DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	266626
Document Title:	Deanna Cole Comments - Signed Letters of Opposition (27 total)
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Deanna Cole
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/16/2025 11:58:51 AM
Docketed Date:	10/16/2025

Comment Received From: Deanna Cole

Submitted On: 10/16/2025 Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

## **Signed Letters of Opposition (27 total)**

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation impacts: The project location near interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for the City of Vacaville or unincorported Vacaville. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

Name: Email A

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_Phone

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05
RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for the City of Vacaville or unincorported Vacaville. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely.

Name: Michael Teven Email A

\_\_\_\_\_Pho

2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.  3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.  4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205s "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. John Substation County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,		se from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous icals.
closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.  4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,	oun rmi	ty would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby ng operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well
emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,	osu	res and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have
battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,  Email Ad	ner sts	gency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire
proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,  Email Ad	ittei oar	y energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" am is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out
Name: Mike Telisa Email Ad	oxir n B rricu srup tem rricu	mity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium- ESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse ultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation otion, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's upt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and ultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must
	nce	rely,
Signature Phone	am	e: Mike Telisa Email Ad
	gna	Phone

As a resident of VACAVINC

this project based on the following concerns:

Dear Commissioners.

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

As a resident of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny

1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume

Dear Commissioners,  As a resident of Nacaulie, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:  1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.  2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.  3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.  4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AE206's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Subst	California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:  1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.  2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.  3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.  4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-ion BESS proposed for Solano C	Dear Commissioners,
level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic furne release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.  2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.  3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.  4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County fammand, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environm	the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny
County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.  3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.  4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.	level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous
closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.  4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,	County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well
emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.  5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,	closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have
battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.  The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,	emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire
proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,	battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out
	proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must
Name: Candace Clemmons Email Addr	Sincerely,
Name: Candace Clemmons Email Addr	
	Name: Andare (Jemmons Email Addr

Signature: Cardaal Clemmow Phone:

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of ACA , I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Name: D, B C/EMMONE Email Address: NA
Signature: Phone: A/A

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,

Name: Sterry Woodcock Email Add
Signature: Sherry Woodcock Phone:

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Name: Mike Wood Wock Email Add
Signature:Phone:

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Name: 1 HIMAS RELLY Email Addre

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_Phone:

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of \ \ \alpha \ \alpha \ \ \alpha \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
As a resident of \\\ \( \lambda \) \\\\ \( \lambda \) \\\\ \( \lambda \) \\\\ \( \lambda \) \\\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \
the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned
by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny
this project based on the following concerns:

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

A = 0	
Name: Jour Derer	_ Email Ac
- Ward	
Signature:	Phone

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,

Name: MATT PAGAN Email Ad
Signature: Phone

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of \( 1 \left( \left( \cdot \) \left( \left( \cdot \) \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.  Sincerely,

Name: MAUXI Telisa Email Ada
Signature: Phone

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Names of Old Level Employed

Phone:

Signature:

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-0P 1-05)		
	Dear Commissioners,	
	As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:	
	1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.	
	2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.	
	3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.	
	4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.	
	5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.	
	The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-	

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

California Energy Commission

Name: Fabian Wune7 Email Addr Signature: Phone:

ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must

outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)				
Dear Commissioners,				
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:				
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.				
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.				
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.				
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.				
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.				
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.				
Sincerely,				
Name:				
Signature:Phone: _				

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)				
Dear Commissioners,				
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to				
the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned				
by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny				

this project based on the following concerns:

1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.

- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

1 A Harris	
Name: Email Ac	
Signature:Phone	

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)		
ear Commissioners,		
s a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to be proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned y Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny his project based on the following concerns:		
Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a vel II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume elease from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous nemicals.	ı	
Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano ounty would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby rming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well ater located nearby.		
Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for osures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have conomic impact to the regional corridor.		
Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local mergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, osts may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.		
Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on attery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" togram is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.		
ne CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its roximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-in BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse gricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation sruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's tempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and pricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must		

outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Maria Medina \_\_\_\_\_ Email Ad Signature: Maria Medina \_\_\_\_\_ Phone

Sincerely,

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

California Energy Commission

- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Marifel Adm	Email /	
(1) 10.		
Signature: MM / Mm	Pho	

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby

3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.

farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well

- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Sincerely,

water located nearby.

Name: Knistin Johnson	Email Ad
Signature:	Phone

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)		
Dear Commissioners,		
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:		
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.		
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.		
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.		
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post-fire environmental remediation.		
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.		
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.		
Sincerely,		
Name: Minish Mthr Email Ac		
Name: Miss Mthr Email Ac Signature: Phone		

L

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire

environmental remediation.

5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In"

program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out

of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation

ion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Bridget Stephens	_ Email A	
Signature:	Phon	

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation, Please deny this project and any other additional lithium-

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Alix Pate	Email Addre
Signature:	Phone:

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)	
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:	
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.	
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.	
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.	
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.	
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.	

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Martinz En	nail Ad
In Mila that	Phone

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

Dear Commissioners,

- 1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
- 2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
- 3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
- 4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
- 5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: Ashlee Phelps	Email Add
Signature:	Phone:

farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Name: Curtis Harris Email Addi
Signature: Phone:

California Energy Commission

this project based on the following concerns:

Dear Commissioners,

chemicals.

Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)

As a resident of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny

1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous

2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Name: David Hacris Fmail

Pho

Signature: Quel Hom

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of, I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Corby Energy Storage System Project in Vacaville, on land currently owned by Corby Energy Storage LLC. I am respectfully asking the California Energy Commission to deny this project based on the following concerns:
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In"

battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.

The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.

Name: KEILANI GUERRA	Email Add
Signature: Milay Suerza	Phone:

California Energy Commission Project Title: Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project Docket Number: 24-OPT-05 RE: Opposition to the Proposed Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project (24-OPT-05)
Dear Commissioners,
As a resident of
1. Public Safety Risks: The facility's proximity to residential areas and nearby Kaiser Permanente, a level II trauma center raises public safety concerns about the impact of fires, explosions, or toxic fume release from lithium-ion battery fires, which are difficult to extinguish and can release dangerous chemicals.
2. Agricultural & Environmental Impacts: Building the facility on prime agricultural land in Solano County would result in the permanent loss of a valuable resource and negatively affect nearby farming operations. There is a risk of contamination from fires to irrigation canals and residential well water located nearby.
3. Transportation Impacts: The project location near Interstate 80 poses a significant risk for closures and disruptions to transportation routes in the event of an emergency, which could have economic impact to the regional corridor.
4. Strain on Community Resources: A fire at the proposed facility may heavily strain local emergency services, and may place unsustainable demands on local water resources. Additionally, costs may be passed to taxpayers for special equipment, emergency training, and post- fire environmental remediation.
5. Lack of Local Control and Community Benefit: Solano County has an active moratorium on battery energy storage projects while developing regulations. The project's use of the AB205's "Opt-In" program is intended to circumvent local control. Additionally, NextEra has entered into a PPA with an out of area energy provider, eliminating energy discounts for the local residents and only adding risks.
The CEC should deny the Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project permit, despite its proximity to the Vaca-Dixon Substation. Please deny this project and any other additional lithiumion BESS proposed for Solano County. This is due to significant public safety risks, adverse agricultural and environmental impacts on prime Solano County farmland, potential transportation disruption, a strain on community resources, negligible community benefits, and the developer's attempt to circumvent local government oversight. Prioritizing the health, safety, environment, and agricultural heritage of Solano County, along with respecting local and community voices, must outweigh any financial incentives or cost-saving measures for the developer.
Sincerely,
Name: Email Addre
Signature: Phone: