

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	25-BUSMTG-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	2025 Business Meeting Agendas, Transcripts, and Public Comments
<b>TN #:</b>	265835
<b>Document Title:</b>	Orders and Resolutions of the August 29 2025 Business Meeting
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	Kim Todd
<b>Organization:</b>	California Energy Commission
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Commission Staff
<b>Submission Date:</b>	8/29/2025 4:53:47 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	8/29/2025

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**STATE ENERGY RESOURCES**  
**CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**

**RESOLUTION TITLE: 2024 AB 209 CLEAN ENERGY PROGRAMS REPORT**

**RESOLVED**, Public Resources Code Section 25660.2 requires the Energy Commission (CEC) to prepare and submit to the Legislature an AB 209 Clean Energy Programs Annual Report by March 1st of each year, reporting information on activities and projects funded in the previous calendar year; and

**RESOLVED**, Section 25660.2 requires AB 209 annual reports to provide the following information until all appropriated funds are spent:

- Funds spent for each program, balance remaining to be spent, and geographic distribution of the funds
- Funds spent on administrative, technical, or scientific services for each program
- Estimates on how expended funds are achieving the specific purposes of the program
- Estimates of additional electrical capacity during critical grid conditions made available as a result of the program
- Estimated reductions in greenhouse gas and criteria air pollutant emissions
- Description of how the funds were used and a description of the industries receiving funding; and

**RESOLVED**, CEC staff prepared the *Assembly Bill 209 Clean Energy Programs — 2024 Annual Report* in accordance with the requirements of Public Resources Code Section 25660.2; and

**RESOLVED**, that the CEC approves the 2024 AB 209 Annual Report; and directs the Executive Director, or his designee, to take the following action:

- Finalize the *Assembly Bill 209 Clean Energy Programs — 2024 Annual Report*, including but not limited to incorporating any changes presented and adopted today, along with any non-substantive changes such as typographical corrections and graphical formatting;
- Forward the final report to the Legislature;
- Make the final report available to the public on the CEC's website; and

**RESOLVED**, the CEC has considered the application of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to the approval of the *Assembly Bill 209 Clean Energy Programs — 2024 Annual Report*, and concluded that the approval of this report is not a “project” under CEQA, but in the event that adoption were determined to be a project, it would nonetheless be exempt from CEQA requirements pursuant to the “common sense” exemption (CEQA Guidelines, § 15061, subd. (b)(3)).

**FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Executive Director or their designee shall execute the same on behalf of the CEC.

**CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned Secretariat to the CEC does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the CEC held on August 29, 2025.

AYE: Hochschild, Gunda, McAllister, Gallardo

NAY: NONE

ABSENT: Skinner

ABSTAIN: NONE

Dated: August 29, 2025

***SIGNED BY:***

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kim Todd  
Secretariat

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**STATE ENERGY RESOURCES**  
**CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**

**Resolution Regarding Implementation Timeline of SB X1-2 Maximum Gross Gasoline Refining Margin**

**WHEREAS**, Senate Bill (SB) X1-2 (Stats. 2023, 1st Ex. Sess. 2023, ch.1) expanded the authority of the California Energy Commission (CEC) to gather and analyze information about the petroleum industry and, among other changes, to enhance consumer protections, added section 25355.5 in Chapter 4.5 of Division 15 of the Public Resources Code; and

**WHEREAS**, through Public Resources Code section 25355.5, the Legislature delegated to the CEC the authority to carefully study the merits of a maximum gross gasoline refining margin (GGRM) and a penalty for refiners that exceed it; and

**WHEREAS**, to ensure maximum consumer protections and prevent unintended consequences, the Legislature required that the CEC must first find, after careful consideration of multiple perspectives, that “the likely benefits to consumers outweigh the potential costs to consumers” before it may adopt a maximum GGRM and penalty; and

**WHEREAS**, on October 18, 2023, the CEC opened an Informational Proceeding (Docket No. 23-OIIP-01) to investigate the benefits and potential costs to customers of a maximum GGRM; and

**WHEREAS**, on November 28, 2023, April 11, 2024, and September 12, 2024, the CEC held public workshops to present analysis, discuss benefits and risks, and receive stakeholder feedback, including detailed presentations and written comments, on the maximum GGRM; and

**WHEREAS**, on April 21, 2025, Governor Gavin Newsom sent a letter to CEC Vice Chair Siva Gunda soliciting recommendations on changes to state policy to ensure that Californians have access to safe, affordable, and reliable transportation fuels and that petroleum refiners continue to see value in serving the California market, even as in-state demand for petroleum-based fuels declines over the coming decades; and

**WHEREAS**, on June 27, 2025, Vice Chair Siva Gunda replied to the Governor’s letter and recommended, based on engagement with diverse stakeholders and synthesis of robust data and discussions, that the CEC approach implementation of the regulatory tools authorized by SB X1-2 and AB X2-1 holistically and prudently to maximize consumer benefit and avoid unintended consequences; and

**WHEREAS**, Vice Chair Gunda recognized in his June 27 letter to the Governor that additional analytical work by the CEC is necessary before establishing a maximum GGRM or imposing any penalty for exceeding it, and recommended that the CEC prioritize exploration of a sector-wide managed transition strategy and return to its evaluation of a potential maximum GGRM and penalty after a reasonable period of time; and

**WHEREAS**, further study is needed prior to imposing a regulatory intervention of this degree to avoid the unintended consequences that can result from improperly calibrated policies, including reduced industry investment in critical infrastructure, increased risk of outages, and accelerated petroleum refiner exits from the California market that would reduce already limited in-state refining capacity; and

**WHEREAS**, at this time, CEC staff assess that they have not made sufficient findings to conclude whether or not the benefits to California consumers of establishing a maximum GGRM and penalty would outweigh the potential costs; and

**WHEREAS**, fulfilling the legislative intent of SB X1-2 to fully evaluate the potential impacts of a maximum GGRM and penalty and enhance consumer protections without creating unintended consequences would require significant additional investment of time and resources on the part of not only the CEC, but also each of the multitude of stakeholders whose input would be vital to any final determination; and

**WHEREAS**, California's refining sector remains consistently sensitive to maintenance-based outages and other supply disruptions; and

**WHEREAS**, major refinery maintenance activities are typically governed by a five-year turnaround cycle requiring long-term planning and coordination of equipment, labor, supply chains, and regulatory compliance; and

**WHEREAS**, prompted by successful decarbonization strategies, California's transportation sector has entered a pivotal mid-transition phase characterized by declining but still substantial demand for incumbent petroleum-based fuels paired with rapidly scaling alternative fuel systems. During the mid-transition phase, the state must stabilize near-term vulnerabilities of the entire transportation system and implement a comprehensive strategy to support a successful transition; and

**WHEREAS**, current analysis suggests that California faces the prospect of a continued reduction of in-state petroleum refining capacity that outpaces demand decline for petroleum-based fuels as well as closures of other critical parts of the state's petroleum-based fuel supply chain; and

**WHEREAS**, two California refineries recently announced their potential closures, citing long-term uncertainty in the market, among other factors. These closures will further consolidate the petroleum fuels market, increasing the risk of supply disruptions and price volatility; and

**WHEREAS**, accommodating at least one complete refinery maintenance and turnaround cycle would enable refinery operators to responsibly align operations with safety, environmental, and economic imperatives, after which the CEC should return to its evaluation of a potential maximum GGRM and penalty; and

**WHEREAS**, in August 2025, and in light of the foregoing, CEC staff filed a report in Docket Nos. 23-OIR-03 and 23-OIP-01 recommending, consistent with the June 27, 2025 letter, that the CEC deprioritize implementing rules for a maximum GGRM and penalty while the state continues to develop and implement a sector-wide managed transition strategy; and

**WHEREAS**, on August 13, 2025, the CEC instituted an informational proceeding to further explore strategies and tools, including minimum inventory and resupply planning requirements for refiners, to stabilize petroleum supply during the California transportation sector's mid-transition phase; and

**WHEREAS**, the CEC sees value in continuing to assess, potentially beyond this initial period during which CEC will prioritize exploration of a sector-wide managed transition strategy, and in collaboration with stakeholders, other measures to ensure a safe, affordable, and reliable supply of transportation fuels in the coming decades as California continues its energy transition.

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the CEC will not take further action on a maximum GGRM and penalty for at least five years from the date of this resolution while the state continues to develop and implement a sector-wide managed transition strategy.

**FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED**, that if the CEC adopts a maximum GGRM and penalty at any point before 2035, then upon receiving a request from a refiner for an exemption pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25355.5(m), the CEC will consider a showing of any of the following to be good cause that would be the basis for an exemption under that provision: (1) the refiner made significant investments in gasoline producing units (e.g. fluid catalytic cracking, hydrocracker, naphtha, etc.) at a California refinery between January 1, 2026 and December 31, 2030, or (2) other factors that the CEC would ordinarily consider in determining whether there is good cause for an exemption.

**FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED**, that this resolution does not expand or restrict the CEC's discretionary authority to set a maximum GGRM and penalty or take other action under Public Resources Code section 25355.5. This resolution is an exercise of the CEC's discretion based on current circumstances; the CEC reserves the right to revise or rescind this resolution and to implement Public Resources Code section 25355.5. The CEC will continue to collect and analyze information to assess a maximum GGRM and penalty over the length of the period during which the CEC deprioritizes implementing rules for a maximum GGRM and penalty.

**CERTIFICATION**

The undersigned Secretariat to the CEC does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a resolution duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the CEC held on August 29, 2025.

AYE: Hochschild, Gunda, McAllister

NAY: NONE

ABSENT: Gallardo, Skinner

ABSTAIN: NONE

Dated: August 29, 2025

***SIGNED BY:***

---

Kim Todd  
Secretariat