transportation Impacts:** The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- **4. Strain on Community Resources:** A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
- **5**. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

| Name: Jacqueline MD Hiver Email Addre |
|---------------------------------------|
| Signature De Calle Lewin Druck Phone: |
| hadre |

| California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) |
|--|
| Dear Commissioners, |
| As a resident of VACHULE, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: |
| 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. |
| 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. |
| 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. |
| 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation. |
| 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. |
| The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. |
| Sincerely, |
| |
| Name: ROSIE NOGUERA Email Ac |
| Name: ROSIE NOGUERA Email Ac Signature Rosie Regues Phone |

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) Dear Commissioners. As a resident of VacaVille, As a maximum to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation. 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. Sincerely,

Name: Thava Dyer Email Ada
Signature: Phone