

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-02
Project Title:	Compass Energy Storage Project
TN #:	263965
Document Title:	Victor Martinez Comments - 24-OPT-02-Compass Energy Storage Project
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Victor Martinez
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	6/4/2025 9:17:48 PM
Docketed Date:	6/4/2025

*Comment Received From: Victor Martinez
Submitted On: 6/4/2025
Docket Number: 24-OPT-02*

24-OPT-02-Compass Energy Storage Project

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: [Victor Martinez](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 24-OPT-02-Compass Energy Storage Project
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 3:56:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

I wholeheartedly oppose the proposed COMPASS project at its location.

- Implementation of Facility technology management is moving faster than those of the regulation and safety protocols.
 - This facility needs to be relocated into an isolated area for safety that has no risk to human and environmental safety until incidents and issues stabilize with understanding and mitigation. New battery technologies, Lithium Iron in this case, resets the maturity back to the start of understanding. This case warrants time and extra measures until incidents and issues stabilize with understanding and mitigation. This cycle of new technology implementation to safe maturity is typical and should be followed. The steps of the policy should not be followed with binders.
 - From your website at <https://www.energy.ca.gov/about>, "...Energy Commission is committed to reducing energy costs, curtailing greenhouse gas emissions, and **ensuring a safe**, resilient, and reliable supply of energy. " is stated. Please follow this to ENSURE SAFETY to the community.
- The actions of the developer is a testimony of their goal and character and not that of their words or paid testimonies. To cite a couple....
 - The city of San Juan Capistrano denies their permit application and the developer immediately pulls their application and moves to the state of California to take the path of least resistance without any desire to talk work with the city. This shows that their interest is not the community but their desire for expedience and profit.
 - The developer places ads on social media baiting support from people regardless of their location. Speakers' comments from last week testified that the majority of those people were not from the area. Additionally, the interests of proponent entities are money and jobs...not the safety of the communities in that area.
- The adjacent Interstate 5 freeway on a Sunday afternoon is demonstrable proof of its volatile traffic fragility as it is always congested while people head north from the weekend. The traffic network of that area will not accommodate an incident that requires evacuation.
- As many have commented at your in-person and Zoom hearings, there is a reason nothing has been developed on that site and even in some areas on the hill above.
- I urge the CEC to make the right decision for the communities and population in the area to keep them safe from this untested facility.

Regards,
Victor Martinez