

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-02
Project Title:	Compass Energy Storage Project
TN #:	263895
Document Title:	Mary Ann Tucker Comments - Docket 24-OPT-02 Compass Energy Storage Project Opposition
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Mary Ann Tucker
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	6/4/2025 4:24:16 PM
Docketed Date:	6/4/2025

Comment Received From: Mary Ann Tucker
Submitted On: 6/4/2025
Docket Number: 24-OPT-02

Docket 24-OPT-02 Compass Energy Storage Project Opposition

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: [Mary Ann Tucker](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Docket 24-OPT-02 Compass Energy Storage Project Opposition
Date: Wednesday, June 4, 2025 7:03:15 AM
Attachments: [CamScanner 06-04-2025 06.55.pdf](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I strongly Oppose the Compass Energy Storage Project Docket 24-OPT-02. See attachment. There are better and much safer battery options on the near horizon such as the sodium ion battery. We should hold off on putting an extremely potentially dangerous project in our wonderful South Orange County neighborhoods that will also negatively affect everyone who uses the I-5 freeway corridor and train service.

California Energy Commission Docket Number: 24-OPT-02 Project Title: Compass Energy Storage Project

RE: Opposition to the Proposed Compass Energy Storage Project (24-OPT-02)

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed siting of the Compass Energy Storage Project in San Juan Capistrano, on land currently owned by Saddleback Church.

I have several serious concerns regarding this project:

1. **Proximity to Community Assets:** The proposed facility is dangerously close to residential neighborhoods, schools, senior living facilities, businesses, and a medical center. In the event of a fire, explosion, evacuation or toxic fume release, the impact on local residents and emergency services would be catastrophic.
2. **Transportation Risks:** Its location near Interstate 5 and several railway lines poses a significant risk. A disaster, such as the recent fire at Moss Landing, could lead to closures of these essential transportation routes, severely disrupting regional mobility and commerce.
3. **Environmental Impacts:** This area is home to diverse flora and fauna, including endangered species. Moreover, it serves as a popular recreational space, featuring trails enjoyed by hikers, bicyclists, and nature enthusiasts. The Oso creek drains to the ocean and cannot handle construction debris nor fire debris. This facility could irreversibly harm the ecosystem and compromise its community value.
4. **Demand on Community Resources:** The facility would also create an unsustainable demand on local resources, including water needed in the event of a fire. Fires have erupted in this valley, spread and threatened neighborhoods but were successfully extinguished by firefighters using water. Lithium fires cannot be extinguished by water and can burn for days.

I urge the Commission to prioritize the safety and well-being of Californians above any financial incentives or cost-saving measures that benefit the developer. The proposed site was likely chosen due to its proximity to the San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) Trabuco to Capistrano 138-kilovolt transmission line; however, this convenience should not come at the expense of community welfare.

I respectfully ask you to consider the serious risks outlined above and reject this OPT-IN application.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Maryam Tucker
SIGNATURE

Maryam Tucker
NAME

San Juan Capistrano CA 92675
ADDRESS