DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	266865
Document Title:	Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (15 total)
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Deanna Cole
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/27/2025 4:21:34 PM
Docketed Date:	10/27/2025

Comment Received From: Deanna Cole

Submitted On: 10/27/2025 Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Signed Letters of Opposition (15 total)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)	
Dear Commissioners,	
As a resident of Vacaville, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:	
A D. L. C. C. C. Distant The facility is provingly to regidential group and nearby Kaiser Permanente a	
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.	
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.	11
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.	
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.	
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.	
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.	
Sincerely,	`
Name: Amy McFlwain Email Address;	
Tribilly liddless.	

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) Dear Commissioners. As a resident of VACAVILLE, SOLAND COUNTY, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic furne release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local

4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Sciano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: MICHAEL BISEK	Email Add
Signature: Millel Bish	Phone:

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) Dear Commissioners. As a resident of VACAVILLE, CA., I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation. 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. Sincerely.

Name:	LOBEL MELWAINEmail Address:
Signature:	All Millin Phone:

the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano
 County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby
 farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well
 water located nearby.
- Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outwelgh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Jenna Per Partition	Email A
signature purp Padella	Phon

California Energy Commission

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of 3 9 STONE ASTLE WAY, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level If trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well-water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation impacts: The project location near interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5 Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer:

ALCEN TUPY	
Name:	_ Émail Adc
Signature:	Phone:
	Assemble (ALVA)

California Energy Commission
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners.

- Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanents, a level ill trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation roctes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional comidor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Centrel and Community Benefit: Solaro County has an active moretorium on bottery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-in" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should dany the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion RESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, regligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweight any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Carmen	Kaneshirs	Email Addre
signature de de	(auction	Phone

Project Title, Corby Battery Emergy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24 OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) Dear Covertissianers As a resident of UACIAU CLC . Late writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vaccavilia, on land currently gained by Curby Energy Storage LLC. Lam respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following poncerna: 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level 8 trauma center raises public safety concerns about the angact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from Phium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chamica's. 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Sciano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby familing operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential wellwater located nieleby. Transportation impacts: The project location near intensists 80 poses is significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local: emergency services, and may place unauthsinable demands on local swater resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to texpayors for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fine environmental remediation. 5 Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Sciano County has an active moratorium on buttery energy storage projects while developing requisitions. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-in". program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entened into a PPA with an out. of area energy provider, eliminating energy discourts for the local residents and only adding risks. The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and larry other additional lithiumon 88'55 proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse aprioritizat and environmental impacts on prime Scienc County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain of community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's ettempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must curwigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the devoloper. Sincerely. Nome SOUN FUERETT Emakasera Square 215254 Phone

Dear Commissioners,	
As a resident of Vacarello CA , I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:	
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.	
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.	
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.	
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.	
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.	
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.	
Sincerely,	
Name: CAROL LYNN BOURGERIE Ema	

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

California Energy Commission

Signature: Carof & Bourgers

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05) Dear Commissioners. As a resident of Solano County, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals. 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby. 3. Transportation impacts: The project location near interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation. 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. Sincerely,

Catifornia Energy Commission

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners.

As a resident of 418 Stone castle. Water. I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano
 County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby
 farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well
 water located nearby.
- Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-in" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

mail Addr
Phone:

California Energy Commission

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of VACAVIVE, C. I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano
 County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby
 farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well
 water located nearby.
- Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely.

Signature Know Harder the Phone:	Name: Karen Hardcastle	Email Addr
Chighten H. C. M. L. C.	signature from Hardcartle	Phone:

California Energy Commission

Project Title Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number 24 OPT 05

RE Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24 OPT 05)

Dear Commissioners	IN .	1
As a resident of MAPLE WooD	VACAVI	Wam writing to express my strong opposition to
the proposed siting of the Corby Ener	rgy Storage	System Project in Vacaville on land currently owned
by Corby Energy Storage LLC 1 am r	espectfully a	asking the California Energy Commission to deny
this project based on the following of	concerns	

- 1 Public Safety Risks The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires explosions or toxic fume release from lithium ion battery fires which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals
- 2 Agricultural & Environmental Impacts Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3 Transportation Impacts The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency which could have economic impact to the regional corridor
- 4 Strain on Community Resources A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment emergency training and post fire environmental remediation.
- 5 Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's Opt in program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit despite its proximity to the Vaca Dixon Substation Please deny this project and any other additional lithium ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland potential transportation disruption a strain on community resources negligible community benefits and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health safety environment and agricultural heritage of Solano County along with respecting local and community voices must outweigh any financial incentives or cost saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely	entives or cost saving meas	ures for the C	levelopei (
•				
Name Paul	Chaken	Email Addre		
Signature PAUL	AVAKIAN	Phone _		

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-95) As a resident of 551 Stone wood DR I am writing to express my strong opposition to Dear Commissioners the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns: 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kalser Permanente, a level il trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous. chemicals. 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Siciano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well? water located nearby. 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor. 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation. 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-in" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks. The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional Ethiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer. Sincerely, Michelle & Lonnie Willi Email Addre

California Energy Commission
Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of VACAVILLE. I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny

this project based on the following concerns:

 Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano
County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby
farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well
water located nearby.

Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.

5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumson BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse surjourned and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community volces, must become surjourned incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Separative Phone

this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume refease from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
 Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
 Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Name: 1.5, Martin Email Addres
Signature: Phone:

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny

____, I am writing to express my strong opposition to

California Energy Commission

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Vacan I